

Diogt.
152 h

Irving

(Buchanan)

<36624651990016

<36624651990016

Bayer. Staatsbibliothek



MEMOIRS

OF

GEORGE BUCHANAN.

Εὖ γὰρ ἴσται ὅτι τὴν ἐλευθερίαν ἐλοίμην ἂν ἀντὶ ὧν ἔχω πάντων καὶ
ἄλλων πολλαπλασίων.

ΧΕΝΟΡΗΟΝ de Cyri Expeditione, p. 64.



GEORGE BUCHANAN.

*Engraved by T. Woolnoth, from an Original Picture
in the Library of the University of
Edinburgh.*

MEMOIRS
OF THE
Life and Writings
OF
GEORGE BUCHANAN.

BY
DAVID IRVING, LL.D.

THE SECOND EDITION.


EDINBURGH;

PRINTED FOR WILLIAM BLACKWOOD, PRINCE'S STREET: AND
T. CADELL AND W. DAVIES, STRAND, LONDON.

1817.

g o. 2.

Staatsbibliothek
München



MEMOIRS

OF THE

Life and Services


GEORGE BUCHANAN

DAVID FRANKLIN

KIDNAPING

PRINTED FOR WILLIAM BLACKWOOD, PRINCE STREET; AND
T. FARRER AND W. LEVING, LONDON.

Bayerische
Staatsbibliothek
München



PREFACE

THE taste and genius of Buchanan reflect the highest reputation on his native country; nor can Scotland boast of another name equally illustrious in the general history of literature. The most learned and fastidious of his contemporaries regarded him as the best Latin poet of the age; and, by a rare felicity of talent, he attained to the same preëminence as a writer of prose. His history of Scotland, composed in the full maturity of his vigorous mind, is distinguished from other productions of the same class, not merely by its elegance of style, but by a conspicuous air of originality, and by the sagacity and penetration which it almost uniformly displays. His profound and masterly work *De Jure Regni*, excited the universal odium of those

who imagined it unwarrantable to resist even the wildest encroachments of arbitrary power; but it has taught modern philosophers to discuss the principles of political science with new freedom and energy.

The history of Buchanan may therefore be considered as the history of an individual who, in his own department, stands unrivalled in modern times. The materials for such a work are neither very scanty nor superabundant. Buchanan, at the request of some of his friends, composed a brief account of his long and variegated life. This biographical tract, which I have reprinted in the appendix, is written with modesty and reserve: it descends no later than the period of his final return to Scotland, and the whole of it only occupies a very few pages. Nor is the author sufficiently careful to mark the chronology of the events which he there records. This rapid sketch, so far as it extends, is however our safest guide. An edition of it was long afterwards published by Sir Robert Sibbald; who added several biographical notices, and augmented the number of the testimonies collected by Sir Thomas Pope Blount.

The name of Buchanan, it may be almost superfluous to remark, occurs in every collection of general biography. The article inserted in the very curious work of Bayle, is extremely defective: this acute and singular man seems to have been but little acquainted with the productions of Buchanan, and still less with the genuine character of their author. But to the learned and indefatigable Le Clerc our obligations are not inconsiderable. This writer, who, in the year 1706, inserted in one of his periodical publications a dissertation *De George Buchanan et de ses Ouvrages*,^a had evidently perused his works with attention, and had formed no injudicious estimate of his personal and literary merits.

Still however no other separate memoir had been undertaken, or at least had made its appearance. During the earlier part of last century, George Crawford addressed to the gentlemen of the name of Buchanan, proposals for writing and publishing the life of this illustrious scholar; but his project does not seem to have met with

^a *Bibliothèque Choisie*, tom. viii, p. 106.

adequate encouragement. He proceeded however to the completion of his work: and in the year 1751, after the author's decease, proposals for printing it were issued at Glasgow, but with no better success. With the subsequent fate of Crawford's work I am not acquainted. A biographical account of Buchanan was also composed by the Rev. Robert Wodrow; and the original manuscript is now in the library of the university of Glasgow. It consists of one hundred and thirty-two quarto pages, very closely written, and displays the author's usual industry and love of truth. The only information which I have derived from it, consists of some notices which Wodrow has transcribed from the records of the church, and from Calderwood's unpublished history of Scotland. At a more recent period, the task of writing the life of Buchanan was successively recommended, by the earl of Buchan, to Dr Stuart and to Dr Dunbar; and either of those able men could have invested the subject with attractions, which the reader will in vain expect to discover in the subsequent pages. If however we consider the complexion of Dr Stuart's history of

Scotland, his declining this undertaking can certainly excite very little regret : but the liberality and eloquence of Dr. Dunbar would have enabled him to display the variegated excellence of Buchanan with powerful effect.

Although no regular account of his life was composed by Mr Ruddiman, yet from the labours of that learned and worthy man I have derived very important aid. His edition of the works of Buchanan^b is entitled to high commendation. The plan of such a collection was originally formed by George Mosman ; and the impression was actually proceeding in the year 1702.^c After a few sheets had been completed, the property was transferred to Robert Freebairn, printer to the king, and Ruddiman was by him engaged in the undertaking ;^d but the edition did not make its appearance till the year 1715. It reflects equal credit on the printer and on the editor. Ruddiman's masterly acquaintance with philology, and with the history of his native country, had eminently qualified him for his laborious

^b Edinb. 1715, 2 tom. fol.

^c Sibbaldi Comment. in Vitam Buchanani, præf.

^d Ruddiman's Anticrisis, p. 22.

task. The accuracy of the text, and the utility of his illustrations, are equally conspicuous. He has prefixed a copious and satisfactory preface; and, among other appendages, has added a curious and critical dissertation *De Metris Buchananæis*. His annotations on Buchanan's history are particularly elaborate and valuable; but it is to be lamented that his narrow politics should so frequently have diverted him from the more useful tracts of enquiry. Where political prejudices intervene, he is too eager to contradict his author; and he often attempts, by very slender and incompetent proofs, to extenuate the authenticity of his narration. In illustrating the moral and literary character of Buchanan, he spent many years of his life. With great zeal and success, he afterwards vindicated his paraphrase of the psalms against the objections of Mr Benson; but his political prejudices seem to have increased with the number of his years. His controversies with Mr Love and Mr Man were conducted with sufficient pertinacity; though it must be acknowledged that the advantage of learning, and even of candour, generally inclines to Ruddiman's side. The perusal

of his controversial works in the order of their composition, is a task of considerable interest and edification. When he concluded his annotations on the life of Buchanan, he was disposed to regard him, with Nathan Chytræus, as “a most excellent and most innocent man, and entitled to perpetual remembrance on account of his exquisite learning and dignity ;” but when galled by his antagonists, and mortified by the fading hopes of the house of Stewart, he gradually adopted new opinions which were not founded on any new evidence. It must frequently have occurred to his reflections, that Buchanan had essentially contributed to the dissemination of those doctrines which led to the revolution ; and after the hopes of the Jacobites were completely blasted, he expressed himself with a degree of asperity which is chiefly to be regretted on his own account. One example will probably be deemed sufficient. “ But, alas ! what will his great admirers gain by that concession ? Only this, that they make him die an hardened and impenitent sinner ; and rather than his reputation, or more truly that of their own cause, should suffer in this world, they choose

(*horresco referens!*) to let him drop into hell in the next.”^e On various topics connected with the personal character of Buchanan, his reasoning is very loose and inconclusive; nor is this to be imputed to his want of acuteness, but to his eagerness in defending opinions which had been fiercely attacked, and which in reality were indefensible.

The political tendency of his preface and notes was so far from being agreeable to the admirers of Buchanan, that an association, consisting of Mr Anderson, the Rev. George Logan, and many other adherents of the Whig party, was speedily formed at Edinburgh for the express purpose of vindicating their favourite author in a new edition of his works.^f Their efforts however proved abortive, and the task of editorship devolved into more able hands. Ten years after the appearance of Ruddiman’s edition, another was published by the learned Dr Peter Burman of Leyden;^g a most indefatigable and useful labourer in the province of philology. Arrested by the fre-

^e Ruddiman’s *Animadversions*, p. 13.

^f Chalmers, p. 74.

^g Lugd. Bat. 1725, 2 tom. 4to.

quent and wide variance between the author and his *jure divino* editor, Burman had nearly been induced to relinquish his undertaking, and to advise his printer Langerak to procure assistance from Scotland, where the authenticity of the facts could best be ascertained. Of the new edition meditated at Edinburgh he was likewise apprized; though it does not appear, as some authors aver, that the associated critics made him a voluntary offer of private assistance. The printer however urging him to proceed without waiting for this vindictory edition, he at length republished the works of Buchanan, together with Ruddiman's preface, notes, dissertation, and other appendages. The annotations which he himself subjoined are almost entirely of the philological kind. His other engagements did not permit him to undertake the office of superintending the press; and accordingly his edition is somewhat less correct than that of Ruddiman. The general value of his predecessor's labours he acknowledges in terms of due respect;^h but he occasionally rejects

^h "Sine controversia ab omnibus eruditissimis insignem innotuit gratiam, vir et rerum patriarum scientia, et elegantioris doctrinæ copiis instructissimus, Thomas Ruddimannus; cum hanc

his particular opinions in a manner which that learned man was disposed to regard as contemptuous; and some of his expressions relative to British literature, and to the country of Buchanan, were such as could not easily be forgotten. Two years afterwards, when Ruddiman edited the poems of Dr Pitcairne, he eagerly embraced an opportunity of asserting the honour of his native land; and the same topics were yet fresh in his recollection when he resumed his long labours at the venerable age of eighty-one. "It came very ill from a Dutch professor," he remarks, "to undervalue a people or country, to whose valour his republick is so much indebted for its flourishing condition, and from whose troops it has received so much benefit and advantage. And I will add too that it was both ingrate and impertinent in him to speak to the disadvantage of a country, from whence so many young noblemen and gentlemen yearly repaired to him for improvement in their studies; and by whom, no doubt, he was liberally rewarded for his instructions. But as Mr Burman was glad, as I am told, to in se provinciam, plenam tædii et molestiarum, suscipere non recusaret." (*Burmanni Præf. in Buchananum.*)

own himself in the wrong, to several Scots gentlemen, who had been his disciples, and has been pretty roundly chastised for it by others, I shall say no more of it in this place.”ⁱ To these circumstances I merely allude as characteristic of the excellent old man, and without any very strong inclination to adopt the full measure of his resentment. The inhabitants of every country have been undervalued in their turn; and few nations of ancient or modern Europe have experienced greater injustice than that to which Burman himself belonged.

These Memoirs have undergone such essential alterations, that this new edition may almost be considered as a new work. I am willing to believe that it is improved by what is added, as well as by what is suppressed. The appendix, with the exception of the last number, is entirely new. It includes a collection of Buchanan’s Scottish tracts; one of which is extremely rare, and another is now printed for the first time. His *Admonitioun*, originally published under the inspection of the author, exhibits a

ⁱ Ruddiman’s *Further Vindication*, p. 54.

more genuine specimen of the language of that period, than either the *Chamæleon* or the plan for new-modelling the university of St Andrews. The latter tract, which I have printed from a MS. in the Advocates' Library, seems to have been materially injured by some unskilful transcriber; and the orthography must evidently have undergone many alterations. Among other papers, the appendix likewise contains some curious notices of Buchanan, which were very politely communicated by the Rev. Dr Lee, rector of the university of St Andrews; and this, I trust, will not be his only contribution to the literary and ecclesiastical history of his country. If I had been so fortunate as to receive this communication a little earlier, it would have enabled me to avoid some errors, into which I have been betrayed by relying on the authority of former writers.

The embellishments are likewise peculiar to this edition. The portrait of Buchanan is engraved from an old painting on wood, preserved in the library of the university of Edinburgh. This picture has every appearance of an original, and no doubt can reasonably be entertained of its genuine-

ness. It has also been thought expedient to exhibit, in two different aspects, an outline of the skull which has long been supposed to be Buchanan's, and which is now deposited in the museum of the university. To these engravings the facsimiles form an interesting addition. The inscription by Ascham, and the verses by Buchanan, were traced from a copy, in Williams's Library, of the work of Fulvius Ursinus entitled "Virgilius Collatione Scriptorum Græcorum Illustratus." Buchanan's signature is copied from the original report of the commissioners, appointed, in the year 1579, for reforming the university of St Andrews. This document, engrossed on parchment, is preserved in the archives of New College.

Edinburgh, 25 *March* 1817.

to original self to nature. It has also been thought expedient to exhibit in two different aspects, an out-
 I think of the skull which has long been sup-
 posed to be Buchanan's, and which is now
 deposited in the museum of the university.
 part of these engravings the facsimiles form an
 interesting addition. The inscription by
 Ascham, and the verses by Buchanan, were
 extracted from a copy in Williams's Library,
 of the work of Julius Ursinus entitled
 "Vergilii Collatione scriptorum Græco-
 rum Illustrata." Buchanan's signature is
 copied from the original report of the com-
 missioners, appointed in the year 1579, for
 restoring the university of St Andrews.
 This document, engrossed on parchment,
 is preserved in the archives of New Col-

Edinburgh, 25 March 1817.

Rogerus Aschamus Georgio Bucca-
nario, Anglus Scoto, Amicus ami-
co, hunc Poetam omnis veteris me-
moriam optimum, Poetae huius nostrae
aetatis optimo, amoris ergo, dono dat:

cum hoc monastico

Πῶς φίλου μνημόσυνον ὀφειλάς δέχῃ.

R

apud Hampton Court

M. D. LXXVII

20. Nouemb.

Amplector Rogere tuum vehementer amorem.
Et nimis doctum pignus amoris amo.
Nec minus est animus genitor mihi gratus amoris
Quaeque animum virtus ornat, amataque tuum.
Nec minus est gratus magni times error amoris
Quo peccas nimis coetus amore mei.
Et cum multa prober virtute, minus, amorem,
Et nimis foetum pectus amore mei.
Absq. errore meo vellem fors esset amare
Errorem de me candide amice tuam

G Buchanan &

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY
540 EAST 57TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILL. 60637

RECEIVED

FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

LIBRARY


CHICAGO, ILL.

1967

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY
540 EAST 57TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILL. 60637

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY
540 EAST 57TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILL. 60637

BUCHANAN'S SKULL.



Drawn & Engraved by W. D. L. Macrae Esq.

MEMOIRS
OF THE
LIFE AND WRITINGS
OF
GEORGE BUCHANAN.

GEORGE BUCHANAN was born in an age of little refinement, and enjoyed none of the early advantages which result from hereditary wealth; but his intrinsic greatness of mind enabled him to emerge from original obscurity, and to acquire a reputation that can only decay with literature itself. He was born about the beginning of February in the year 1506. His father was Thomas, the second son of Thomas Buchanan of Drummikill; his mother Agnes Heriot of the family of Trabroun. The house from which he descended, he has himself characterized as more remarkable for its antiquity than for its opulence. Thomas Buchanan the younger is said to

have obtained from his father a grant of the farm of Mid-Leowen, or, as it is more commonly called, the Moss,^a situated in the parish of Killearn, and county of Stirling. During the lifetime of the late proprietor, William Finlay, who died in 1808, in the ninety-fourth year of his age, the farm-house in which Buchanan was born was twice rebuilt: but on each occasion, its original dimensions and characteristics were studiously preserved; and an oak beam, together with an inner wall, has even retained its ancient position. The present building, which may be considered as a correct model of Buchanan's paternal residence, is a lowly cottage thatched with straw; but this cottage is still visited with a kind of religious veneration. A fragment of the oak is regarded as a precious relique; and an Irish student, who thirsted for a portion of Buchanan's inspiration, is known to have travelled from Glasgow, for the purpose of visiting the house, and passing a night directly under the original beam.^b

Buchanan's father died of the stone at a premature age; and, about the same period, his grandfather found himself in a state of insolvency.^c The family, which had never been opulent,

^a W. Buchanan's Historical and Genealogical Essay upon the Family and Sirname of Buchanan. Glasgow, 1723, 4to.

^b Nimmo's Hist. of Stirlingshire, p. 368. Edinb. 1777, 8vo.

^c It may however be inferred that he did not alienate his estate. In 1582, Thomas Buchanan was served heir to his father Walter Buchanan of Drummikill. (*Inquisitionum Abbreviatio*, vol. ii, Dumb. 99.)

was thus reduced to extreme poverty : but his mother struggled hard with the misery of her condition ; and all her children, five sons and three daughters, arrived at the age of maturity. She seems to have been long engaged in the pursuits of agriculture ; for in the year 1531, a lease of two farms near Cardross was granted by Robert Erskine, commendator of Dryburgh and Inchmahome, to Agnes Heriot and three of her sons, Patrick, Alexander, and George.^d One of her daughters appears to have married a person of the name of Morison. Alexander Morison, the son of Buchanan's sister, published an edition of his uncle's paraphrase of the psalms.^e Her third son, whose extraordinary attainments have rendered the family illustrious, is reported by oral tradition, which must not however be too rashly credited, to have been indebted for the rudiments of learning to the public school of Killearn ; which long continued to maintain a very considerable degree of celebrity. Mid-Leowen, which stands on the banks of the Blane, is situated at the distance of about two miles from the village ; and it may be conjectured that the future poet and statesman daily walked to school, and carried along with him his homely repast. A considerable number of trees, which he

^d Anderson's Life of Smollett, p. 12, 5th edit. Edinb. 1806, 8vo.

^e Jos. Scaligeri Opuscula, p. 287. Paris. 1610, 4to.

is said to have planted in his school-boy days, are still to be seen in the immediate vicinity of his native cottage: a mountain ash, conspicuous for its age and magnitude, was lately torn from its roots by the violence of a storm; but two fresh scions which arose from its ruins, have been nourished and protected with anxious care. Nor is the name of his mother without its rural memorial; a place which had been adapted to the purpose of shielding her flock, is still denominated Heriot's Shiels.^f

Buchanan, if we may credit a writer whose authority is extremely slender, was afterwards removed to the school of Dunbarton.^g His unfolding genius recommended him to the favour and protection of his maternal uncle James Heriot; who, apparently in the year 1520, sent him to prosecute his studies in the university of Paris. It was here that he began to cultivate his poetical talents; partly impelled, as he informs us, by the natural temperament of his mind, partly by the necessity of performing the usual exercises prescribed to younger students. Some of the French writers, most capable of estimating his attainments, have not neglected to record his obligations to their country: Vavasseur has remarked that, although a Scotchman by birth, he might well pass for a French poet; since all that he knew of polite literature, and particularly of

^f Sinclair's Statistical Account of Scotland, vol. xvi, p. 105.

^g Mackenzie's Lives of Scots Writers, vol. iii, p. 156.

poetry, he had acquired in France.^h Buchanan did not profess to be one of those bright geniuses who can master a new language every six weeks: he incidentally suggests that his knowledge of Latin was the result of much juvenile labour.ⁱ The Greek tongue, in which he likewise attained to proficiency, he acquired without the aid of a preceptor.^k The current speech of his native district at that period may be supposed to have been Gaelic. Of this language it is at least certain that he possessed some knowledge; and an anecdote has been related which at once confirms the supposition, and illustrates his peculiar vein of humour. Having met, when in France, with a woman who was said to be possessed with the devil, and who professed to speak all languages, he accosted her in Gaelic. As neither she nor her familiar returned any answer, he entered a protest that the devil was ignorant of that language.^l

Within the space of two years after his arrival

^h Vavassoris Opera, p. 689. Amst. 1709, fol.

ⁱ Buchanani Rerum Scotic. Hist. p. 4.

^k Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 25.—Fruterius seems to extol his eloquence in the Greek as well as in the Latin language; but if he composed Greek verses, he certainly did not publish them.

Ille, Buchanani felix cognomine, vates,

Se eriperet nostris perpetuum ex oculis?

Ille, cui geminæ dives facundia linguæ

Ponit honoratis æmula sertæ comis;

Quemque adeo Musæ (sic sint mea gloria Musæ)

Et docuere sacros et didicere modos.

FRUTERII Reliquiæ, p. 141.

^l Man's Censure of Ruddiman, p. 329.

in Paris, his uncle died, and left him exposed to want in a foreign country. His misery was increased by a violent distemper, which had perhaps been occasioned by poverty and mortification. And in this state of hopeless languor, he returned to Scotland at the critical age of sixteen. Having devoted the best part of a year to the care of his health, he next assumed the character of a soldier, and served along with the auxiliaries whom the duke of Albany had conducted from France. The Scottish forces, commanded by the regent in person, marched towards the borders of England; and, about the end of October 1523, laid siege to the castle of Werk. The auxiliaries carried the exterior wall by assault, but could not long occupy the station which they had gained. The large area between the two ramparts, intended as a receptacle, during the time of war, for the cattle and stores of the neighbouring peasantry, was at this crisis replenished with materials of a combustible nature. When the garrison found themselves repulsed by the French soldiers, they set fire to the straw, and speedily expelled their enemies by the flames and smoke. During the two following days, the assailants persisted in battering the inner wall: when they had effected a sufficient breach, the French auxiliaries again rushed to the attack, and surmounted the ruins; but were so fiercely assaulted by missile weapons from the inner tower, which was yet entire, that after ha-

ving sustained some loss, they were compelled to retreat, and repassed the Tweed. The duke, finding his native troops disaffected, and the army on the English frontiers too formidable from its numbers, removed his camp on the eleventh of November; and as he marched towards Lau-der after midnight, his army was terribly annoyed by a sudden storm of snow.^m

Buchanan, who belonged to a fierce and war-like nation, seems to have caught some portion of the military ardour. It was his youthful curiosity respecting the profession of arms which had prompted him thus to mingle in danger; and he was persuaded that between the studies of literature and of war a very close affinity obtains.ⁿ In his history of Scotland, written at an advanced age, he often describes feats of chivalry with great animation. But his experience in the course of this inglorious campaign, did not render him more enamoured of a military life: the hardships which he had undergone, reduced him to his former state of languor; and during the rest of the winter he was confined to bed.

In the beginning of the ensuing spring, when he had completed the eighteenth year of his age,

^m Buchananani Rerum Scotic. Hist. p. 265.

ⁿ “Cum in patria valetudini curandæ prope annum dedisset, cum auxiliis Gallorum qui tum in Scotiam appulerant, studio rei militaris cognoscendæ in castra est profectus.” (*Buchananani Vita, ab ipso scripta*, p. 2.) In his dedication of *Jephthes*, he expresses himself thus: “Neque enim inter rei militaris et literarum studium ea est, quam plerique falso putant, discordia; sed summa potius concordia, et occulta quædam naturæ conspiratio.”

he was sent to the university of St Andrews. Patrick Buchanan, his eldest brother, was matriculated at the same time.^o On the third of October 1525, George Buchanan took the degree of bachelor of arts; and it appears from the faculty register, that he was then a *pauper*, or exhibitioner.^p About this period, John Mair, a famous doctor of the Sorbonne, taught logic in St. Salvator's College. Buchanan informs us that it was to hear his prelections that he had been sent to St. Andrews, and that he afterwards followed Mair to France.^q It has been very confidently stated, that he was now a dependant on the bounty of this venerable commentator on Peter of Lombardy.^r If the fact could be established

^o Sibbaldi Comment. in Vitam Buchanani, p. 65.—The verses which Buchanan has devoted to his brother's memory are equally honourable to both. (*Epigram. lib. ii, 23.*)

^p Chalmers's Life of Ruddiman, p. 312.

^q “Hunc in Galliam æstate proxima sequutus, in flammam Lutheranae sectæ, jam late se spargentem, incidit: ac biennium fere cum iniquitate fortunæ colluctatus, tandem in Collegium Barbaranum accitus, prope triennium classi grammaticam discentium præfuit.” (*Buchanani Vita*, p. 2.) The context might lead us to suppose, that Buchanan followed Mair to France in the summer of 1524: but the meaning of the passage must be, that he returned to France the summer after Mair. From a subsequent note, it will appear that his appointment to a regency in the College of St Barbe took place in 1529. His return to that country must therefore be referred to the year 1527.

^r Dr Mackenzie's account of the connection between Mair and Buchanan is extremely curious. “Being informed that he was a youth of excellent parts, and reduced to great necessities, he sent for him in the beginning of the year 1524, and took him into his service, he being then in the 18th year of his age. The next summer his master going over to Paris, he took Buchanan alongst with him, and kept him in his service there for two years, but not thinking his service a suitable encouragement for so great a genius,

by any competent evidence, the character of Buchanan must be subjected to severe reprehension ; for he mentions his supposed benefactor in terms which convey no suggestion of gratitude. Of this generous patronage however there is not even the faintest shadow of evidence ; and such a tale manifestly originated from the misinterpretation of a very unequivocal passage in Buchanan's account of his own life.

Upon his return to France, he became a student in the Scottish College of Paris. On the tenth of October 1527, he was incorporated a bachelor of arts, and he took the higher degree

he procured for him a regency in the College of St Barbe, in the year 1526. For all which good offices done to him by his learned and worthy master, he returned his thanks in the following scandalous epigram, [lib. i, 51.] And this was the first time he showed his ingratitude to his benefactors, which, as we shall show, was the great and unpardonable blemish of his whole life." (*Lives of Scots Writers*, vol. iii, p. 157.) This account is to be regarded as little better than pure fiction ; but the praise of invention is not solely due to Mackenzie. "He who had eat his bread," says Dr Christopher Irving, "and liv'd under his discipline, both in St. Andrews, and in the Sorbon, the space of five years, might have afforded him an handsomer character than, *solo cognomine Major*." (*Historiæ Scoticæ Nomenclatura*, p. 163. Edinb. 1682, 8vo.) Irving and Mackenzie have deduced all these preposterous inferences from the subsequent passage in Buchanan's account of his own life. "Primo vere ad Fanum Andreae missus est, ad Joannem Majorem audiendum, qui tum ibi dialecticen, aut verius sophisticen, in extrema senectute docebat. Hunc in Galliam æstate proxima sequutus," &c.

Hector Boyce regarded the writings of Mair in a more favourable light than Buchanan: "Joannes Major theologus eruditissimus, cujus scripta haud aliter quam illuminatissimæ faces magnum Christianæ religioni attulere fulgorem." (*Aberdonensium Episcoporum Vitæ*, f. xxvii, b. Paris. 1522, 4to.) See likewise Du Pin, *Bibliothèque des Auteurs Ecclesiastiques*, tom. xiii, p. 160, and Launoi, *Regii Navarræ Gymnasii Parisiensis Historia*, tom. ii, p. 652. Paris. 1677, 2 tom. 4to.

next March.^s During the following year, 1529, he was a candidate for the office of procurator of the German nation; but his blind compatriot Robert Wauchope, who was afterwards titular archbishop of Armagh, and who sat in the council of Trent,^t was then elected for the ninth time. Buchanan was thus repulsed on the fifth of May, but on the third of June he was more successful.^u The university of Paris being frequented by students from various countries, they were distributed into four classes or nations. What

^s Chalmers's Life of Ruddiman, p. 313.

^t Paolo, *Istoria del Concilio Tridentino*, lib. ii. Londra, 1619, fol.—Dr Cosin, bishop of Durham, describes him as “blind Sir Robert the Scot; of whom it was then commonly said, that as poreblind as he was, yet had he the commendation to ride post the best in the world.” (*Scholastical Hist. of the Canon of the Holy Scripture*, p. 213, 2d edit. Lond. 1672, 4to.) See Miræus *De Scriptoribus Ecclesiasticis*, p. 266, edit. Fabricii, and George Con, or Conæus, *De Duplici Statu Religionis apud Scotos*, p. 112. Romæ, 1628, 4to.

^u “Georgius Buchananus Scotus,” says Bulæus, “nationis Germanicæ procurator electus anno 1533.” (*Hist. Universitatis Parisiensis*, tom. v, p. 935.) This date is most probably erroneous; for Buchanan was then tutor to the earl of Cassillis. Mr Chalmers quotes the authority of the register of the Scottish College, which the late Principal Gordon had inspected at his request. A man who had only to ascertain the chronology of a single academic, was less obnoxious to negligence or inadvertency, than he who had to ascertain that of five hundred. Bulæus has exhibited many dates which are manifestly inaccurate; but his work consists of six volumes in folio. Mr Innes, who was a member of the university of Paris, varies from both these writers. Buchanan, he remarks, “came back to Paris A. D. 1527, and upon proof of his being made batchelor of arts in the university of St Andrews, he was, according to the privilege our Scottish universities enjoyed in those times in Paris, admitted to the same degree in that university, and commenced master of arts in April 1528, and in June 1530, he was elected one of the four procurators.” (*Critical Essay on the Ancient Inhabitants of Scotland*, vol. i, p. 314. Lond. 1729, 2 vols. 8vo.)

was termed the German nation, comprehended the Scotch students.

Before this period, the tenets of Luther had begun to be widely disseminated, and to second the prepossessions of young and ingenuous minds. Buchanan, on his return to Paris, was caught by the spreading flame. His Lutheranism seems to have exposed him to new mortifications; for after he had discovered his attachment, he continued for the space of nearly two years to struggle with the iniquity of fortune. At the expiration of that term, he was appointed a regent or professor in the College of St. Barbe, where he taught grammar for about three years. His eminent qualifications for such an employment will not be questioned; but his services seem to have procured him a very inadequate remuneration. In an elegy apparently composed during this period of his life, he exhibits a dismal picture of the miseries to which the Parisian professors of humanity were then exposed. It opens with the subsequent lines.

Ite leves nugæ, sterilesque valete Camœnæ,

Grataque Phœbæo Castalis unda choro :

Ite, sat est : primos vobiscum absumpsimus annos,

Optima pars vitæ deperiitque meæ.

Quærite quem capiat jejuna cantus in umbra :

Quærite qui pota carmina cantet aqua.

Dulcibus illecebris tenerum vos fallitis ævum,

Dum sequitur blandæ carmen inerme lyræ.

Debita militiæ molli languescit in umbra,

Et fluit ignavis fracta juvena sonis.

Ante diem curvos senium grave contrahit artus,
 Imminet ante suum mors properata diem :
 Ora notat pallor, macies in corpore toto est,
 Et tetrico in vultu mortis imago sedet.
 Otia dum captas, præceps in mille labores
 Irruis, et curis angeris usque novis.
 Nocte leves somnos resolutus compede fessor
 Carpit, et in mediis nauta quiescit aquis :
 Nocte leves somnos carpit defessus arator,
 Nocte quies ventis, Ionioque mari :
 Nocte tibi nigræ fuligo bibenda lucernæ,
 Si modo Calliopes castra sequenda putes :
 Et tanquam Libyco serves curvata metallo
 Robora, et Herculea poma ferenda manu,
 Pervigil in lucem lecta atque relecta revolves,
 Et putri excuties scripta sepulta situ.
 Sæpe caput scalpes, et vivos roseris ungues,
 Irata feries pulpita sæpe manu.
 Hinc subitæ mortes, et spes prærepta senectæ,
 Nec tibi fert Clio, nec tibi Phœbus opem.^x

The poverty which then attended the professors of polite literature,^y he has delineated more forcibly towards the close.

^x This verse strongly resembles one of Lotichius, *Carminum* lib. i, 23, edit. Burman.

Nec tulit hic Clio, nec mihi Phœbus opem.

The coincidence seems however to have been accidental. Lotichius died in 1560 ; and the poem in which this line occurs was first printed in 1754. Buchanan's elegies were not published till 1567. Of these two poets, there are several other coincidences ; not one of which is pointed out in the younger Burman's elaborate and splendid edition of Lotichius. In the composition of elegiac verse, Lotichius is a great proficient ; and is indeed the best of all the German poets who have written in Latin. He died at the premature age of thirty-two.

^y " Quis porro non indignetur," says Budæus, " eam disciplinam et professionem quæ omneis alias complectitur, atque intra suum orbem coercet,

Denique quicquid agis, comes assidet improba egestas,
 Sive poema canis, sive poema doces.
 Bella gerunt urbes septem de patria Homeri:
 Nulla domus vivo, patria nulla fuit.
 Æger, inops patrios deplorat Tityrus agros,
 Stadius instantem vix fugat arte famem.
 Exul Hyperboreum Naso projectus ad axem,
 Exilium Musis imputat ille suum.
 Ipse Deus vatum vaccas pavisse Pheræas
 Creditur, Æmonios et numerasse greges.
 Calliope longum cœlebs cur vixit in ævum?
 Nempe nihil doti quod numeraret erat.
 Interea celeri cursu delabitur ætas,
 Et queritur duram tarda senecta famem:
 Et dolet ignavis studiis lusisse juventam,
 Jactaque in infidam semina mœret humum;
 Nullaque maturis congesta viatica canis,
 Nec faciles portus jam reperire ratem.
 Ite igitur Musæ steriles, aliumque ministrum
 Quærite: nos alio sors animusque vocat.²

This elegy, which is the first in the order of arrangement, was perhaps the first in the order of composition. It was apparently in the year

quæ suis finibus singulas quasi architectonico jure circumscribit, a schola Parisiensi (quæ ut metropolis sit ipsa omnium scholarum, et censeatur: omnium (ut opinor) ipsarum bona venia et assensione licet) inscitia temporum, et pauperie in re literaria facta, e numero disciplinarum exauctoratam esse? e præsidiisque ejectam Palladis atque ejus ære dirutam?" (*De Philologia*, f. xxii. Excudebat Jodocus Badius Ascensius, 1532, 4to.) Budæus and Cardinal du Bellay induced Francis the first to allot an annual stipend to public professors of the learned languages; and Castellanus afterwards exerted his influence with the same munificent prince to confirm so useful an establishment. (*Regii Vita Guilielmi Budæi*, p. 44. Paris. 1540, 4to. *Gallandii Vita Petri Castellani*, p. 49. Paris. 1674, 3vo.)

² Buchanan's Elegia i. *Quam misera sit conditio docentium literas humaniores Lutetiæ.*

1529 that he began to teach in the College of St Barbe : he must therefore have commenced his professorial functions about the age of twenty-three. Muretus began to teach in the archiepiscopal College of Auch at the earlier age of eighteen ;^a and at the same age Philelphus read lectures on eloquence to a numerous auditory in the university of Padua.^b

If the elegy was actually composed about this period, the new employment to which the author alludes was evidently that of superintending the studies of a young Scottish nobleman. Gilbert Kennedy, earl of Cassillis, who was residing near the College of St. Barbe, having become acquainted with Buchanan, admired his literary talents, and was delighted with his conversation. He was therefore solicitous to retain so accomplished a preceptor ; and their closer connection probably commenced in the year 1532. The first work that Buchanan committed to the press, was a translation of the famous Thomas Linacre's rudiments of Latin grammar ; which he inscribed to Lord Cassillis, "a youth of the most promising talents, and of an excellent disposition." This Latin version was printed by R. Stephanus in 1533.

After he had resided with his pupil for the term of five years, they both returned to Scot-

^a Jos. Scaligeri Confutatio Fabulæ Burdonum, p. 451.

^b Shepherd's Life of Poggio Bracciolini, p. 254. Liverpool, 1802, 4to.

land.^c At this period the earl had reached the age of majority ; and Buchanan might only embrace a favourable opportunity of revisiting his relations and friends. Their connection however did not immediately dissolve. While he was residing at the earl's seat in Ayrshire, he composed a little poem which rendered him extremely obnoxious to the ecclesiastics, an order of men whom it is generally hazardous to provoke. In this poem, which bears the title of *Somnium*, and is a happy imitation of Dunbar,^d

^c The chronology is still unsettled. Mr Ruddiman supposes him to have begun teaching in the College of St Barbe in the year 1526 : but for the office of a professor he was not qualified till 1528, when he took the degree of master of arts ; and even under the date of June the third 1529, his name, according to Mr Chalmers, occurs in the register of the Scotch College. Buchanan relates that in the former seminary he taught about three years, and at the expiration of that term, was engaged by Lord Cassillis. In the dedication of his version of *Linacre*, published in 1533, he remarks that he had been employed in superintending that nobleman's studies during the preceding year. From a comparison of these dates, it is obvious that he was admitted as a regent or professor in 1529, and resigned his office in 1532. The biographical narrative proceeds thus : " Interea cum Gilbertus Cassilissæ comes, adolescens nobilis, in ea vicinia diversaretur, atque ingenio et consuetudine ejus oblectaretur, eum quinquennium secum retinuit, atque in Scotiam una reduxit. Inde cum in Galliam ad pristina studia redire cogitaret, a rege est retentus." Lord Cassillis retained him as his domestic tutor from 1532 till 1537, and having then completed the course of his studies, carried him to Scotland. Buchanan does not aver that their former relation still subsisted. Towards the close of the year 1536, King James found the earl of Cassillis residing in France. (*Leslæus De Rebus Gestis Scotorum*, p. 442.) He returned to Scotland in the ensuing May, and was most probably accompanied by that young nobleman and his preceptor. This supposition will readily account for Buchanan's subsequent connection with the court.

^d Compare Buchanan's *Somnium* (*Frat. Frater*. xxxiv.) with the poem entitled, " How Dunbar wes desyred to be ane Frier ;" which occurs in Lord Hailes's *Ancient Scottish Poems*, p. 25. Edinb. 1770, 12mo.

he expresses his own abhorrence of a monastic life, and stigmatizes the impudence and hypocrisy of the Franciscan friars. The holy fathers, when they became acquainted with this specimen of his sarcastic wit, resolved to convince him of his presumption in disparaging the sacred institutions of the church. It has repeatedly been alleged that Buchanan had himself belonged to a religious order which he has so frequently exposed with the most admirable powers of ridicule ;^e but this seems to have been a tale fabricated by the malice of his theological enemies. That he had actually assumed the cowl, has never been affirmed by any early writer sufficiently acquainted with his history. It is not however improbable that during the convenient season of his youthful misfortunes, the friars were anxious to allure so promising a novice ; and this suggestion is even countenanced by a passage in one of his poems.^f

^e “ *Georgius Buchananus Minorita excucullatus, Bacchicus histrio, et atheus poeta*, inquit Gilbertus Genebrardus *Chronologia* ad annum M.D.LXXII. De religione enim Catholica pessime est meritis, et ideo contumeliosas voces facile viro religioso dono, cui majoris fuit momenti pietas quam eruditio.” (Dempsteri *Hist. Ecclesiast. Gentis Scotorum*, p. 108. Bononiæ, 1627, 4to.) *Vir religiosus* must be translated, a man who wore a certain habit ; for such impudent defamation exhibits a curious proof of his religion. The same passage is quoted with seeming approbation by Spondanus, *Annalium Baronii Continuatio*, tom. ii, p. 456.

^f Ergo cave ne te falso sub nomine mendax
Simplicitas fors transversum seducat, et illuc
Unde referre pedem nequeas, trahat : et puerum olim
Me quoque pene suis gens hæc in retia mendax

The earl of Cassillis seems to have reflected no discredit on his preceptor. When he afterwards mingled in the political transactions of those turbulent times, he distinguished himself by his sagacity, his firmness, and his integrity : but his country did not long reap the benefit of his services ; and Buchanan lived to record his virtues and his premature death.^g The father had been assassinated in Scotland,^h and the son was supposed to have been poisoned in France. In the year 1558 he was associated in a splendid embassy to the French court ; but after they had concluded their mission, the earl of Cassillis and three of his colleagues, together with several of their retinue, were suddenly arrested by one common destiny.

Buchanan had determined to resume his former occupations in France ; but King James, the fifth of that name, retained him in the capacity of preceptor to one of his natural sons. This son was not, as has generally been supposed, the celebrated James Stewart who afterwards obtained the regency, but another who bore the same baptis-

Traxerat illecebris, nisi opem mihi forte tulisset
Cœlitus oblata Eubuli sapientia cani.

BUCHANANI Franciscanus, p. 2.

^g Buchanan Hist. p. 268, 283, 306, 310.—In the *Chamæleon*, he mentions the earls of Murray and Cassillis as “ men excellent in the tyme, in all virtuous pertaineing to ane nobill man, and speciall in lufe of the common welth of thair cuntre.”

^h Buchanan Hist. p. 268. Epigram. lib. ii, 9.

mal name.ⁱ His mother was Elisabeth Shaw, of the family of Sauchie; and he died in the year 1548. It was perhaps in the year 1537 that Buchanan entered upon his new charge; for in the course of that year, the king made an arrangement with respect to his four sons.^k The abacies of Melrose and Kelso were secured in the name of Buchanan's pupil, who was the eldest.

What lettered society he now enjoyed in his native country, can only be gleaned from his poems. Notwithstanding the complexion of his religious sentiments, he was admitted to the hospitable table of Gavin Dunbar, archbishop of Glasgow; who probably was not aware that his inviting Buchanan to a banquet would contribute more to the perpetuation of his fame, than all the ecclesiastical and civil honours to which he attained. The poet has recorded his gratification in glowing terms.^l In his history, Buchanan commemorates this prelate as a learned and worthy man. He had been preceptor to James the fifth; obtained the archbishopric in 1522;^m and in 1527 was nominated chancellor.ⁿ

Sir Adam Otterburn, a poet and a statesman, also occurs in the list of his friends. Buchanan has addressed him in one of his epigrams, and

ⁱ Man's Censure of Ruddiman, p. 349.

^k Leslæus de Rebus Gestis Scotorum, p. 447. Romæ, 1578, 4to.

^l Buchanani Epigram. lib. i, 43.

^m Leslæus de Rebus Gestis Scotorum, p. 399.

ⁿ Buchanani Rerum Scotic. Hist. p. 270.

another of them is professedly transformed from Otterburn's hexameters.^o Of his poetical works however, not a single fragment is known to exist; and his name has only glided into the history of Scottish literature, because he was the friend of Buchanan; who was equally capable of bestowing reputation, and of affixing perpetual ridicule.

But he soon experienced the danger of extending his ridicule to the orthodox. The ferment of a profane scoffer at priests must have augmented their spleen; and the Franciscan friars, still smarting from his *Somnium*, found means of representing him to the king as a man of depraved morals, and of dubious faith.^p But on this occasion their zeal recoiled upon themselves. James had formerly begun to discover their genuine character; and the part which he supposed them to have acted in a late conspiracy against his own life, had not contribu-

^o Buchanani Epigram. lib. ii, 15, 16.—Sir Adam Otterburn must be carefully distinguished from the king's advocate. A commission, dated 16th Feb. 1533-4, describes the former as Sir Adam Otterburn of Reidhall; but the latter is styled Adam Otterburn of Auldham so late as the year 1542-3. (Rymer, *Foedera*, tom. xiv, p. 483. *Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland*, vol. ii, p. 594.) The names of both are frequently to be found in the commissions and acts of that period. Some original letters of A. Otterburn and of Archbishop Dunbar are preserved among the Cotton MSS. A copy of a letter from Dr. Thomas Magnus to the former, occurs in Calig. B. vii, 121.

^p “*Et cum non satis justas iræ suæ immodicæ causas invenirent, ad commune religionis crimen, quod omnibus quibus male propitii erant intentabant, decurrunt.*” (Buchanani Vita, p. 3.) See also the dedication of his *Franciscanus*.

ted to diminish his antipathy. Instead of consigning the poet to disgrace or punishment, the king, who was aware that private resentment would improve the edge of his satire,¹ enjoined him in the presence of many courtiers to renew his well-directed attack on the same pious fathers. Buchanan's late experience had however taught him the importance of caution: he determined at once to gratify the king's resentment against the friars, and to avoid increasing the resentment of the friars against himself. In pursuance of this project, he composed a kind of recantation, which he supposed might delude the Franciscans by its ambiguity of phrase.^r But he found himself doubly deceived: the indignation of the king, who was himself a satirical poet,^s could not so easily be gratified; and

¹ "Rex Buchananum, forte tum in aula agentem, ad se advocat, et ignarus offensionis quæ ei cum Franciscanis esset, jubet adversus eos carmen scribere." (*Buchanani Vita*, p. 3.) Instead of *ignarus*, read *gnarus* or *non ignarus*. It was King James's knowledge, not his ignorance, of the poet's warfare with the Franciscans, that must have suggested him as already prepared to second his own resentment. Buchanan's biographical sketch was a posthumous publication; but in the dedication of his *Franciscanus*, which he himself committed to the press, the story is related with that consistency which a very slight correction will impart to the preceding passage. "Is mihi continuo multis audientibus imperavit, ut in Franciscanos aliquid, idque etiam acriter, scriberem: non quod mihi in eo genere facultatem existimaret esse præcipuam, sed quod me, opinor, stimulis privati doloris incitatum, acriorem injuriæ publicæ fore vindicem speraret."

^r The poem to which he alludes, is apparently the *Palinodia* at the end of his *Fratres Fraterrimi*, consisting of two parts. It is not however surprising that the friars declined such a compliment.

^s Sir David Lindsay's "Answer to the Kingis Flyting" verifies this assertion; but no genuine productions of the royal author are known to be ex-

the friars were now impelled to a higher pitch of resentment. James requested him to compose another satire, which should exhibit their vices in a more glaring light. The subject was copious, and well adapted to the poet's talents and views. He accordingly applied himself to the composition of the poem afterwards published under the title of *Franciscanus*; and to satisfy the king's impatience, soon presented him with a specimen. This production, as it now appears in its finished state, may without hazard be pronounced one of the most skilful and pungent satires which any nation or language can exhibit. He has not servilely adhered to the model of any ancient poet, but is himself original, and almost unequalled. To a masterly command of classical phraseology, he unites uncommon felicity of versification; and his diction often rises with his increasing indignation to majesty and splendour. The combinations of his wit are variegated and original; and he evinces himself a most sagacious observer of human life. No class of men was ever more completely exposed to ridicule and infamy; nor is it astonishing that the Popish clergy afterwards regarded the author with implacable hatred. The impurities and the absurdities which he rendered so notorious, were not the

tant. "Christis Kirk of the Grene," appears with sufficient evidence to have been composed by James the first; and "The Gaberlunzieman," as well as "The Jollie Beggar," is imputed to his descendant without any competent authority. These two ballads, which possess uncommon merit, may be found in Mr Pinkerton's *Select Scottish Ballads*, vol. ii, p. 28, 33.

spontaneous production of a prolific brain; their ignorance and irreligion presented an ample and inviting harvest. Of the validity of his poetical accusations, many historical documents still remain. Buchanan has himself related in plain prose, that about this period some of the Scottish ecclesiastics were so deplorably ignorant, as to suppose Martin Luther to be the author of a dangerous book, called the *New Testament*.^t

But the church being infallible, he speedily recognized the danger of accosting its retainers by their proper names. At the beginning of the year 1539, many individuals suspected of Lutheranism were involved in the horrors of persecution. Towards the close of February, five were committed to the flames, nine made a formal recantation of their supposed errors, and many were driven into exile. Buchanan had been comprehended in the general arrest; and to the perpetual infamy of the nation, his invaluable life might have been sacrificed to the rancour of an unholy priesthood.^u After he was committed to

^t Buchananani Rerum Scotie. Hist. p. 291. Perizonii Hist. Sæculi Sexdecimi, p. 233. Lugd. Bat. 1710, 8vo.

^u James Laing, a most zealous doctor of the Sorbonne, has recorded a story of Buchanan's being convicted of eating the paschal lamb like a Jew. "A Jacobo quinto...est vocatus, et de quæstione proposita examinatus, atque interrogatus, quomodo ausus fuisset quicquam tale contra consuetudinem ecclesiæ Catholicæ tentare. Homo sacrarum literarum imperitissimus, simulque impudentissimus ita regi respondit; Tu domine similiter debes agnum paschæ comedere, si vis salutem consequi: quo audito responso rex statim obstupuit, et admiratus est audaciam sive potius hominis insaniam." (*De Vita et Moribus atque Rebus Gestis Hæreticorum nostri Temporis*, f. 39.

custody, Cardinal Beaton endeavoured to accelerate his doom, by tendering to the king a sum of money as the price of his innocent blood. Of this circumstance Buchanan was apprized by some of his friends at court ; and his knowledge of the king's unfortunate propensity to avarice must have augmented all the terrors of his situation. Stimulated by the thoughts of increasing danger, he made a successful effort to regain his liberty ; while his keepers were asleep, he escaped through the window of the apartment in which he was confined.^x Directing his wandering steps towards the southern part of the island, he had soon to encounter new disasters. When he reached the frontier of the two kingdoms, he was molested by the freebooters who

Paris. 1581, 8vo.) This tale has been repeated by various other writers ; and among the rest by David Chalmers. (Camerarius *De Scotorum Fortitudine, Doctrina, et Pietate*, p. 269. Paris. 1631, 4to.) It is too ridiculous to demand a serious refutation.

Bale, who supposes Buchanan to have been a fervent preacher of the gospel, had caught some vague rumour with respect to his suffering martyrdom : “ A Sodomæ tyrannis, mitratis, rasis, et unctis, ob divinæ veritatis assertionem, igne tandem sublatum ferunt.” (*Scriptores Britannicæ*, cent. xiv, p. 226. Basil. 1557-9, fol.)

^x “ The poet,” says Mr Chalmers, “ was imprisoned in the castle of St. Andrews, from which he was delivered by the interposition of Beaton, a nephew of the archbishop of Glasgow.” (*Life of Ruddiman*, p. 315.) In support of these assertions, he refers to the collection of Dr Jebb, vol. ii, p. 486 ; but the passage in question relates the captivity, not of Buchanan, but of Queen Mary. “ Ils ne cessèrent jamais qu' elle ne fut mise en prison dans un fort chasteau ; on dit que c'est Saint André en Escosse ; et ayant demeuré misérablement captive pres d'un an, fut delivrée par le moyen d'un fort honneste et brave gentil-homme du pays, et de bonne maison, nommé Monsieur de Beton, &c. Voilà donc cette reyne en liberté.” See Brantome, *Vies des Dames Illustres de France*, p. 135.

at that time were its sole inhabitants; and his life was again exposed to jeopardy from the contagion of a pestilential disease, which then raged in the north of England. On his arrival in London, he experienced the friendship of Sir John Rainsford, an English knight; who is recorded to have been the only person that protected him against the fury of the Papists.^y Of this generous support, Buchanan was not afterwards unmindful; he has immortalized his benefactor by consecrating a poem to his memory.^z It was apparently at this unpropitious crisis, that he addressed himself to Thomas Cromwell, afterwards earl of Essex, and to King Henry;^a from whom he however seems to have obtained no relief. Several of his little poems remain as memorials of his necessities; for his untoward fate frequently compelled him to resort to this humiliating exercise of his exalted genius. No man was however less disposed to the servility of adulation; and when the iniquity of fortune subjected him to the direful expedient of thus soliciting patronage, it must have cost his proud spirit many a bitter pang. During the age of Buchanan, and indeed at a much later period, men of letters were not extremely jealous of their independence:^b from the peculiar state of society, they

^y Epistolæ, p. 20. ^z Epigram. lib. ii, 24. ^a Miscell. xiii, xv.

^b Nec tamen interea sua pauper carmina vates
Vendere, nec blandus circum strepere ostia cessat
Nobilium, et prohibere suis a faucibus atram

were very frequently thrown upon the immediate protection of some great personage; and the prevalent notions relative to prerogative and subordination were such as mankind are now ashamed to recognize. The royal ears of Elizabeth and her successor were regaled with language of the most absurd denomination:—how meanly did Bacon stoop from the sublimity of his genius, to nurse the vanity of a monarch, whose elevation had only rendered him contemptible!

The aspect of political affairs in England was not calculated to secure Buchanan's attachment to that nation; he was anxious to escape from a country which he saw exposed to the wanton cruelties of a brutal tyrant. The civilization of France, as well as the particular intimacies which he had formed in that country, led him to adopt the resolution of returning to Paris. But he found on his arrival that Cardinal Beaton was residing there in the character of an ambassador.*

Obscenamque famem: quid enim, quid speret ab illis

Amplius? O meritis impar sed gratia tantis!

HOSPITALII Carmina, p. 123.

* Mr Pinkerton has proposed some chronological objections to which it will here be necessary to advert. "The date 1539 on the margin is erroneous; and, not to mention that it occurs again afterwards, it disagrees with the 'brevi post' in the text, after transactions of 1537: nor does Buchanan mention Mary of Guise, who arrived in June 1538, after he had left Scotland: nor was Cardinal Beaton in France in 1539, though Buchanan found him there in 1538, the real year of his escape. Yet, in his unchronological history, he dates the event 1539: if not an error of the press in the vitiated first edition." (*Hist. of Scotland*, vol. ii, p. 352.) In this part at least of his history, Buchanan's chronology seems unexceptionable; and would indeed have been singular if he had forgotten a year which to him

Andrew Govea, a native of Portugal, invited him to Bordeaux; nor did he hesitate to embrace an opportunity of removing himself beyond the influence of the cardinal's deadly hatred. Of the College of Guienne, lately founded in that city, Govea had been nominated principal; and Buchanan, evidently through his interest, was now appointed one of the professors. Here he must have fixed his residence before the close of the year; for to Charles the fifth, who made his solemn entry into Bordeaux on the first of December 1539,^d he presented a poem in the name of the college.^e

was so eventful. Nor is there the smallest room for suspecting an error of the press: having mentioned the transactions of 1537, he proceeds to relate an event "proximo qui hunc secutus est anno;" and afterwards introduces the persecution which ensued "initio anni proximi." "Initio anni proximi, qui fuit M.D.XXXIX. Lutheranismi suspecti complures capti sunt: sub finem Februarii, quinque cremati: novem recantarunt: complures exilio damnati. In his fuit Georgius Buchananus, qui, sopitis custodibus, per cubiculi fenestram evaserat." (Buchanani *Rerum Scotic. Hist.* p. 277.) That this persecution occurred in 1539, is almost as certain as any event in Scottish history; and Buchanan may safely be supposed to have known what relation it bore to his own troubles. The purport of Mr Pinkerton's suggestion, "nor does Buchanan mention Mary of Guise," is not sufficiently obvious: Mary of Guise had no particular title to be mentioned in the life of George Buchanan. It is indeed certain that Cardinal Beaton was in France in 1538, but it is not therefore certain that he was not in France in 1539. It is not the province of an historian to record every little embassy of every denomination. The cardinal would gladly embrace any proper opportunity of visiting that country; where he had been dexterous enough to obtain the bishopric of Mirepoix. (Leslæus *De Rebus Gestis Scottorum*, p. 447.)

^d De Lurbe, *Chronique Bourdeloise*, f. 42, b.

^e Buchanani *Silvæ*, i. *Ad Carolum V. Imperatorem, Burdegalæ hospitio publico susceptum, nomine Scholæ Burdegalensis, anno M.D.XXXIX.*

The task assigned him at Bordeaux was that of teaching the Latin language. For an occupation of this kind, he seems to have entertained no particular affection; but although sufficiently laborious, it never impaired the native elevation of his mind. He now prosecuted his poetical studies with a degree of ardour which may excite admiration; during the three years of his residence at Bordeaux, he completed four tragedies, together with various other poems on miscellaneous subjects. It was then, and indeed at a much later period, the common practice of academical students to exercise themselves in the representation of Latin dramas. In dramatic poetry, the taste of the French nation was still rude and grotesque; for they had not begun to extricate themselves from the absurdities of the early mysteries and allegories. With the view of familiarizing the students to the more correct and elegant models of the ancient theatre, Buchanan made a sudden incursion into this province of literature. The earliest of his dramatic compositions bears the title of *Baptistes*. He had applied himself to the study of the Greek language without the aid of a preceptor, and as an useful exercise had executed a translation of the *Medea* of Euripides. This version he now delivered to the academical stage, and afterwards, at the earnest request of his friends, suffered it to be printed. Those two tragedies were performed with a degree of applause which

almost exceeded his hopes. He afterwards composed his *Jephthes*, and translated the *Alcestis*, another drama of his favourite author. These last productions, as he originally intended them for publication, were elaborated with superior diligence.^f

The tragedy of *Jephthes* is conformable to the models of the Grecian theatre, and is not destitute of interest. The subject is highly dramatic; it is a subject which Buchanan's great exemplar Euripides might have been inclined to select. The situation of a father who had unwarily subjected himself to the dreadful necessity of sacrificing a beloved and only child,^g the repugnant

^f The *Medea* was originally printed with the two versions of Erasmus. "Hecuba et Iphigenia in Aulide, Euripidis Tragediæ, in Latinum translata, Erasmo Roterodamo interprete. Medea ejusdem, Georgio Buchanano Scoto interprete." *Parisiis ex officina Michaelis Vascosani*, 1544, 8vo. At the end of Buchanan's version is the following notice: "Acta fuit Burdegalaë an. 1543." The earliest edition of *Jephthes* which has been traced is that of Paris, 1554, 4to, *Apud Guil. Morelium*. His version of the *Alcestis* was printed there in the same form in 1557, *Apud Mich. Vascosanum*. The two translated dramas occur in a collection entitled "Tragediæ Selectæ Æschyli, Sophoclis, Euripidis." *Excudebat H. Stephanus*, 1567, 8vo. The *Baptistes* was published by H. Charters in 1578, 8vo; and in the course of the same year was reprinted at Frankfort in the same form by Andrew Wechel. There is a collective edition of Buchanan's "Tragediæ Sacrae et Exteræ," *Apud Petrum Sanctandream*, 1597, 8vo. Mr Rudiman edited the versions from Euripides with the original text. Edinb. 1722, 8vo. Buchanan's translation is subjoined to Professor Monk's edition of the *Alcestis*. Cantab. 1816, 8vo. Of his tragedies there are many other editions, which it would be superfluous to enumerate.

^g "Jephtha's daughter," says Dr Jortin, "was devoted to God, and to the service of the high priest, and of the tabernacle. It is strange that any commentator should have imagined that she was sacrificed." (Jortin's *Tracts*, vol. i, p. 380.) The commentators who adopt that opinion are justified by the respectable authority of Josephus. Selden could discover no

and excruciating sensations of the mother, the daughter's mingled sentiments of heroism and timidity, are delineated with considerable felicity of dramatic conception. The tender or pathetic was not however the peculiar province of Buchanan; whose talents were bold, masculine, and commanding. It has been urged by Heinsius and by Vossius, that in this tragedy the ancient rule respecting unity of time is grossly violated; because the daughter of Jephtha is known to have bewailed her virginity for the space of two months.^h But in Buchanan's drama there is no allusion to that circumstance; and if he has exposed himself to critical reprehension, it is only because he has neglected such scrupulous advertency to the national rites of his personages.

The *Baptistes*, although inferior to the other tragedy in dramatic interest, is more strongly impregnated with the author's characteristic sentiments. Its great theme is civil and religious liberty. The poet frequently expresses himself with astonishing boldness: his language relative to tyranny and priestcraft is so strong and un-

vestige of any paternal power of thus devoting children who did not belong to the tribe of Levi. It is not pretended that Jephtha sacrificed his daughter "ex jure aliquo," but merely to fulfil a vow which it would have been piety to violate. On the subject of this vow, a chapter occurs in Selden *De Jure Naturali et Gentium juxta Disciplinam Ebræorum*, p. 530. Lond. 1640, fol.

^h Heinsius de *Tragœdiæ Constitutione*, p. 204. Vossii *Institutiones Poeticæ*, p. 13.

disguised, that it could not then have been tolerated in many colleges; and the acquiescence of Buchanan's learned auditory suggests no unfavourable opinion of the flourishing seminary to which he belonged. Some of his expressions bear a very easy application to the late conduct of Cardinal Beaton.

In the tragedies of the ancient Greek poets, what is termed the prologue is always an essential part of the drama; but the prologue of the *Baptistes* resembles those of Terence. Buchanan seems to have adopted this method, because it afforded him an opportunity of preparing his auditors for the bold sentiments which they were about to hear.

The same subject was afterwards selected by several other poets. A drama with the title of *Baptistes* occurs among the works of Schonæus; and another, written by Nicholas Grimoald, and entitled *Archipropheta, sive Johannes Baptista*, was published at London in the year 1591.ⁱ Milton had directed his attention to no fewer than one hundred different subjects for tragedy, and among others to that of John the Baptist. Of this projected drama he has sketched a faint outline;^k which it may be no uninteresting task to compare with the plan of Buchanan.

Grotius has remarked that Buchanan, so ad-

ⁱ Warton's Hist. of English Poetry, vol. iii, p. 60.

^k Milton's Poetical Works, by Todd, vol. iv, p. 504.

mirable in other respects, has not sufficiently maintained the gravity of the ancient buskin;¹ and it is indeed obvious that his tragedies are not the most perfect of his compositions. The disapprobation of Heinsius, who like Grotius was also a dramatic poet, is however expressed too forcibly: the tragedies of Buchanan he mentions not merely without applause, but even with some degree of contempt.^m Some of his objections are manifestly frivolous; and he might almost be suspected of an oblique intention to establish his own superiority. Buchanan, who was distinguished for the elevation of his genius, was certainly capable of imparting to his tragic heroes sufficient pomp of diction; but he was too competent a judge of propriety to invest every scene with the same heroic swell. The diction of Euripides, whom he apparently selected as his model, is very remote from the standard which some modern critics have attempted to introduce. The original tragedies of the Scottish poet are not

¹ Grotius to Thuanus: "Tibi hæc mittuntur, Præses Illustrissime, qui post Scotiæ illud numen redivivam nobis reduxisti tragædiam: nisi quod et Buchananus ipse, in aliis vir maximus, a cothurni gravitate degenerare videtur." (*Epistolæ*, p. 1. Amst. 1687, fol.)

^m "Duos certe excellentes hac ætate viros M. Antonium Muretum, Latine puritatis nostro ævo principem, Georgium item Buchananum, poetam eximium, quorum ingeniis nihil impervium fuisse dicas, nemo hodie non novit: qui cum se huic scenæ crediderunt, alterum in cothurno, alterum in socco pedem habuisse dicas; adeo nec legem se tenere putant, neque mentem ad antiquitatis regulam deflectunt. Illius Cæsare equidem, hujus autem Jephthæ humilior vix quicquam dici potest; cum vix unquam assurgant." (Heinsius *De Tragædiæ Constitutione*, p. 200, edit. Lugd. Bat. 1643, 12mo.)

however free from considerable blemishes. Although his subjects are scriptural, he frequently alludes to classical mythology, and to physical objects with which the Hebrews were totally unacquainted. To some of the characters in *Jephthes* he assigns Greek names; and the chorus in very familiar terms mention the wealth of Cræsus, who was not born till about six hundred years after Jephtha. These will be considered as glaring examples of impropriety; but it may at least be pleaded that similar errors have been committed by the ancient poets. The Persians of Æschylus speak of Jupiter and Hermes: in the *Electra* of Sophocles, the characters are very familiar with the Pythian games; and in the *Phœnissæ* of Euripides, the war between Eumolpus and the Athenians is placed in the same period with the contention between the sons of Œdipus, that is, about four generations too late.ⁿ In the *Amphitruo* of Plautus, the characters swear by Hercules before he is supposed to be born. Nor is it unworthy of remark that Heinsius, who claimed the honour of being the first critic that comprehended the system of Aristotle,^o and

ⁿ Grotii prolegomena in Euripidis Phœniss. Paris. 1630, 8vo. Valckenaer ad Phœniss. v. 861. Franeq. 1755, 4to.—“ Ἀναχρονισμοὶ et μεταχρονισμοὶ,” says Valckenaer, “nimis sunt frequentes apud quosvis, ne Homero quidem excepto, poëtas, tragicos præsertim, sed et apud philosophos, Platonem et Xenophontem.”

^o Heinsii Responsio ad Balsacium, p. 4, 25.—“L'art de la poësie,” says De Croi, “qu' Aristote et qu' Homere nous avoyent laissé, avoit esté ignoré jusqu' à luy.” (*Response au Discours de Balsac*, p. 10.)

who censured his predecessors with such freedom, has himself exhibited many gross violations of propriety; has in the same tragedy blended angels with the Furies, Michaël with Alecto, Tesiphone with Gabriël, and Megæra with Raphaël.^p

Of his dramatic performances Buchanan entertained a very modest opinion; but if we recollect the circumstances under which they originated, they cannot fail of exciting a considerable degree of admiration. Their composition was partly a task which his academical station imposed: he completed the four tragedies in the compass of three years, while engaged in the laborious occupation of teaching grammar to young students, and while he even regarded his life as insecure from the deadly malice of Cardinal Beaton and the grey friars. Whatever may be the defects

^p Salmasii Epistola ad Menagium, p. 77, 4to.—This tragedy of Daniel Heinsius is entitled *Herodes Infanticida*. Lugd. Bat. 1632, 8vo. Balzac published a “Discours sur une Tragedie, intitulée *Herodes Infanticida*,” (Paris, 1636, 8vo.) in which the merits of that composition were very fairly discussed. The poet was however of a different opinion; as he soon afterwards testified by his “Epistola, qua Dissertationi Balsacii ad *Heroden Infanticidam*, respondetur.” Lugd. Bat. 1636, 8vo. Jean de Croi, who afterwards assailed him in another quarter, was eager on this occasion to vindicate his fame: his work bears the title of “Response à la Lettre, et au Discours de Balsac, sur une Tragedie de Heins, intitulée *Herodes Infanticida*.” Geneve? 1642, 8vo. The controversy was terminated by the formidable interference of Salmasius; who had recently been engaged in another contest with Heinsius, respecting what is termed the Hellenistic language. His tract is entitled “Ad Ægidium Menagium Epistola, super *Herode Infanticida* viri celeberrimi Tragœdia, et Censura Balsacii.” Paris. 1644, 8vo. It is reprinted in the collection of his *Epistolæ*. Lugd. Bat. 1656, 4to. Balzac’s dissertation occurs in his *Oeuvres Diverses*, p. 110.

of those productions, they are at least superior to any of the Latin dramas which had been composed by modern poets. This province had been sufficiently cultivated by the scholars of Italy^a and Germany; but with a degree of success which leaves them very far behind the author of *Jephthes*. Most of their performances, when compared with those of Buchanan, will appear extremely unclassical and grotesque. At the period when he was thus reforming the classical theatre, the productions of Betuleius and Macropedius were received with much applause.^r

His translations from Euripides must have contributed, as well as his original compositions, to revive the genius of the ancient drama. These versions are executed with no inconsiderable felicity. The diction of *Alcestis* surpasses that of *Medea*; yet to his learned contemporaries the last appeared so highly classical, that strong suspicions were entertained of his having published in his own name a genuine relique of antiquity.^s

^a See Mr Walker's Historical and Critical Essay on the Revival of the Drama in Italy. Edinb. 1805, 8vo.

^r "Some in Englande, moe in France, Germanie, and Italie also, have written tragedies in our tyme: of which, not one, I am sure, is able to abyde the trew touch of Aristotle's preceptes, and Euripides' example, save onely two, that ever I saw, M. Watson's *Absalon*, and Georgius Buchananus' *Jephthes*." (Ascham's *Works*, p. 320.)

^s "Eodem certe modo," says H. Stephanus, "furti insimulatum fuisse Georgium Buchananum audiui, quum ejus *Medea* (id est Euripidis *Medea* ab eo Latine versa) in lucem prodiiit. In aliqua enim bibliotheca latentem hanc Latinam *Medeam* surripuisse, ac suam tandem fecisse. Sed quum talis sit hæc versio ut vel dignissima antiquitate (ad multos præsertim locos

The same tragedy had been translated by Ennius, whose version is not preserved.^t At a later period two tragedies of Euripides were translated by Erasmus;^u and his attempt is mentioned with becoming respect by his accomplished successor. It was probably the example of Buchanan that prompted other excellent scholars to similar enterprises: translations from the Greek dramatists were afterwards executed by Jos. Scaliger, Chrestien, and Grotius.^x

Buchanan's original tragedies have been translated into several languages.^y One of Milton's

quod attinet) dici queat, habent quo suam accusationem excusent." (*De bene Instituendis Græcæ Linguae Studiis*, p. 116. 1587, 4to.)

^t Ennii Fragmenta, p. 307, edit. Columnæ. Neapoli, 1590, 4to.

^u Erasmi Opera, tom. i, col. 1131, edit. Clerici.

^x Dr. Bentley remarks that Buchanan, Scaliger, Grotius, and other modern poets, have not sufficiently adverted to the prosody of the Greek dramatists. "All the moderns before had supposed, that the last syllable of every verse was common, as well in anapæsts, as they are known to be in hexameters and others: so that in poems of their own composing, the last foot of their anapæsts was very frequently a tribrachys, or a trochee, or a cretic; or the foot ended in a vowel or an *m*, while the next verse began with a vowel or an *h*. In every one of which cases an error was committed: because there was no licence allowed by the ancients to the last syllable of anapæsts; but the anapæst feet run on to the parœmiac, that is, to the end of the sett, as if the whole had been a single verse. This, I said, was a general rule among the Greek poets; and even Seneca, the Latin tragedian (to shew he was conscious of this rule, that I have now discover'd) never ends an anapæstic verse with a cretic, as Buchanan, Scaliger, Grotius, &c. usually do; though sometimes indeed he does it with a trochee, but even that very seldom, and generally at the close of a sentence." (*Dissertation upon Phalaris*, p. 132. Lond. 1699, 8vo.)—See likewise Bentley's *Epistola ad Millium*, p. 23, Ruddiman de *Metris Buchananæis*, p. 8, and Gaisford ad *Hephæstionem*, p. 281. Oxon. 1810, 8vo.

^y For the subsequent notices relative to the Italian and French translations, I am almost entirely indebted to the distinguished politeness of the

biographers has ascribed to that immortal poet an English version of the *Baptistes*: but his opinion is not authorized by the slightest vestige of evidence, either historical or internal; and his persevering observations on the subject exhibit a very curious and entertaining specimen of antiquarian reasoning.²

late Joseph Cooper Walker, Esq. who was very minutely acquainted with the history of the drama, and was always disposed to communicate literary information.

“L'Iefte, Tragedia di Giorgio Bucanano, recata di Latino in Volgare da Scipione Bargagli.” In Venezia per Matteo Valentini, 1600, 18vo.

“Jephté, ou le Vœu, Tragedie traduite du Latin de Buchanan par Florent Chrestien.” Printed with “Le Premier Chapitre des Lamentations de Jerémie en vers.” Orleans, Loys Rabier, 1567, 4to. Paris, Robert Estienne, 1573, 8vo. Mamert Patisson, 1587, 12mo. With the “Fragments de Louïs de Masures.” M. Patisson, 1595, 12mo. A specimen of this version may be found in the late excellent edition of Du Verdier's *Bibliothèque Française*, tom. i, p. 585. The same tragedy was translated into French by François Perrin, and by Nicholas le Digne, Sieur de Condes; but neither of their versions is known to have been printed.—In one of his curious publications, Mr Walker informs us that on the same subject with this drama of Buchanan, a French opera and an Italian tragedy were composed during the last century. (*Historical Memoir on Italian Tragedy*, p. 264, 338. Lond. 1799, 4to.)

“Baptiste, ou la Calomnie, Tragedie traduite du Latin de Bucanan, par M. Brinon.” Jean Osmont, 1613, 12mo. “I believe,” says Mr Walker, “Brinon translated also *Jephtes*.”

² Peck's New Memoirs of the Life and Poetical Works of Mr. John Milton. Lond. 1740, 4to.—The translation originally appeared with this title: “Tyrannical Government Anatomized: or, a discourse concerning evil counsellors; being the Life and Death of John the Baptist.” 1642. Mr Peck not being at first aware that this is only a version of the *Baptistes*, had employed a very curious series of arguments to prove that as it could not possibly be composed by any body else, it must consequently have been composed by Mr Milton; and he had moreover compiled many historical annotations to illustrate Mr Milton's evident allusions to contemporary transactions. Though he at length discovered his error in supposing it to be an original work, he was sorry to lose his arguments and his annota-

In the learned dramas represented in the College of Guienne, the well-known Michel de Montagne was a frequent performer. About the period when Buchanan was appointed a professor, he there commenced his academical studies at the early age of six years. Before his return home, which took place in his thirteenth year, he personated the principal characters in the Latin tragedies of Buchanan, Muretus, and Garentæus. Those learned men, together with Gru-

tions: he therefore published this version as Milton's, and retained all that he had formerly written, interspersed indeed with some qualifying phrases which only serve to heighten the ridicule. The preface to his new edition opens in the following manner. "His Baptistes is the sixth of Mr John Milton's nine *most celebrated* English poems; and one of the *hitherto unknown* pieces of his whereof I am now to give an account." The following specimen of the translation is one of the most favourable that are to be found; but it certainly comprehends nothing unattainable by talents very inferior to those of Milton.

Te quicquid aer continet laxo sinu ;

Quæcunque tellus, &c.

Whatere the ayre in its loose bosome bears ;

Whatere the earth can procreate, or sea

Within it waters nourish ; thee their God

All do acknowledge, and by thee alone

Finde their creation. In a constant way,

Thy laws once given, freely they obey.

At thy command the spring with flowers paints

The fertile fields, and fruits the summer yields ;

Autumne pure wine abundantly affords,

And winter with white frost the hills attires ;

The crooked rivers rolle into the sea

Huge heaps of waters ; the sea ebbs and flows ;

The silver moon illuminates the night,

The golden sun the day ; and views this orb

With never-resting brightnesse.

chius, he has commemorated as his domestic preceptors.^a

Montagne relates that when he afterwards saw Buchanan in the train of Marshal de Brissac, that illustrious poet alluded to his having formed a project of composing a work on education, in which he intended to exhibit the discipline of his old pupil as a proper exemplar. This project he seems never to have executed. It was perhaps his intention to write a philosophical poem on the subject; but he might relinquish the design in consequence of having commenced another didactic work soon after the period to which Montagne refers.

Buchanan's attention to the interests of elegant and useful learning was unremitting. In a Sapphic ode addressed to the youth of Bordeaux, he reminds them of the dignity and importance of the liberal arts, and particularly of that art which he had himself cultivated with such eminent success.^b The exertions of such a precep-

^a *Essais de Montagne*, liv. i, chap. xxv.—Sir Robert Sibbald supposes Buchanan to have resided in the country as Montagne's tutor. (*Comment. in Vitam Buchanani*, p. 13.) Montagne has also mentioned Muretus, Gruchius, and Garentæus, as his domestic preceptors. Like Buchanan, they were professors in the College of Guienne, where he was domesticated for several years; but that any of the four was entertained in his father's house, is a conjecture manifestly devoid of foundation. Mr Ruddiman, who likewise adopts this conjecture, places Buchanan's supposed rustication with Montagne between 1542 and 1544. But it is evident from the essay to which I have referred, that Montagne did not leave the College of Guienne before the year 1546.

^b *Buchanani Miscell.* ix.

tor could not fail of improving the taste of his pupils ; but the splendour of his poetry seems to have conferred upon the college a substantial benefit of another kind. This seminary was more remarkable for the learning of its members, than for the opulence of its endowments. The scantiness of their provision was so sensibly felt that Buchanan, probably at the suggestion of his colleagues, addressed a poetical representation to Francis Olivier, chancellor of the kingdom.^c On this occasion the powerful influence of the ancient lyre was revived : Buchanan afterwards inscribed to the chancellor an elegant ode, in which he commemorates his liberality and promptitude in ameliorating their condition.^d Olivier seems to have been warmly attached to the interests of polite literature, and of its professors. He is highly celebrated in the poems of De l'Hôpital, the most distinguished of his successors in the chancellorship. Turnebus addressed to him a similar petition in behalf of the royal professors at Paris.^e

The social intercourse which Buchanan enjoyed at Bordeaux may be supposed to have been neither inelegant nor uninteresting. That city had long evinced its respect for learning. In ancient times it could boast of a flourishing acade-

^c Buchananani Elegia v.

^d Buchananani Miscell. iv.

^e Delitiæ Poetarum Gallorum, tom. iii, p. 1045.

my,^f and of the poetical talents of its citizen Ausonius, by whom the merits of several contemporary professors have been commemorated. The foundation of the college to which Buchanan belonged was completed in the year 1534, when his friend Govea was invited from Paris to officiate as principal.^g In 1573, the College of the Jesuits was instituted by the liberality of M. de Baulon, a counsellor in the provincial parliament;^h and it was not perhaps till about that period that the schools of Bordeaux received the privileges of a university.ⁱ In the year 1555 however the College of Guienne maintained fifteen professors or public teachers.^k The accomplishments of Buchanan and of the able scholars with whom he was associated had established its reputation; and it was once regarded as the best seminary in France for the elementary instruction of youth.^l Several of his associates were men of eminent talents and erudition: among them he had formed

^f Bulæi Hist. Universitatis Parisiensis, tom. i, p. 46.

^g Gabriel de Lurbe, Chronique Bourdeloise, f. 42. Bourdeaux, 1594, 4to.—This work, originally written in Latin, was translated by the author; who was an advocate of Bordeaux. The French edition is more copious.—A work entitled *L'Antiquité de Bourdeaux, et de Bourg*, was published by Vinetus. A second edition, corrected and enlarged, was printed at Bordeaux in quarto in the year 1574.

^h De Lurbe, Chronique Bourdeloise, f. 48.

ⁱ Miræus mentions Bordeaux as the seat of a university. (*Notitia Episcopatum Orbis Christiani*, p. 275, edit. Antverp. 1613, 8vo.)

^k Schotti Bibliotheca Hispanica, p. 618.

^l Du Chesne, Antiquitez et Recherches des Villes, Chasteaux, et Places plus remarquables de toute la France, p. 751.

intimacies which he recollected with pleasure during the last years of his life ; and in his poetical works he commemorates his regard for some of the distinguished lawyers who then resided at Bordeaux. He has written in very favourable terms the epitaphs of François de Belcier, first president of the parliament, Briand de Vallée, one of the king's counsellors in the same court, and of Innocentius Fontanus, a lawyer and a poet.^m To De Vallée, whom he has extolled as one of the most worthy as well as most learned men whom the sun had beheld,ⁿ he addressed an elegy written with too much freedom.

Buchanan's social intercourse was not confined to the college and to the city ; it was at this period that he occasionally enjoyed the society of a very extraordinary personage who resided at a considerable distance. At Agen the elder Scaliger was now exercising the profession of a physician. That city, when he there fixed his residence, could not furnish him with a single individual capable of supporting literary conversation ; and he was therefore led to cultivate an intimacy with some of the more enlightened in-

^m Buchanan's Epigram. lib. ii, 17, 5, 19. *Innocentio Fontano Burdegaliensi Poetæ et Caussidico.*

ⁿ Briand de Vallée is thus mentioned in an epistle by one Pierre de Vallée, appended to Franciscus Bonadus's Latin version of the psalms, Paris. 1531, 8vo: "Imprimis scripturienti mihi occurrit Briandus ille Valla, Burdegalensis senatus consul ut vigilantissimus, ita utriusque literaturæ ornatissimus." This friend of Buchanan endowed a lecture of theology in the College of Guienne. (De Lurbe, f. 42.)

habitants of Bordeaux. Buchanan, Tevius, and other accomplished scholars who then belonged to the College of Guienne, were accustomed to pay him an annual visit during the autumnal vacation. They were hospitably entertained in his house; and he declared that he forgot the torture of his gout whenever he had an opportunity of discussing topics of learning with such guests.^o He has composed two poems in celebration of his illustrious friend.^p They are not distinguished by any peculiar felicity of expression; but they possess some value, as testimonials of the favourable opinion entertained of Buchanan by a critic who despised most of his learned contemporaries. For the society of this singular man, who possessed some bad and many good qualities, Buchanan has expressed a mutual relish.^q

Julius Cæsar Scaliger, according to the narrative of his son Joseph, was born on Friday the twenty-third of April 1484, in the castle of Ripa, situated at the head of the Lago di Garda.

^o “In Gymnasio autem Aquitanico Burdegalensi tunc erat Buchananus, Muretus, Tevius, alii: at qui viri? Ii quotannis feriis vindemialibus Aginnum Julii Cæsaris visendi commeabant, quos et tecto et mensa excipiebat. Negabat enim sibi rem cum podagra esse, quoties tales convivas haberet, quibuscum de literis loqui posset.” (Jos. Scaliger *De Vetustate et Splendore Gentis Scaligeræ*, p. 51.) In a later publication Scaliger denies that Muretus ever came to Agen after his settlement at Bordeaux: “Quum Burdegalam, relictâ schola Villanova, profectus, sibi in una classium Gymnasii Aquitanici doceret, circiter annum Christi MDXLVII. neque ex eo unquam aut Aginnum repetivit, aut Julium postea vidit.” (*Confutatio Fabulæ Burdonum*, p. 453.) These two passages are evidently irreconcilable.

^p Jul. Scaligeri *Poemata*, tom. i, p. 166, 321.

^q *Buchanani Epigram.* lib. i, 49.

He was the second son of Benedetto della Scala, descended of the royal house of Verona; which was despoiled of its principality by the republic of Venice. As Benedetto had commanded the armies of Matthias king of Hungary, and likewise enjoyed the favour of the emperor Frederick, the Venetians regarded him as a dangerous remnant of his illustrious family. Two days after his wife had been delivered of this child, they made an attempt to seize the mother and her two sons; but notwithstanding her critical situation, she escaped from the castle, and fled to her father the count of Lodronio.—Such is the genealogy which Joseph Scaliger has claimed in his unfortunate epistle to Janus Dousa;^r and his father very frequently alludes to the same splendour of ancestry. But the validity of their pretensions is extremely dubious. The Italian scholars, as one of them has remarked,^s were generally disposed to consider their royalty as purely fictitious. Scioppius, who attacked the dead father and the living son in a most atrocious manner, advanced many arguments in proof of their mean extraction.^t The character of this author

^r Jos. Scaliger de Vetustate et Splendore Gentis Scaligeræ. Lugd. Bat. 1594, 4to.—This epistle occupies the first fifty-seven pages of the collection of the author's *Epistolæ*. Lugd. Bat. 1627, 8vo.

^s Imperialis Museum Historicum, p. 64. Venet. 1640, 4to.—See likewise Maffei, Verona Illustrata, par. ii, p. 300, and Tiraboschi, Storia della Letteratura Italiana, tom. vii, p. 1483.

^t Scioppii Scaliger Hypobolimæus. Moguntia, 1607, 4to.—In the course of the following year Scioppius was exposed, in a volume entitled “*Satiræ duæ, Hercules tuam Fidem sive Munsterus Hypobolimæus, et*

renders every thing connected with his private veracity sufficiently equivocal: but on the other hand, many circumstances contribute to undermine the credit of the younger Scaliger's hyperbolical and romantic narrations; nor can the answer which he returned to Scioppius be deemed satisfactory with respect to any of the material points of debate. It is not true that his father was born in the castle of Ripa; he was born in the city of Verona.^u If he was actually knighted

Virgula Divina." The author of the two satires, as Scaliger has often remarked in his epistles, was Daniel Heinsius, who was then in the twenty-seventh year of his age. He was born at Ghent in 1581. Placcius has improperly ascribed the "*Confutatio Fabulæ Burdonum*" to Janus Rutgersius. (*Theatrum Anonymorum et Pseudonymorum*, tom. i, p. 37. Hamb. 1708, 2 tom. fol.) The title indeed bears "J. R. Batavus, Juris Studiosus;" but Scaliger has repeatedly mentioned it as his own production. On the eleventh of June 1608 he thus wrote to Janus Gruterus: "Occupatissimus hos dies fui in scripto quod adversus Burdonistas adorno. Nomen non apponam, neque meum qui scripsi, neque ejus quem anonymum hujus auctorem facio." (Scaligeri *Epistolæ*, p. 793.) He was willing that it should be considered as the composition of Rutgersius, a young scholar of the highest promise. To some editions is likewise appended a tract entitled "*Vita et Parentes Gasp. Schoppii*, a Germano quodam contubernali ejus conscripta." This German was perhaps Eilhardus Lubinus; who appears to have written some tract in disparagement of Scioppius. (*Ibid.* p. 725.) Scioppius afterwards published a work, which he pretended had long been suppressed by the artifices of the Calvinists. It bears the title of "*Oporini Grubini Amphotides Scioppianæ; hoc est Responsio ad Satyram Menippæam Josephi Burdonis Pseudo-Scaligeri pro Vita et Moribus Gasp. Scioppii.*" Paris. 1611, 8vo.—Dr Foster mentions Scioppius as a "very judicious and discerning scholar." (*Essay on Accent and Quantity*, p. 213. Eton, 1762, 8vo.)

^u Bayle, in his short account of Verona, has published the letters of naturalization which Scaliger obtained from Francis the first; and he is there denominated "*natif de la Ville de Veronne en Itallie.*" In those letters, his name and addition were unquestionably recited from his own memorial or petition.

by the emperor, it is certainly an extraordinary circumstance that he should never have assumed so honourable a distinction. It is apparently false that his original appellation was either Julius Cæsar Scaliger of Burden, or count of Burden.^x To accuse these very learned men of downright falsehood, may perhaps appear extremely harsh and indecent; but it is not easy to admit many of their assertions relative to this subject, which seems to have interested them above all others. With all their splendid endowments, they were subject to errors which might serve to reconcile the more obscure part of mankind to their obscurity.

The father's original profession was that of arms; and he is represented as having performed prodigious feats of strength and valour. After having fought under the banners of the emperor, he retired to Ferrara, where he experienced the

^x Gyraldus, the contemporary and friend of Scaliger, denominates him "Jul. Scaliger, qui prius *Burdonis* cognomine fuit, Veronensis, apprime eruditus." (*De Poetis suorum Temporum*, dial. ii, p. 415.) In the letters of naturalization he is termed "Julius Cæsar de l'Escalle de Bordoms, Docteur en Medecine." M. de la Monnoye conjectures with great probability, that instead of *Bordoms* we ought to read *Bordonis*, and that the omission of a point over the letter *i* in the manuscript occasioned the mistake. (*Menagiana*, tom. iii, p. 452.) Those letters contain no hint of his being descended of royal ancestors, born in the castle of Ripa, and adorned with the honour of knighthood. If Scaliger's high pretensions had been well-founded, he would not have failed to state them in his memorial; and if they had been thus stated, the titles of so honourable a subject must certainly have been recited in the instrument which constituted him a citizen of France. The date of this curious document is 1528. Bayle published it from a copy communicated by Baluzius.

liberality of the reigning duke. It was here perhaps that he became a pupil of the famous Ludovicus Cælius Rhodiginus, whom he has repeatedly mentioned as his preceptor,^y and who was professor of eloquence in that university before his removal to Padua.^z The poverty of his present condition led Scaliger to form a determination of assuming the habit of St. Francis: he accordingly went to the university of Bologna, and commenced his acquaintance with the writings of the subtile doctor; but his affection for a monastic life soon began to cool. The Franciscans he afterwards hated with as much cordiality as his friend Buchanan; and never willingly interchanged a single word with any member of that fraternity. Having passed into Piedmont for the purpose of visiting some of his fellow-students to whom he was much attached, he obtained the command of a troop of light horse from the French general who presided in that province; and, according to the report of his son, he performed such gallant service that he recommended himself to the personal notice of Francis the first. In the midst of his warlike broils he did not forget the pursuits of literature. His acquaintance with a physician of Turin produced an accidental bias towards the study of medicine; which he began to prosecute with all the ardour incident to so vigorous a mind. His military

^y Jul. Scaligeri Poetice, lib. iii, cap. cxxvi, Poemata, tom. i, p. 306.

^z Tomasini Elogia Virorum Illustrium, tom. ii, p. 63.

duty and nocturnal lucubrations, added to the inclemency of the sky, subjected him to a violent attack of the gout; but he had no sooner recovered his strength than he recurred with his wonted eagerness to the occupations of war and letters. Hitherto he was unacquainted with the Greek tongue; and although he had already exceeded the thirty-fifth year of his age, he applied himself to its acquisition with the utmost pertinacity and success. This intenseness of study having excited a fit of the gout more excruciating than the former, he determined to abandon the profession of a soldier. The bishop of Agen, who was related to some of his particular friends in Piedmont, having persuaded Scaliger to accompany him to his diocese as a military protector, it was the learned warrior's destiny to be there arrested by the charms of Andiette de Roques Lobeiac, a hopeful damsel of thirteen. Scaliger was more than triple that age, but he was a scholar and a soldier, and possessed the still superior recommendation of a tall and noble person. It is not however astonishing that the relations of Andiette, who was descended of a good family, should hesitate as to the expediency of her accepting the hand of a wrong-headed adventurer. They contrived to defer the match for the space of three years; but having persisted in his scheme with that pertinacity which characterised all his actions, he was at length successful. With this amiable woman, who became

the mother of fifteen children, his union was fortunate in every respect; she not only inherited landed property, but possessed other recommendations of a more valuable nature. Scaliger now established himself as a physician at Agen, where he spent the remainder of his days. His name was yet unknown in the republic of letters; but notwithstanding the irregular tenor of his life, he had provided a large fund of erudition, and panted to signalize himself as a literary gladiator. Erasmus had attained to the highest reputation, and Scaliger selected him as an antagonist not altogether unworthy of himself. In the year 1528 Erasmus had published his *Ciceronianus*, a very ingenious dialogue in which he exposes the laborious trifling of the professed Ciceronians; and in 1531 Scaliger published what he terms an oration in defence of Cicero against Erasmus. The very title of his work affords a proof of his having mistaken the question; for it was not the scope of the other production to extenuate the merits of Cicero himself, but to explode the preposterous notions of those servile admirers who hesitated to employ a single word or phrase, unless it had been sanctioned by the authority of their favourite author. But if Erasmus had called Cicero a blockhead and a rascal, and had himself been entitled to those appellations, Scaliger could hardly have attacked him in a more ferocious manner. His interference was the more impertinent, as he defended Cicero in

a style by no means Ciceronian. Erasmus had hitherto been unacquainted even with the name of the writer who now assailed him with such rudeness; and from internal evidence he was firmly persuaded that at least the principal part of the oration had been composed by Hieronymus Aleander.^a As he did not condescend to reply, his conduct was the most mortifying that he could have adopted. Scaliger finding himself thus treated with silent contempt, prepared a second oration still more injurious than the first; but it was not printed till after the death of the illustrious man whom he had loaded with insults equally unmerited and unprovoked.^b In his subsequent productions, he alternately mentions Erasmus with admiration and contempt. He

^a Jortin's Life of Erasmus, vol. i, p. 517.

^b Scaliger's invectives were afterwards republished by the learned Maussac. "Jul. Cæs. Scaligeri adversus Desid. Erasmum Orationes duæ, Eloquentiæ Romanæ vindices: una cum ejusdem Epistolis, et Opusculis aliquot nondum vulgatis." Tolosæ, 1621, 4to. Sixteen of his letters relative to this contest occur in the *Amœnitates Literariæ*, tom. vi, p. 508, tom. viii, p. 554. Of Scaliger's principal works, exclusive of his Latin poems, I shall subjoin a catalogue.

1. De Causis Linguae Latinæ libri tredecim. Lugduni, 1540, 4to.
2. Exotericarum Exercitationum liber quintus decimus, de Subtilitate, ad Hieronymum Cardanum. Lutetiæ, 1557, 4to.
3. Poetices libri septem, ad Sylvium filium. Apud Antonium Vincentium, 1561, fol.
4. Commentarii in sex libros de Causis Plantarum Theophrasti, et in libros Aristotelis de Plantis. Lugduni, 1566, fol.
5. Animadversiones in Historias Theophrasti. Lugduni, 1584, 8vo.
6. Aristotelis Historia de Animalibus, J. C. Scaligero interprete, cum ejusdem Commentariis. Tolosæ, 1619, fol.—This posthumous work was edited by Maussac.

afterwards commenced an attack on Cardan, in a work entitled *De Subtilitate*; and a more dogmatical or captious book never made its appearance. The productions which have chiefly perpetuated his fame are the two treatises on poetry, and the principles of the Latin language: in these he displays wonderful sagacity and erudition, but is frequently misled by an inherent love of paradox and contradiction. Huet represents him as a man of a vast and elevated genius, but of a very bad taste in poetry;^c and it must be acknowledged that the judgments which he pronounces on some of the principal poets of antiquity, have deservedly superseded his claims of infallibility. His own efforts as a poet have but little tendency to recommend him as a critic: his verses, which amount to a very formidable number, are for the most part elaborately composed, and frequently are pregnant with ingenious and subtile thought; but they are inelegant, harsh, and obscure. The style of his prose is however greatly superior: though not altogether free from barbarisms, it possesses much force and elevation. Having exceeded the seventy-fourth year of his age, this singular man was numbered with the dead on the twenty-first day of October 1558. Josephus Justus Scaliger, who was his tenth child, had then completed his eighteenth year. During the last four years of his

^c Huetiana, p. 90.

life, Julius was half a Lutheran; and Joseph renounced the Popish doctrines at an early crisis. Morhoff awards to the father the praise of superior genius;^d but Jortin characterizes the son as "the best critic and the greatest scholar that ever was born."^e His knowledge of languages was prodigious; and yet Latin was almost the only one which he did not acquire without the aid of a preceptor. For the short space of two months he attended the Greek lectures of Turnebus at Paris; and afterwards by his undirected exertions surmounted the difficulties of that tongue with incredible rapidity.^f His Greek

^d Morhofius de Pura Dictione Latina, p. 266.

^e Jortin's Tracts, vol. ii, p. 147.—Rutgersius describes him as "vir omnium ætatum maximus." (*Variae Lectiones*, p. 123. Lugd. Bat. 1617, 4to.) Of the life of Joseph Scaliger, no very satisfactory account has yet appeared. The best materials occur in his own correspondence, and in that of his learned contemporaries. Heinsius published two orations on his death. Lugd. Bat. 1609, 4to. Another on the same subject was pronounced by Baudius, who writes very elegantly in prose and in verse. (*Baudii Epistolæ et Orationes*, p. 632.) The opinions of many authors relative to Scaliger have been industriously collected by Colomiés. (*Gallia Orientalis*, p. 118.) A sketch of his life may be found in Nicéron, tom. xxiii, p. 279, and in Chauffepié, *Nouveau Dictionnaire Historique et Critique*, tom. iv, p. 194. Of his powerful influence on the literature of his adopted country, Ruhnkenius speaks in the following terms: "Mox enim tanquam cœlo missus Josephus Scaliger, cui Batavi prope omnem rectum ingenii cultum, quem ex eo tempore ceperint, si grati esse velint, acceptum referre debent, Scaliger igitur cum ceteras ingenuas artes, tum Græcas literas, earumque cum Latinis conjunctionem, in his regionibus fundavit." (*Elogium Tiberii Hemsterhusii: Opuscula*, p. 23. Lugd. Bat. 1807, 8vo.)

^f "Igitur vix delibatis conjugationibus Græcis," says Jos. Scaliger, "Homerum cum interpretatione arreptum uno et viginti diebus totum didici: poeticæ vero dialecti vestigiis insistens grammaticam mihi ipse formavi: neque ullam aliam didici, quam quæ mihi ex analogia verborum Homericorum observata fuit. Reliquos vero poetas Græcos omnes intra qua-

have been preferred to his Latin verses. The reflection that Scaliger, Cujacius, Muretus, and Ramus, were self-taught scholars, ought to operate as a most powerful incentive on the mind of the ingenuous youth debarred from the usual avenues of intellectual improvement.

About the period when Buchanan was accustomed to visit Agen, Joseph Scaliger was yet in his infancy; but he inherited his father's high admiration of the Scottish poet. To Buchanan he awarded a decided superiority over all the Latin poets of those times.^e

During the term of his residence in the College of Guienne, the satirist of the Scottish clergy did not find himself totally secure from danger. Cardinal Beaton, in a letter addressed to the archbishop of Bordeaux, requested him to secure the person of the heretical poet; but as this letter had been entrusted to the care of some individual much interested in the welfare of Buchanan, he was suffered to remain without mo-

tuor menses devoravi." (*Epistolæ*, p. 51.) This is certainly astonishing enough; but Huet's mode of refutation is not less singular. "*Experimento tandem meo comperi inania hæc esse Scaligeranæ ostentationis specimina; qualia multa sparsim adpersit operibus suis vir ille, excellentis cæteroquin doctrinæ et ingenii, sed nimium admirator et prædicator sui.*" (*Huetii Commentarius de Rebus ad eum pertinentibus*, p. 38. Hag. Com. 1718, 12mo.) Here the vanity of Scaliger is exposed with equal vanity; for unless Huet supposed his capacity equal to Scaliger's, he could not thus have appealed to his own experience.

¶ "Buchananus unus est in tota Europa omnes post se relinquens in Latina poesi." (*Prima Scaligerana*, p. 37.) In the history of Scotland, p. 42, Buchanan mentions the son of his deceased friend.

lestation. Still however he found himself annoyed by the threats of the cardinal and the grey friars: but the death of King James, and the appearance of a dreadful plague in Guienne, alleviated his former apprehensions.

Having resided three years at Bordeaux, he afterwards returned to Paris. In 1544 he was officiating as a regent in the College of Cardinal le Moine;^h and he apparently retained the same station till 1547. About the former of these periods he was miserably tormented with the gout. The ardour of his fancy was however undiminished: in an interesting elegy, composed in 1544, and addressed to his late colleagues Tastæus and Tevius, he exhibits a dismal picture of his own situation; and gratefully commemorates the assiduous attentions of his present associates Turnebus and Gelida.

O animæ, Ptolemæe, meæ pars altera, tuque
 Altera pars animæ, Tevi Jacobe, meæ,
 Scire juvat quid agam? vivo modo, si modo vivit
 Pondus iners, animæ corpus inane suæ.

^h In the very brief sketch of his own life, Buchanan makes no allusion to his having taught in that college: the fact may however be established by several circumstances. In his fourth elegy, he mentions Gelida as his associate; and that learned Spaniard is known to have belonged to the College of Cardinal le Moine. Moreri asserts that Buchanan, Turnebus, and Muretus, taught in that college at the same time; and though the general accuracy of this writer is not conspicuous, yet his testimony may be added to the other indications. Nicholas Bourbon, royal professor of Greek at Paris, who died at a very advanced age in 1644, assured Menage of the same circumstance. (*Anti-Baillet*, tom. i, p. 328.)

Sed tamen ingratas ceu vivi ducimus auras,
 Et trahit exanimem languida vita moram.
 Ignea vis febris rapido sic perfurit æstu,
 Ut minus Ætnæi sæviat ira rogi.
 Torrida concretis lapidescunt viscera grumis,
 Et latebras renum calculus urit atrox:ⁱ
 Ut Cereris possint, ut Bacchi munera credi
 Tacta Medusæis obriguisset comis.
 Sed tamen hæc nostri levis est accessio morbi,
 Et pars immensi vix numeranda mali:
 Humor enim cunctos late diffusus in artus,
 Qua jungunt flexus ossibus ossa suos,
 Obsedit cæcas pigro marcore lacunas,
 Cunctaque torpenti frigore membra ligat.
 Ex humeris pendent sine robore brachia laxa,
 Nec fluidum cervix sustinet ægra caput:
 Genua labant, et crura tremunt, lassique recusant,
 Tam celeres nuper, me modo ferre pedes.
 Sic ego defunctus jam vivo, mihique superstes,
 Et vitæ amisso munere fata moror:
 Quodque mihi superest fugitivæ lucis, id omne
 Dividit in pœnas Parca severa meas.^k

Having finished the description of his *case*, he

ⁱ Dr. Stuart specifies the stone as Buchanan's mortal disease: "Afflicted with the stone, and pressed down by the infirmities of old age, he felt the approach of his dissolution, and prepared for it like a philosopher." (*Hist. of Scotland*, vol. ii, p. 242.) This seems to be one of the bold assertions for which his work is so remarkable. His character of Buchanan is admirably delineated, but it is considerably indebted to the aid of a good invention.

^k *Buchanani Eleg. iv. Ad Ptolemæum Luxium Tastæum, et Jacobum Tevium, cum articulari morbo laboraret. M.D.XLIV.*—The second of Buchanan's *Silvæ* is a pastoral entitled "Desiderium P. L. Tastæi." From these two productions it may be collected that Tastæus was a native of Gascony, and that he had been associated with Buchanan in the College of Guienne.

introduces the names of several individuals to whom he seems to have been attached.

Denique vos animis talem me fingite, quales
Ad tumulos manes credit adesse timor ;
Qualia pinguntur miseris simulacra figuris
Terrificæ Mortis, mortiferæque Famis.

At neque Tastæus, nec Tevius assidet, ore
Suaviloquo longum qui vetet esse diem :

Nec mihi delicias blandi facit oris Alanus,

Nec lepida alludit garrulitate Petrus :

Nec recreant animum doctis sermonibus ægrum

Cætera Vasconicæ turba diserta scholæ.

Sed nec amicitia mihi pectora cognita certæ

In mediis hic me deseruere malis.

Sæpe mihi medicas Groscollius explicat herbas,

Et spe languentem consilioque juvat :

Sæpe mihi *Stephani* solertia provida *Carli*

Ad mala præsentem tristia portat opem.

TURNEBUS Aonii rarissima gloria cœtus

Officiis vacuum non sinit ire diem :

Cæteraque ut cessent, *GELIDÆ* pia cura sodalis

Et patris et patriæ sustinet usque vicem.

Carolus Stephanus, whose medical aid Buchanan has thus acknowledged, was a doctor of physic of the faculty of Paris ; and, like many of his relations, was equally distinguished as a scholar and as a printer.¹ After having produced various

¹ Henricus Stephanus, or *Estienne*, established a press at Paris about the year 1500. His son Robertus was born in 1503, and died in 1559. He had continued the same business at Paris ; but in 1552 he betook himself to Geneva, where he died in the communion of the reformed church. He was eminently skilled in the Hebrew, Greek, and Latin languages. He chiefly distinguished himself by his editions of the original scriptures, and by his *Thesaurus Linguae Latinæ*. His brothers Franciscus and Carolus

works in the Latin and French languages, he died at Paris in the year 1564.

In the College of Cardinal le Moine, Buchanan was associated with colleagues worthy of himself; with Turnebus and Muretus, two of the most eminent scholars of modern times; and with Joannes Gelida, who, though of inferior

were printers at Paris. The former was also a bookseller; and in the printing business was associated with his step-father Simon Colinaeus. Carolus, among other works of his own composition, published the *Thesaurus M. Tullii Ciceronis*. 1556, fol. This book is now sold "insano pretio." The second Henricus Stephanus, who was the son of Robertus, was born at Paris in 1528, and died at Lyons in 1598. Most of his impressions were executed at Paris, but he had also a press at Geneva. Notwithstanding his eminence as a printer and as a scholar, he failed to amass riches; and he is even reported to have closed his long and useful life in an hospital. "Cum patriam oblivisci non posset," says Cornelius Tollius, "Lugdunum se contulit; ubi opibus, atque ipso etiam ingenio destitutus, vitæ, et tot exantlatis pro republica literaria laboribus, in noscomio finem fecit." (*Appendix ad Picrium de Literatorum Infelicitate*, p. 88.) For this assertion Tollius quotes no authority; and it is to be hoped that he had been misinformed. Robertus the brother of H. Stephanus was disinherited on account of his attachment to Popery; but he succeeded his father at Paris as printer to the king. He wrote various fugitive poems in Greek and Latin. He is supposed by Maittaire to have died in 1588. His son Franciscus having embraced the reformed religion, established a press at Geneva. Paulus the son of the second H. Stephanus was also a Protestant: having settled at Geneva, he printed various works in a correct manner, and contributed to support the reputation of the family. He is the author of several Latin poems. The third Robertus, son of the second, began to be distinguished at Paris as a printer about the year 1588. He was a writer of Greek and Latin verses; and translated into French the first two books of Aristotle's rhetoric. The version was completed by a nephew who bore the same name. Antonius the son of Paulus was printer to the French king during the earlier part of the seventeenth century. Of the name of Stephanus there were other printers, whose history cannot be traced with sufficient accuracy. Consult Almeloveen *De Vitis Stephanorum*, Amst. 1683, 8vo; and more particularly Maittaire's *Historia Stephanorum*. Lond. 1709, 8vo.

fame, has also been characterized as a man of great acuteness and erudition. It is remarked by a French historian that three of the most learned men in the world then taught humanity in the same college.^m The first class was taught by Turnebus, the second by Buchanan, and the third by Muretus.ⁿ

Adrianus Turnebus, if any reliance may be placed on the dubious authority of Dempster, was the descendant of Scottish ancestors;^o and it is at least certain that his original name furnishes us with a plausible argument of his compatriotism. His French name, it seems to be admitted, was originally Tournebeuf;^p which is a correct translation of the Scottish Turnbull. He was born however at Andely near Rouen in Normandy in the year 1512. Having been sent to Paris in the eleventh year of his age, he soon rose to great distinction as an elegant and profound scholar. The history of his academical promotions has not been very accurately detailed; but he is known to have taught humanity at Toulouse, and afterwards, through the influence of ^qPetrus Gal-

^m Marolles, *Abregé de l'Hist. de France*, p. 324, quoted by Teissier.

ⁿ Moreri, *Dictionaire Historique*, art. *Muret*.

^o "Scotum fuisse," says Dempster, "acta familiæ leguntur, ut mihi sæpe referebat v. cl. filius ipsius, summus Lutetiæ senator, quem virtutum non nominis modo hæredem immaturum ex sacro ordine ante triennium mors rapuit." (*Hist. Ecclesiast. Gent. Scotorum*, p. 624.) If Dempster had quoted the authority of a living voucher, it would have been less suspicious.

^p Mollerus de *Scriptoribus Homonymis*, p. 790. Hamb. 1697, 8vo.

^q *Turnebi Adversaria*, lib. ii, cap. i, *Oratio habita post J. Tusani Mortem*, cum in ejus locum suffectus est, p. 18. Lutetiæ, 1595, 8vo.—Jacobus Tusanus died in the year 1547.

landius, to have obtained a Greek professorship at Paris. To this was added, in 1552, the appointment of Greek printer to the king; but on being nominated, in 1555, royal professor of philosophy and of the Greek language, he resigned his typographical charge.^r To the infinite regret of learning and virtue, he died on the twelfth of June 1565. It was his earnest request that his body should be interred without the usual ceremonies of the Popish church; and at nine o'clock in the evening of the same day, it was accordingly deposited in the earth by a small number of his friends. He had lived without any open avowal of his affection for the reformation;^s but on being interrogated a few days previous to his death, he professed his abhorrence of Popery.^t The earnestness with which both parties claimed him as their associate, affords a strong proof of the importance attached to his name. He has been described as a man adorned by every virtue; and no scholar seems to have been more generally revered by his contemporaries. In several of the German universities, it was custom-

^r Maittaire, *Historia Typographorum aliquot Parisiensium*, p. 50, 56. Lond. 1717, 8vo.

^s Leodegarii a Quercu *Oratio Funebris de Vita et Morte Adriani Turnebi*, p. 102.—This oration occurs among the miscellaneous works of Turnebus. Argentorati, 1600, fol. His *Adversaria* form a separate volume of the same size. *Ibid.* 1599. His poems are reprinted in the *Delitiæ Poetarum Gallorum*, tom. iii. Le Laboureur has republished a poem entitled “Politrotus Meræus Adriani Turnebi.” (*Additions aux Memoires de Michel de Castelnau*, tom. ii, p. 226.)

^t *Epistola quæ vere exponit Obitum Adriani Turnebi*. Paris. 1565, 4to.

ary for the professors, when in their public lectures they quoted the authority of Turnebus and Cujacius, to move the right hand to their cap, in token of the profound veneration with which they regarded their memory.^u His unabating ardour of study rendered him conspicuous at a period when study was a general passion; and, like Budæus, he even devoted several hours of his wedding day to the pursuits of literature. His learning was variegated, elegant, and profound. He was equally a master of Greek and Roman philology. It was the great object of his labours to illustrate the reliques of ancient genius; and for this department he was eminently qualified by his sagacity and erudition. It was indeed objected by a contemporary scholar of high reputation, that in reviewing the writings of the ancients, he was too fond of proposing conjectural emendations.^x This fault is incident to many critics of prompt and keen discernment: they are more apt to render suspected passages what they might have been, than what they originally were. His Latin versions are executed with great fidelity and skill.^y

One of his accomplished friends has remarked, that in his writings he was as violent against those

^u Pasquier, *Recherches de la France*, p. 834, edit. Paris, 1621, fol.—Lipsius has described Turnebus as “*optimus unus omnium quos sol vidit.*” (*Epistolicae Quæstiones*, lib. v, epist. xvii.)

^x Victorii *Variae Lectiones*, p. 425, edit. Florent. 1582, fol.

^y Huetius *de Interpretatione*, p. 158. Paris. 1661, 4to.

who merited his indignation, as he was gentle in his manners towards men of worth and learning.^a On several occasions he has indeed manifested considerable warmth. Respecting some of the works of Cicero which he had illustrated by his observations, he was led into a controversy with Ramus and his admirer Audomarus Talæus. Though in an earlier work he had mentioned him with high respect,^a it must be acknowledged that he now treated Ramus with much contempt. Turnebus was also embroiled with Bodin, another writer of superior endowments. Bodin published an edition of the Cynegetics ascribed to Oppian, accompanied with emendations which Turnebus immediately claimed as his.^b He how-

^a Lettres d'Etienne Pasquier, tom. i, p. 556.

^a Turnebus de Methodo, f. 2. Paris. 1600, 8vo.

^b Bodin's edition includes a poetical version, and a commentary. "Oppiani de Venatione libri IIII. Joan. Bodino Andegavensi interprete. Ad D. Gabrielem Boverium Andium Episcopum. His accessit Commentarius varius et multiplex, ejusdem interpretis." *Lutetiae, apud Michaelem Vasosanum*, 1555, 4to. The edition of Turnebus soon followed. 'Οππιανῆς Ἀναζαρετίως Ἀλιευτικῶν βιβλία ι. Κυνηγετικῶν βιβλία δ. *Parisiis, apud Adr. Turnebum typographum regium*, 1555, 4to. After the various readings and emendations, Turnebus has subjoined an address which evidently alludes to the conduct of Bodin. "Septem abhinc annis leviter emendaveram Oppianum de Venatione, partim animi conjectura, partim libri veteris ope. Eas emendationes quidam usurpavit, et sibi donavit, quas tamen non putabam tanti, ut in furtivis rebus esse deberent: eas a nobis vindicatas et recuperatas esse nemo conqueri debet. Nam rerum furtivarum lege æterna est auctoritas. Non me latet," &c. The following manuscript notice occurs in the margin of the copy which belonged to Isaac Casaubon, and which is now deposited in the British Museum. "Is est Jo. Bodinus, qui tamen hoc negat, et de Turnebo conqueritur. Ego Turnebum verum scio loqui." The complaint to which Casaubon refers is a passage in Bodin's *Methodus ad Facilem Historiarum Cognitionem*, p. 94. Paris. 1566, 4to. "Quos ego

ever claimed them without that violence of invective which philologists have so frequently displayed on similar occasions; and according to Bongars, this plagiarism of Bodin was notorious among their countrymen.^c

Marcus Antonius Muretus was considerably younger than Buchanan and Turnebus. He was born at the village of Muret near Limoges, on the twelfth of April 1526. Like several other scholars of the greatest name, he was his own preceptor.^d He was successively a public teacher of humanity, philosophy, or jurisprudence, at Auch, Villeneuve d'Agen, Paris, Bordeaux, Poitiers, and Toulouse. At Toulouse he fell under suspicion of an abominable crime, and even incurred some hazard of being committed to the flames; but a counsellor of the parliament having communicated to him a dark intimation of his danger by a single line of Virgil,^e he fled towards Italy with the utmost terror and precipitation. His consternation, among other effects, produced a mobility in his ears.^f Having thus

libros cum Latino versu et commentariis illustrassem, quidam grammaticus eosdem libros oratione soluta, quantum libuit de meo labore detrahens, iterum pervulgavit."

^c "Jam edidisse illum lectiones Turnebi in Oppianum pro suis, nemo nostrorum ignorat." See a letter from Bongars to Rittershusius, published by Colomiés, *Gallia Orientalis*, p. 83. Hagæ Comitum, 1665, 4to.

^d Sammarthani *Elogia Gallorum Doctrina Illustrum*, p. 85.

^e Heu fuge crudeles terras, fuge littus avarum.

^f Casauboni *Animadversiones in Athenæum*, lib. x, cap. i. Colomesii *Opuscula*, p. 39. P. Petiti *Commentarii in tres priores Aretæi libros*, p. 17. Lond. 1726, 4to.—Procopius, who represents Justinian as a mere ass,

abandoned his native country in the year 1554, he fixed his residence at Venice, where he opened a public lecture in the Franciscan monastery.^g He afterwards removed to Padua, and received pupils into his house;^h and here he was again suspected of the same foul crime.ⁱ Six years after his settlement in Italy, he was invited to Rome by Cardinal Ippolito d'Este; and in the house of that illustrious churchman, and of his brother Lodovico, who had arrived at the same high preferment, he continued till the time of his death. By his various writings, and by his prelections in the Roman university, he now acquired a reputation almost unrivalled. He successively filled with the same applause, the departments of philosophy, civil law, and humanity.^k At the sedate age of fifty, he entered into holy orders. The younger Scaliger, if his sentiments be faithfully represented, was disposed to regard him as a mere atheist; nor is it difficult to con-

has averred that the resemblance also obtained in the article of moving ears. Ἡλίδιός τε γὰρ ὑπερφυῶς ἦν, καὶ νωθεῖ ὄνῳ ἰμφορῆς μάλιστα. καὶ οἷος τῷ τὸν χαλινὸν ἔλκοντι ἑπίσθαι. συχνά οἱ σειομένων τῶν ὠτῶν. (*Historia Arcana*, p. 36, edit. Alemanni. Lugd. 1623, fol.) Justinian however did not literally wear a bridle; and perhaps these last expressions are also to be received in a figurative sense.

^g Ghilini, Teatro d'Huomini Letterati, vol. i, p. 165.

^h Joan. Mich. Bruti Epistolæ Clarorum Virorum, p. 401, 403. Lugd. 1561, 8vo.—The correspondence of Muretus and Lambinus, reprinted from the very rare collection of Brutus, may be found in Ruhnkenius's edition of Muretus, tom. i, p. 379.

ⁱ Mureti Opera, tom. i, p. 390.

^k Bencii Orationes, p. 241. Erythræi Pinacotheca, tom. i, p. 11.

ceive that the rank soil of Rome produced atheistical priests in great abundance. Erythræus, who extols his piety with much grimace, has recorded it as a memorable circumstance that when his health permitted, he daily celebrated mass with many tears. He died at Rome on the fourth of June 1585, and left a moral character which it is not too harsh to consider as extremely dubious.^l Of the abominable crime repeatedly laid to his charge he was perhaps innocent: he must either have been very guilty, or very unfortunate.^m A rumor likewise prevailed of his having polluted his hands with blood. He was besides accused of an intemperate use of wine;ⁿ and when a benefice suddenly converted him into a saint, he

^l Gallia quod peperit, pepulit quod Gallia monstrum,
Quem Veneti profugum non potuere pati,
Muretum esse sibi civem jussere Quirites,
Et tumulo extinctum composuere suo.
Vivere nam potius qua debuit urbe cinædus?
Impius et quamam dignius urbe mori?

BEZÆ Poemata Variæ, p. 144.

^m There is one charge of which Muretus may very readily be acquitted; namely that of having composed an impious book, *De Tribus Impostoribus*, on the three impostors, Moses, Jesus, and Mahomet. This book has with the utmost confidence been imputed to many authors, of different ages, and of the most opposite denominations; but it is extremely evident that such a book did not at that time exist. See M. de la Monnoye's "Lettre à M. Bouhier sur le prétendu livre des trois Imposteurs" (*Menagiana*, tom. iv, p. 374.), and a note in Dr Maclaine's translation of Mosheim's *Ecclesiastical History*, vol. iii, p. 147.

ⁿ "Sed crimen istud," says Erythræus, "illudque, quod in Gallia hominem occiderit, et interdum vino se ad ebrietatem oneravit, si vera forent, posset aliquis *juventutis excusatione* defendere." (*Pinacotheca*, tom. i, p. 13.) Sodomy and murder being mere peccadillos, ought by all means to be excused in a lad of spirit. "Nec ludos puero abnuimus."

himself acknowledged that the former part of his life had been sensual and gross.^o The evidence of his speculative atheism is certainly incompetent; but the injurious imputations attached to his personal character, derive the strongest confirmation from the profligate strain of his writings.^p The obsequiousness with which he adapted himself to the pestiferous meridian of Rome, cannot but be regarded as an indication of practical atheism: in two of his elegant orations, he has exerted all his skill to embalm the loathsome putrescence of Charles the ninth; and his elaborate encomium on the massacre of St. Bartholomew must be remembered to his eternal infamy.^q The guilt of those execrable politicians who produced this unparalleled scene of butchery, is hardly to be compared to that of the enlightened scholar who could calmly extol so damnable a deed.^r

^o Mureti Opera, tom. i, p. 766.

^p The conduct of Muretus was Jesuitical enough; but the excellent Dr Jortin is mistaken in supposing that he was literally a Jesuit. (*Life of Erasmus*, vol. ii, p. 13.) This mistake, which had also been committed by Thomasius, seems to have arisen from the circumstance of his funeral oration having been pronounced by the Jesuit Bencius.

^q “O noctem illam memorabilem et in fastis eximiae alicujus notæ adjec-tione signandam, quæ paucorum seditiosorum interitu regem a præsentis cædis periculo, regnum a perpetua civilium bellorum formidine liberavit! Qua quidem nocte stellas equidem ipsas luxisse solito nitidius arbitror; et flumen Sequanam majores undas volvisse, quo citius illa impurorum hominum cadavera evolveret et exoneraret in mare. O fœlicissimam mulierem Catharinam regis matrem,” &c. (Mureti Opera, tom. i, p. 177.)

^r Menage professes to regard his memory with “toute sorte de vénération: aiant appris du Jésuite Bencius, que les neuf dernières années de sa vie

These disgraceful characteristics of the man render the most elegant of his works less palatable. He was however a scholar of the first magnitude. He has written in prose and in verse with the same purity and elegance: but his chief distinction is that of an excellent philologer; for although his diction is seldom or never unclassical, yet he rarely evinces the native elevation of a poet or orator. Before he had been accused at Toulouse, and consequently before he had assumed the consummate hypocrisy of a Roman courtier, Buchanan addressed to him a little poem in commendation of his tragedy of *Julius Cæsar*.^s

It may be mentioned as a striking proof of his classical attainments, that he imposed upon Joseph Scaliger some verses of his own as the compositions of two ancient Latin poets. This great critic was so firmly persuaded of their genuineness, that he published them in one edition of his notes on Varro;^t and when Muretus soon afterwards acknowledged the imposition, he felt a degree of resentment which only a critic could feel.

il étoit d'une dévotion si fervente qu'il pleuroit en disant la messe." (*Anti-Baillet*, tom. i, p. 319.) A funeral oration, composed by a Jesuit, and delivered in Rome, is certainly the most slender authority that could easily be produced in favour of clerical piety. All that can be concluded from the elegant flourishes of Bencius is, that Muretus never dreamed of piety till he became a priest. (*Orationes*, p. 248.)

^s This tragedy of Muretus was printed among his *Juvenilia*. Paris. 1553, 8vo.—The edition of his works which I use is that published by the very learned David Ruhnkenius. Lugd. Bat. 1789, 4 tom. 8vo.

^t Jos. Scaliger ad Varronem, p. 212, edit. H. Stephani, 1573, 8vo.

It was one act of his revenge to write an epigram in allusion to the scandalous affair of Toulouse.^u Both fragments are founded on a passage of Philemon, preserved by Plutarch and Stobæus:^x the first was ascribed to Attius, the other to Trabea.

Nam si lamentis allevaretur dolor,
Longoque fletu minueretur miseria,
Tum turpe lacrumis indulgere non foret,
Fractaque voce divum obtestare fidem,
Tabifica donec pectore excessit lues.
Nunc hæc neque hilum de dolore detrahunt,
Potiusque cumulum miseris adjiciunt mali,
Et indecoram mentis mollitiam arguunt.

Here, si querelis, ejulatu, fletibus
Medicina fieret miseriis mortalium,
Auro parandæ lacrumæ contra forent :
Nunc hæc ad minuenda mala non magis valent,
Quam nænia preficæ ad excitandos mortuos.
Res turbidæ consilium, non fletum expetunt.^y

Buchanan, Turnebus, and Muretus, although they spent the best part of their lives in scholastic occupations, contracted none of the peculiari-

^u Jos. Scaligeri Poemata, p. 24. Lugd. Bat. 1615, 16to.

^x Menandri et Philemonis Reliquiæ, a J. Clerico, p. 328. Amst. 1709, 8vo. Bentleii Emendationes in Menandri et Philemonis Reliquias, p. 137, edit. Cantab. 1713, 8vo.—The genuine fragments of Attius, or Accius, and Trabea may be found in Stephanus's *Fragmenta Poetarum Veterum Latinorum*, p. 5,424. Excudebat H. Stephanus, 1564, 8vo. Those of Attius occur in Sriverius's *Collectanea Veterum Tragicorum*, p. 89. Lugd. Bat. 1620, 8vo.

^y Mureti Hymnorum Sacrorum liber : ejusdem alia quædam Poematia, p. 56. Venetiis, apud Aldum, 1575, 8vo.

ties incident to their profession. It was a customary remark of the famous poet Ronsard that those admirable scholars, together with Anthony Govea, all of whom were his intimate friends, presented nothing of the pedagogue except the gown and cap.² Ronsard had been accustomed to live with men of courtly manners, and may be considered as a competent judge of politeness.

Joannes Gelida, another member of the same college, and an associate to whose pious care Buchanan acknowledges himself to have been so much indebted, was a native of Valentia. He emigrated from Spain at an early period of life, and prosecuted his academical studies at Paris. In that university, his talents procured him the appointment of a public teacher of what was then called philosophy. His stature was somewhat diminutive; but as his natural acuteness was accompanied with powerful lungs and a clear voice, he appeared to great advantage in the disputations. But the unprofitable and barbarous science in which he had been initiated, was now beginning to be exploded: the exertions of Jacobus Faber Stapulensis, and other champions, had at length introduced into that flourishing seminary a more genuine species of philosophy. Gelida, at the mature age of forty, began to discover that he had hitherto been exercised in laborious trifles; but his mind still retained its youthful

² Thuani Hist. sui Temporis, tom. iv, p. 99.

elasticity, and he determined to retrace the course of his studies. He now applied himself, for the first time, to the attentive perusal of Cicero and other Roman authors of classical fame; and afterwards, with great avidity, to the acquisition of the Greek tongue, which he had entirely neglected in his earlier years. His strenuous perseverance soon conducted him to uncommon proficiency as a polite scholar; and it is this useful part of his history that entitles him to a more conspicuous station among the accomplished friends of Buchanan. Gelida is said to have presided over the college to which Buchanan now belonged; but as it is certain that he afterwards removed to Bordeaux to act as Govea's surrogate, this statement may be suspected of inaccuracy. Leaving a widow and a little daughter, he died at Bordeaux on the nineteenth of February 1556, after having exceeded the age of sixty.^a

In the college where he found such able coadjutors, Buchanan seems to have remained several years. The king of Portugal had lately founded the university of Coimbra; and as his own dominions could not readily supply competent professors, he invited Andrew Govea to accept the principality, and to conduct from France a considerable number of proficients in philosophy and

^a Schotti Bibliotheca Hispanica, p. 616. Thuani Hist. sui Temporis, tom. i, p. 610. Nicéron, Memoires pour servir à l'Histoire des Hommes Illustres dans la Republique des Lettres, tom. xxii, p. 104.

ancient literature. Govea accordingly returned to his native country in the year 1547, accompanied by Buchanan and other associates. The affairs of Europe presented an alarming aspect; and Portugal seemed to be almost the only corner free from tumults. To the proposals of Govea he had not only lent a prompt ear, but was so much satisfied with the character of his associates, that he also persuaded his brother Patrick to join this famous colony. To several of its members he had formerly been attached by the strictest ties of friendship; these were Gruchius, Garentæus, Tevius, and Vinetus, who have all distinguished themselves by the publication of learned works.^b The other scholars of whom it consisted, were Arnoldus Fabricius,^c John Cos-

^b “Erant enim plerique per multos annos summa benevolentia conjuncti, ut qui ex suis monumentis orbi claruerunt, Nicolaus Gruchius, Gulielmus Garentæus, Jacobus Tevius, et Elias Vinetus. Itaque non solum se comitem libenter dedit, sed et Patricio fratri persuasit, ut se tam præclaro cœtui conjungeret.” (*Buchanani Vita*, p. 6.) Of Tevius and Vinetus some account will afterwards be given. Garentæus, or Guerente, is commemorated by Montagne as a commentator on Aristotle, and as a writer of Latin tragedy. (*Essais*, liv. i, chap. xxv.) Gruchius, who was a native of Roüen, distinguished himself by the publication of several very learned works on Roman antiquities; and even Sigonius found him a formidable antagonist. Their rival productions occur in the collection of Grævius. (*Thesaurus Antiquitatum Romanarum*, tom. i.) Onuphrius Panvinus mentions Gruchius in terms of high commendation. (*Imperium Romanum*, p. 304.) He was not less familiarly conversant with the Greek philosophy than with Roman antiquities: he taught Aristotle in the schools with high reputation; and he corrected some of the errors committed by Perionius in translating a portion of his works. He died at Rochelle in the year 1572. (*Thuani Hist. sui Temporis*, tom. iii, p. 209. *Sammarthani Elogia*, p. 52.)

^c “Arnoldi Fabricii Vasatensis Epistolæ aliquot” are printed with the

ta, and Anthony Mendez, who are not known as authors: the first was a native of Bazats, the other two were Portuguese. All these professors, except P. Buchanan and Fabricius, had taught in the College of Guienne.^d To this authentic catalogue Dempster has added, probably without sufficient authority, other two Scottish names; those of John Rutherford and William Ramsay.^e

Govea had relinquished his office at Bordeaux in the intention of resuming it after an interval of two years; and in the mean time had delegated his authority to Gelida. But death arrested him in his native country. Gelida was then appointed principal of the College of Guienne, which he continued to govern till the time of his

epistles of Gelida. His name is therefore inserted in the catalogue of J. A. Fabricius, who has however collected no particulars of his life. (*Centuria Fabriciorum Scriptis Clarorum*, p. 12. Hamb. 1709, 8vo.) Of this little work a continuation was published by the author in 1727, entitled "*Fabriciorum Centuria secunda, cum prioris Supplemento*."—"Joannis Costæ ad Lusitaniam Carmen" is prefixed to the historical production of his countryman Tevius.

^d Schotti Bibliotheca Hispanica, p. 617.

^e Dempster, p. 564-6. Mackenzie, vol. iii. p. 137.—John Rutherford was provost of St. Salvator's College at St. Andrews about the year 1572. (Bannatyne's *Journal*, p. 375.) He published a commentary on Aristotle's poetics, and a work entitled "*Commentariorum de Arte Disserendi libri quatuor. Joanne Retorforti Jedburgæo Scoto authore. Et nunc demum ab eodem diligenter recogniti et emendati*." Edinburgi, apud Henricum Charteris, 1577, 4to. Pp. 78. From this title he appears to have been a native of Jedburgh. See Appendix, No. vi.—Dempster asserts that William Ramsay was afterwards a professor in the university of Leyden. Jacobus Ramseius, J. C. is enumerated by Meursius among the Leyden professors of philosophy and eloquence. (*Athenæ Batavæ*, p. 351.) Gifanius mentions one Ramsay, a very learned friend of Buchanan's, who had formerly been a professor at Wittemberg. (*Buchanani Epistolæ*, p. 7.)

decease. Govea died in the year 1548; and Buchanan, in a short epitaph, gratefully commemorated the services which he had rendered to literature.^f During the lifetime of this worthy man, Buchanan and his associates had found their situation at Coimbra sufficiently agreeable; but after they were deprived of his protection, the Portuguese began to persecute them with unrelenting bigotry. They were first assailed by the secret weapons of calumny, and were at length accused of imaginary crimes. Three of their number were thrown into the dungeons of the inquisition, and after having been subjected to a tedious imprisonment, were at length arraigned at this inhuman tribunal. According to the usual practice, they were not confronted with their accusers; of whose very names they were ignorant. As they could not be convicted of any crime, they were overwhelmed with reproaches, and again committed to custody.

Buchanan had attracted an unusual degree of indignation. He was accused of having written an impious poem against the Franciscans; yet with the nature of that poem the inquisitors were totally unacquainted. The only copy which he had suffered to escape, was presented to his native sovereign; and before he ventured beyond the borders of France, he had even adopted the pre-

^f Buchanani Epigram. lib. ii, 18.

caution of having the circumstances of its composition properly represented to the Portuguese monarch. He was also charged with the heinous crime of eating flesh in Lent; and yet with respect to that very article, not a single individual in Portugal deemed it necessary to practise abstinence. Some of his strictures relative to monks were registered against him; but they were such as monks only could regard as criminal. He was moreover accused of having alleged, in a conversation with some young Portuguese, that with respect to the eucharist, St. Augustin appeared to him to be strongly inclined towards the opinion condemned by the church of Rome.^g Two witnesses, whom he afterwards discovered to be Ferrerius and Talpin, made a formal deposition of their having been assured by several respectable informants, that Buchanan was disaffected to the Romish faith.^h

After the inquisitors had harassed Buchanan

^g See Dr M'Crie's *Life of Knox*, vol. ii, p. 295.

^h "Alii duo testes Joannes Tolpinus Normannus, et Joannes Ferrerius e Subalpina Liguria," &c. (*Buchanani Vita*, p. 6.) Read *Talpinus*. "Jean Talpin, Docteur et Chanoine Theologal à Perigueux l'an 1570," was a native of Normandy. He is the author of various works in the French language, enumerated by La Croix du Maine, tom. i, p. 591, and by Du Verdier, tom. ii, p. 520. Ferrerius had formerly visited Scotland, where he resided in the monastery of Kinloss. In a very juvenile work, I have mentioned several of his literary productions. (*Dissertation on the Literary History of Scotland*, p. 80.) The catalogue may however be augmented from Conrad Gesner's *Pandectæ sive Partitiones Universales*, f. 29, 65, 72. Tiguri, 1548, fol. Gesner mentions him with respect in his correspondence. (*Epistolæ Medicinales*, f. 124, b. Tiguri, 1577, 4to.) See also Menage, *Remarques sur la Vie de Pierre Ayrault*, p. 148.

and themselves for the space of nearly a year and a half, they confined him to a monastery, for the purpose of receiving edifying lessons from the monks; whom, with due discrimination, he represents as men by no means destitute of humanity, but totally unacquainted with religion. In their custody he continued several months; and it was about this period that he began his version of the psalms, afterwards brought to so happy a conclusion. That this translation was a penance imposed upon him by his illiterate guardians, is only to be considered as an idle tale.¹ It is much more probable that a large proportion of the good monks were incapable of reading the psalms in their native language. The rational and elevated mind of Buchanan had received deep impressions of religion; and the gloom of a monastery, superadded to the persecution which he had so long sustained, would naturally tend

¹ "Cum quæstores," says Buchanan, "prope sesquiannum et se et illum fatigassent, tandem, ne frustra hominem non ignotum vexasse crederentur, eum in monasterium ad aliquot menses recludunt, ut exactius erudiretur a monachis, hominibus quidem alioqui nec inhumanis nec malis, sed omnis religionis ignaris. Hoc maxime tempore psalmorum Davidicorum complures vario carminum genere in numeros redegit." (*Buchanani Vita*, p. 6.) Dr Mackenzie's commentary on this passage is not unworthy of attention. "But here he gives us another specimen of his gratitude to his benefactors, for he says, that they were altogether ignorant and void of religion. Now how improbable this is, will appear from these monks having imposed upon him as a penance, that he should turn the psalms of David into Latin verse." (*Lives of Scots Writers*, vol. iii, p. 162.) But how will it appear that the monks imposed this penance? No such conclusion can be drawn from Buchanan's words; and Dr Mackenzie had no other authority to produce.

to foster a spirit of devotion. His frequent recurrence to the hopes of another world, and his recollection of the solace which his favourite art had so often afforded him in this, may not unreasonably be supposed to have led him to the formation of a plan, which he has executed with piety equal to his genius. For the reputation which he acquired by this admirable production, he might therefore be indebted to "his good friends and benefactors the Portugeze;" to whom Dr Mackenzie has accused him of flagrant ingratitude. This unintentional favour seems to have been the only benefaction which he received.

In that country, the direful tribunal of the inquisition was formally established in the year 1536. The second inquisitor general was the Infant Henry, afterwards king of Portugal; who retained the office from 1539 to 1579.^k It is asserted by a furious apostate from the Protestant faith, that this royal inquisitor was personally concerned in the examination of the Scottish heretic, and that in his presence Buchanan conducted himself with abject submission.^l But the manner in which this story is related, gives it the air of a complete fiction; and most of the kindred tales of the same author are so mani-

^k Ludovicus a Paramo de Origine et Progressu Officii Sanctæ Inquisitionis, ejusque Dignitate et Utilitate, p. 233. Matriti, 1598, fol.

^l Hamiltonii Demonstratio Calvinianæ Confusionis, f. 252, b. Paris. 1581, 8vo.

festly devoid of truth, and even of probability, that they are not entitled to a serious refutation.

When Buchanan was at length restored to liberty, he solicited the king's permission to return to France. He was however requested to protract his residence in Portugal; and was presented with a small supply of money, till he should be promoted to some station worthy of his talents. But his ambition of Portuguese preferment was not perhaps very violent; for he still remembered with regret the learned and interesting society of Paris. In a beautiful poem entitled *Desiderium Lutetiæ*,^m and apparently composed before his retreat from Portugal, he pathetically bewails his absence from that metropolis, which he represents under the allegory of a pastoral mistress.

Portugal certainly could not vie with France in letters and refinement; but it was not entirely destitute of individuals conspicuous for their original and acquired talents.ⁿ The history of classical learning in Portugal is but little known to my countrymen; and as it is to a certain extent blended with the history of Buchanan, it

^m *Buchanani Silvæ*, iii.—This poem has been imitated by the amiable and ingenious Dr Blacklock. (*Poems*, p. 85, Mackenzie's edit.)

ⁿ “Possum enim ostendere Lusitanos et philologos esse, et intra quinquaginta proximos hos annos non pauciores triginta floruisse, etiam scriptis editis, qui veteribus quum dictionis elegantia tum rerum gravitate, possint jure conferri. Possum mulieres quoque ostendere quæ cum omni vetustate cærent eruditione.” (*Resendii Opera*, tom. ii, p. 281.)

evidently claims a share of our present attention.

Osorius informs us that the Latin tongue was much cultivated in that country, from the reign of Alphonzo the first^o till that of Denys; and commemorates Alphonzo himself as the author of a Latin book, written with tolerable propriety.^p Barbarism, he adds, afterwards ensued, and the purity of that language was miserably contaminated. King Denys died in the year 1325. De Macedo and other Portuguese writers have affirmed that it was he who founded the university of Coimbra;^q but this is an assertion which cannot fail to excite considerable suspicion. That a respectable school was established there by King Denys, is sufficiently credible: but the original founder of the university was undoubtedly John the third; and it probably assumed its regular form about the year 1540.^r The other Portuguese university, that of Evora, was also founded during the reign of King John; whom

^o Don Alphonzo, count of Portugal, having in the year 1139 obtained a decisive victory over the Moors, was saluted king in the field of battle. (*Mariana De Rebus Hispaniæ*, tom. i, p. 441.)

^p Osorius de Regis Institutione et Disciplina, f. 199, b. Olysippone, 1571, 4to.

^q Antonii de Macedo Lusitania Infulata et Purpurata, p. 37. Paris. 1663, 4to.

^r "Veni Conimbricam," says Nicolaus Clenardus; "nova hæc est inter Lusitanos academia, quam magno et plane regio animo rex noster molitur." (*Clenardi Epistolarum libri duo*, p. 25. Antverp. 1566, 8vo.) This extract is from an epistle written in the year 1539.

his countrymen have, with one voice, extolled as a liberal patron of literature.

The great restorers of polite learning in Portugal and Spain were Arius Barbosa and Ælius Antonius Nebrissensis. Barbosa, a native of Aveiro in Portugal, after having studied in the university of Salamanca, betook himself to Florence for the purpose of attending the prelections of Politian. He became a proficient in classical literature, and was the first who introduced the Greek language into modern Spain.^s In the year 1495 he returned to Salamanca, where he taught for the space of twenty years. He was afterwards attracted to his native country to undertake the tuition of Don Alphonzo, the brother of King John. He has left several works in verse and in prose; and has often been commemorated as a man of talents. His learned friend Nebrissensis, who was born at Lebrixa in Spain in the year 1444, likewise prosecuted his studies at Salamanca and in Italy. He was successively a professor at Salamanca and Alcala; and was engaged by Cardinal Ximenez in the famous Alcala edition of the bible. His various erudition has been commemorated by Erasmus, and by other scholars of that century;^t but in the know-

^s Gyraldus de Poetis suorum Temporum, p. 403.

^t Erasmi Ciceronianus, p. 185. Christophorus Mylæus de Scribenda Universitatis Rerum Historia, p. 304. Basil. 1551, fol.—“Jacebant itaque bonæ literæ,” says Sanctius in the dedication of his acute and learned treatise on the principles of the Latin language, “quum abhinc annis centum Antonius Nebrissensis hos rebelles conatus est castigare. Sed adeo

ledge of the Greek language he was inferior to Barbosa.^u He died in the year 1522.^x

Lucius Andreas Resendius, who seems to have taught in the university of Coimbra, and at the same period with Buchanan,^y was the earliest Portuguese author who investigated the antiquities of his native country with erudition and judgment.^z He composed various works in verse as well as in prose ; and, in the opinion of a learn-

malum hoc radices egerat altas, ut innumeris monstris debellatis multo plura debellanda remanserint. Quod si ille iterum aut sæpius rediret, non dubito (quæ erat illius solertia) quin omnia facillime composuisset." (*Minerva, seu de Causis Linguae Latinæ*. Salmanticæ, 1587, 8vo.) His eulogy occurs among those of Paulus Jovius. (*Elogia Virorum Literis Illustrum*, p. 121, edit. Basil. 1577, fol.) See also Vossius *De Historicis Latinis*, p. 657, and Colomesii *Italia et Hispania Orientalis*, p. 223.

^u Schotti Bibliotheca Hispanica, p. 471. Francof. 1608, 4to.

^x Antonii Bibliotheca Hispana, tom. i, p. 105. Romæ, 1672, 2 tom. fol.—This must not be confounded with a similar work of the same author, entitled *Bibliotheca Hispana Vetus*. Romæ, 1696, 2 tom. fol. These two volumes comprehend the period from the reign of Augustus to the year 1500. Nicolaus Antonius, a very laborious and useful writer, was born at Seville in 1617, and died at Madrid in 1684.

^y Resendii Opera, tom. ii, p. 264. Col. Agrip. 1600, 2 tom. 8vo.

^z Libri quatuor de Antiquitatibus Lusitaniæ a Lucio Andrea Resendio olim inchoati, et a Jacobo Mençetio Vasconcello recogniti, atque absoluti. Eboræ, 1593, fol.—This work was reprinted at Rome in 1597 ; and, with other productions of the author, at Cologne in 1600. It also occurs in the collection entitled *Hispania Illustrata*, tom. ii, p. 892. To the first edition Vasconcellus has prefixed an account of the author's life. This antiquarian work of Resendius, when viewed as a restitution of decayed intelligence, is of considerable value. He has very diligently resorted to one copious and genuine source of information, ancient inscriptions. From documents of this kind, he acquainted mythologists with a Pagan divinity which had entirely escaped their knowledge ; and concerning which a German author of great erudition has composed an elaborate dissertation. (Reinesius *De Deo Endovellico*. Altenb. 1637, 4to.)

ed Belgian, is a poet worthy of being compared with the ancients.^a Resendius studied at Alcala under Nebrissensis, and at Salamanca under his countryman Barbosa. The esteem and admiration which he has so frequently and so earnestly testified for Erasmus, may be recorded as a proof of his intelligence and liberality; for Erasmus's free spirit of disquisition was very far from recommending him to the majority of his ecclesiastical brethren.^b About the same period, Michael Cabedius, an eminent lawyer, likewise cultivated Latin poetry with a degree of success which secured him the applause of his countrymen. He translated the *Plutus* of Aristophanes, and composed some original poems, with considerable felicity.^d

The family of Govea, so intimately connected with Buchanan, was remarkable for its talents and literature. James Govea was principal of the College of St. Barbe at Paris; where he superin-

^a Clenardi Epistolæ, p. 244.—He is also mentioned with approbation by Bembus, in an epistle addressed to Damian de Goes. (Bembi *Epistolæ Familiares*, lib. vi, p. 741.)

^b See particularly Resendii Opera, tom. ii, p. 51.

^c Parisiis, apud Michaellem Vascosanum, 1547, 8vo.

^d Jacobus Menætiæ Vasconcellus was related to Michael, as well as to Antonius Cabedius; and the Latin poems of these three authors have, with sufficient propriety, been associated in one volume. They are appended to the second edition of Resendius *De Antiquitatibus Lusitaniæ*. Romæ, 1597, 8vo.—Vasconcellus has written an account of his own life; which accompanies both this and the former edition. To that work of Resendius he has added a fifth book, “De Antiquitate Municipii Eborensis.” His *Vita Michaelis Cabedii Senatoris Regii* occurs among the *Opuscula* appended to the Roman edition of the antiquities.

tended the studies of three promising nephews, who were educated at the charge of the Portuguese monarch, King John. They were natives of Beja. Martial, the eldest of these learned brothers, published a Latin grammar at Paris in the year 1534; and likewise composed various poems, which are not however known to have been printed. Andrew, who belonged to the ecclesiastical order, and who, according to Beza, was a doctor of the Sorbonne, taught grammar, and afterwards philosophy, in the college over which his uncle presided. He at length obtained the principality himself: Andrew Govea, principal of St. Barbe, was chosen rector of the university of Paris on the twenty-third of June 1533.^e In the course of the following year, he was invited to Bordeaux,^f where he governed the College of Guienne with great moderation and address.^g He died at Coimbra on the ninth of June 1548, after having reached the age of about fifty. His friend Vinetus, in an epistle to Andreas Schottus, has commemorated him as a man of liberal sen-

^e Bulæi Hist. Universitatis Parisiensis, tom. vi, p. 977.

De Lurbe, Chronique Bourdeloise, f. 42.

Montagne has characterized him as "le plus grand principal de France." (*Essais*, liv. i, chap. xxv.) "Ab avunculo," says Vasconcellus, "Burdigalam missi sumus, ad capiendum ingenii cultum, in celebri gymnasio quod ibi eo tempore florebat sub moderamine Andreæ Goveani Lusitani, ex Pace Julia oriundi, viri gravissimi." (*Vita Jacobi Menæti Vasconcelli, ab ipso conscripta*, p. 3.) "Vir de universa Aquitania et literis, ut si quis alius, optime meritus, homo pius, doctus, et ad regendam juventutem omnino natus." (*Schotti Bibliotheca Hispanica*, p. 617.)

timents, and as an encourager of learning.^h He does not however belong to the list of authors.

Anthony Govea was the youngest and the most renowned of these brothers. While he prosecuted his studies in the College of St Barbe, he made very unusual progress in ancient literature and philosophy; and at Avignon and Toulouse, he afterwards applied to the study of jurisprudence with the same assiduity and success. He studied at Toulouse about the year 1539; but before that period he had taught humanity in the College of Guienne. In 1542 he was a regent in some Parisian college under his uncle: and in the course of the ensuing year, he was engaged in a dispute with Ramusⁱ which occasioned great commotion in that university. Ramus had undertaken to impugn the philosophy of Aristotle; and Govea, notwithstanding his youth, was the first who entered the lists against him.^k He was seconded by Perionius, and other strenuous advocates of old opinions; and the contest at length rose to such a height that it was determined by

^h Schotti Bibliotheca Hispanica, p. 475.

ⁱ A biographical account of Ramus, who certainly was no ordinary character, was published by Nicolaus Nancelius, one of the regents of his college. (*Vita Petri Rami*. Paris. 1599, 8vo.) It is remarked by Dr Reid that Ramus had the spirit of a reformer in philosophy, and force of genius sufficient to shake the Aristotelian fabric in many parts, but insufficient to erect any thing more solid in its place. (*Analysis of Aristotle's Logic*, p. 30, edit. Edinb. 1806, 12mo.)

^k Antonii Goveani pro Aristotele Responsio, adversus Petri Rami Calumnias. Paris. 1543, 8vo.

a royal mandate.¹ Govea afterwards returned to the College of Guienne, where he was left by the colony which departed for Coimbra. He successively taught jurisprudence at Toulouse, Cahors, Valence, and Grenoble, to crowded auditories; but when France began to be annoyed with the tumults of a civil war, he retired into Italy, and found an honourable asylum at the court of Savoy. From the duke he is said to have obtained the offices of counsellor, and master of the requests. He died at Turin at the age of about sixty. Manfred, one of his sons, was also a man of learning: he published several works, among which are Latin poems, and annotations on the writings of Julius Clarus.^m Anthony Govea, according to Thuanus, was the only man of that age who, by the common consent of the learned, was considered as a very elegant poet, a great philosopher, and a most able civilian.ⁿ The purity of his Latin style is highly commended by the same admirable historian. Besides his juridical writings and his answer to Ramus, he published Latin poems, editions of Virgil, Terence,^o and some of the works of Cicero, and a Latin translation of Porphyry's introduction to Aris-

¹ Launoi de Varia Aristotelis Fortuna in Academia Parisiensi, p. 59, edit. Hag. Com. 1656, 4to. Werenfelsii Dissertatio de Logomachiis Eruditorum, p. 58, edit. Amst. 1702, 8vo.

^m Ghilini Teatro d'Huomini Letterati, vol. ii, p. 189.

ⁿ Thuani Hist. sui Temporis, tom. ii, p. 468.

^o See Wasii Senarius, sive de Legibus et Licentia Veterum Poetarum, p. 243. Oxon. 1687, 4to.

totle's logic. Joseph Scaliger represents him as an excellent French poet.^p But his chief praise is that of having been deemed the most formidable rival of Cujacius. He is highly extolled by Gravina, the most elegant of the modern civilians;^q and Cujacius himself had awarded him the superiority over all the interpreters of the Justinian law in ancient or modern times.

Jacobus Tevius, the friend of Buchanan and Govea, was a native of Braga. Having completed his studies in the university of Paris,^r he obtained a regency at Bordeaux; where, as we have already seen, he was associated with Buchanan. After his removal to Coimbra, he composed an historical work,^s which has been highly

^p "Goveanus doctus erat vir, et valens dialecticus, optimus poeta Gallicus: nec enim Hispanum judicaveris, adeo bene Gallice loquebatur." (*Prima Scaligerana*, p. 86.) He is likewise mentioned with great respect in Scaliger's *Castigationes in Festum*, p. li. In Sanderus's catalogue of "famous Anthonies," the name of Govea has not been omitted; but the notices of this writer are slight and unsatisfactory. (Sanderus *De Claris Antoniis*, p. 184. Lovanii, 1627, 4to.) Edward Henryson, LL. D. a Scottish civilian who taught in the university of Bourges, published a tract against Govea, entitled "Pro Eg. Barone adversus A. Goveanum de Jurisdictione libri II." Paris. 1555, 8vo. It occurs in Meerman's *Thesaurus Juris Civilis et Canonici*, tom. iii, p. 447. Of the works of Govea there is a recent edition. Rotærod. 1766, fol.

^q "Ingenium habuit varium et velox, ut rerum ab eo tum in philosophia, tum in humanioribus literis, tum in jure civili agitatarum finem ante initium animadverteres. Neque ullum fuit involucrum, unde non se celeriter ac feliciter expediret." (*Gravinæ Origines Juris Civilis*, p. 127.)

^r Schotti *Bibliotheca Hispanica*, p. 479.

^s *Commentarius de Rebus a Lusitanis in India apud Dium Gestis*, anno salutis nostræ M.D.XLVI. Jacobo Tevio Lusitano autore.—The dedication to King John is dated Coimbra, March the first 1548. This work of Tevius occurs in *Hispania Illustrata*, tom. ii, p. 1347. Dempster has absurdly

commended for the elegance of its Latinity.[†] Schottus informs us that he also published some orations, as well as some Portuguese and Latin poems. It was his intention to compose a general history of his native country; but this plan he did not live to execute.

Buchanan has repeatedly testified his affection for this associate of his learned labours. When Tevius published his historical commentary, Buchanan furnished him with a very happy address to King John;[‡] which is prefixed to the various editions of that work. In his elegy to Tastæus and Tævius, he addresses him with all the warmth of friendship:

O animæ, Ptolemæe, meæ pars altera, tuque
Altera pars animæ, Tevi Jacobe, meæ.[‡]

In a poem inscribed to Anthony Govea, he has strongly indicated his regard for each of these Portuguese scholars.

Si quicquam, Goveane, fas mihi esset
Invidere tibi, Tevieve,
Et te nostro ego Tevio inviderem,
Et nostrum tibi Tevium inviderem.
Sed cum me nihil invidere sit fas
Vel tibi, Goveane, Tevieve,
Si fas est quod amor dolorque cogit,

affirmed that its real author was Buchanan. (*Hist. Ecclesiast. Gent. Scotor.* p. 110.)

[†] Vasæi Rerum Hispanicarum Chronicon, cap. iv.

[‡] Buchanani Opera, tom. ii, p. 102.

[‡] Buchanani Elegiarum liber, iv.

Vobis imprecor usque et imprecabor,
 Uterque ut mihi sed cito rependat
 Hoc pravum ob facinus malumque pœnas :
 Te mi Tevius invidere possit,
 Tu possis mihi Tevium invidere.
 Ambobus mihi si frui licebit,
 Cœlum Diis ego non suum invidebo,
 Sed sortem mihi Dii meam invidebunt.

Hieronymus Osorius, bishop of Sylves, has likewise illustrated a portion of the Portuguese history with more than common elegance.^z At the request of King John, he had taught theology in the newly-founded university of Coimbra. Ascham was of opinion that, since the days of Cicero, no author had written with greater purity and eloquence;^a but Lord Bacon, who was however a less competent judge of style, has characterized his vein of composition by the epithet *watery*.^b The most celebrated of his productions seem to be his five books *De Gloria*. This treatise bears the form of a dialogue; and one of the interlocutors is his very learned friend Antonius Augustinus, archbishop of Tarragona, of whom Spain deservedly boasts as a philologer and civi-

^y Buchanani Hendecasyllabon liber, v.

^z Osorii de Rebus Emmanuelis Regis Lusitaniæ Invictissimi Virtute et Auspicio Gestis libri duodecim. Olysippone, 1571, fol.—An edition of his works, with the life of the author, was published by his nephew, who bore the same name, and was a canon of Évora. (H. Osor Opera Omnia. Romæ, 1592, 4 tom. fol.)

^a Aschami Epistolæ, p. 268.

^b Bacon of the Advancement of Learning, p. 36.

lian of the first order.^c Osorius attracted the particular attention of English scholars by his epistle to Queen Elizabeth, and his subsequent altercation with Haddon.^d This was certainly no despicable antagonist; though Osorius and his friend Manuel d'Almada^e have treated him with much contempt.

Gyraldus has enumerated several of the Portuguese who had cultivated Latin poetry; but to Didacus Pyrrhus,^f who is one of the interlocutors in his second dialogue, he assigns the superiority over all the rest.^g Hermicus Caiadus, Georgius Cœlius,^h and Michael Sylvius,ⁱ flourished during the earlier part of the sixteenth century; and their poetical attempts were not altogether slighted by the fastidious scholars of Italy.

^c His edition of Varro *De Lingua Latina*, Fabricius and other writers have referred to the year 1557. A copy in my possession bears *Romæ apud Vincentium Luchinum*, 1554, 8vo. Menage styles Augustinus "acutissimi vir ingenii et doctrinæ singularis." (*Juris Civilis Amœnitates*, p. 55.)

^d Osorii in Gualterum Haddonum libri tres. Olysippone, 1567, 4to.

^e Epistola Emmanuelis Dalmada, Episcopi Angrensis, adversus Epistolam Gualteri Haddonii contra Osorii Epistolam, nuper editam. Antwerp. 1566, 4to.—Haddon's epistle to Osorius, which was published in 1563, occurs in the collection of his *Lucubrationes*, p. 210. Lond. 1567, 4to. He afterwards renewed the controversy.

^f Six epitaphs written by Pyrrhus in Greek and Latin occur in the first volume of Le Clerc's edition of Erasmus.

^g Gyraldus de Poetis suorum Temporum, p. 404.

^h Sadoleti Epistolæ, p. 612. Bembi Epistolæ Familiæres, lib. vi, p. 730. Clenardi Epistolæ, p. 244.

ⁱ This poet was the son of Diego da Silva, count of Portalegre; and having been educated for the church, he rose to the dignity of a cardinal. (A. de Macedo *Lusitania Infulata et Purpurata*, p. 242.) He died at Rome in the year 1556.

Ignatius Moralis, Ludovicus Crucius, and Manuel Pimenta, who succeeded them, were likewise poets of a temporary reputation. Crucius executed a paraphrase of the psalms;^k and in the preface, he has treated his predecessor Buchanan with sufficient acrimony. Achilles Statius and Thomas Correa likewise aspired to distinction as writers of Latin verse, but they were more conspicuous for their merit as philologists: the former, in particular, is entitled to a station among the most learned of his countrymen.

Besides Anthony Govea, Portugal produced several other civilians.^l The name of Amatus Lusitanus is inserted in the catalogue of illustrious physicians;^m and Hector Pintus, who was a professor at Coimbra, is represented as a learned and eloquent divine.ⁿ But the most famous of the Portuguese theologians was Franciscus Forerius, who had distinguished himself in the coun-

^k Spain, though Latin poetry was not much cultivated in that country, likewise produced a complete paraphrase of the psalms. It was executed by the famous Benedictus Arias Montanus. Antwerp. 1574, 4to. With respect to this learned monk, a late critic has fallen into a very unaccountable mistake. "The language," says Lord Woodhouselee, "of that ludicrous work, *Epistolæ Obscurorum Virorum*, is an imitation, and by no means an exaggerated picture of the style of Arias Montanus's version of the scriptures." (*Principles of Translation*, p. 117.) The *Epistolæ Obscurorum Virorum* were published upwards of fifty years before the work of which they are here said to be an imitation.

^l Duck de *Auctoritate Juris Civilis*, p. 318.

^m Castellani *Vitæ Illustrium Medicorum*, p. 245. Antv. 1617, 8vo.—Gesner however represents him as "homo temerarius et indoctus." (*Epistolæ Medicinales*, f. 105.)

ⁿ Schotti *Bibliotheca Hispanica*, p. 524.

cil of Trent, and who presided over the Dominican monastery of Almada-hill.^o Manuel Alvarez, an acute and learned Jesuit born in the island of Madeira, is regarded as one of the ablest grammarians of modern times.^p Petrus Nonius, a native of Alcazar do Sal, and a professor in the university of Coimbra, is denominated by Osorius the prince of mathematicians;^q and the learned of various nations have assigned him a conspicuous station among the cultivators of science.

Such was the general state of learning among the Portuguese during the century to which Buchanan belonged. In science and in literature, that nation had evidently made no inconsiderable advances; and its progress had only been retarded by the despotism of the state, and by the more intolerable despotism of the church. But to a country which regarded the inquisition as one of its choicest blessings, the generous frame of his mind was ill adapted.

Buchanan found that his prospect of being promoted by the Portuguese monarch was somewhat precarious; and he therefore determined to abandon a country in which he had experienced such unworthy treatment. Having embarked in a Candian vessel which he found in the

^o Colomesii Italia et Hispania Orientalis, p. 238. Hamb. 1730, 4to.

^p Walchii Hist. Crit. Linguae Latinae, p. 193. Lipsiae, 1716, 8vo.

^q Osorius de Rebus Gestis Emmanuelis, p. 424.

port of Lisbon, he was safely conveyed to England. Here however he did not long remain, though he might have procured some creditable situation, which he himself has not particularized. The political affairs of that nation bore a very unpromising aspect; and he was therefore more anxious to visit the accomplished associates whom he had left in France. In France he arrived about the beginning of the year 1553. The siege of Metz was raised about the same period; and at the earnest request of his friends, he composed a poem on that event.^r This was a task which he undertook with considerable reluctance: several other poets, most of whom were of his acquaintance, had already exercised their talents upon the same occasion; and he was unwilling to enter into a competition. On this subject his friend Melin de St Gelais had written a poem, which he commends as erudite and elegant. St Gelais was once a favourite poet at the French court;^s and Buchanan has celebrated him in verse as well as in prose.^t To the French nation Buchanan appears to have

^r Buchananani Miscellaneorum liber, viii. *Ad Henricum II. Franciæ Regem de soluta urbis Mediomatricum Obsidione.*

^s Melin de St Gelais, says Pasquier, “produisoit de petites fleurs, et non fruits d’aucune durée, c’étoient des mignardises qui couroient de fois à autres par les mains des courtisans et dames de cour, qui luy estoit une grande prudence. Parce qu’ apres sa mort, on fit imprimer un recueil de ses œuvres, qui mourut presque aussi tost qu’il vist le jour.” (*Recherches de la France*, p. 613.) His life occurs in Niceron, tom. v, p. 197.

^t Buchananani Vita, p. 7. Epigram. lib. i, 57.

been strongly attached; and, in return, they were proud in regarding him as their countryman by adoption.^u His sentiments on thus revisiting France, he has warmly expressed in a poem composed on the occasion, and entitled *Adventus in Galliam*.

Of Buchanan's attainments the French were more competent judges than the Portuguese. Before the reign of Francis the first, science and literature had indeed begun to revive; but under the generous protection of that accomplished monarch, their progress was rapid and brilliant.^x Buchanan's talents were not long permitted to remain inactive. Soon after his return to Paris, he was appointed a regent in the College of Boncourt;^y and in the year 1555, he was called from

^u "In Levinia Scotiae provincia ad Blanum amnem natus, sed adoptione nostras; qualis Antonius Goveanus Lusitanus, summus et ipse Buchanani amicus, dici et existimari volebat." (Thuani *Hist. sui Temporis*, tom. iv, p. 99.)

^x "Nam," says Turnebus, "ut hujus optimi post homines natos principis cætera decora, majore concipienda fortassis ore, et nuper concepta, omitam, et de literis potissimum agam, quæ meæ partes sunt, nullus unquam ex omni memoria omnium ætatum et temporum benignius et prolixius eas muneratus est. Nemo majora præmia constituit doctrinæ et eruditioni, nemo uberiora: nemo juventutis studia ad discendum acrius inflammavit: cum æstimatione doctrinæ, non census amplitudine, homines pendere soleret, doctis sacerdotia mandaret, honores deferret, ad res gerendas adhiberet, beneficiis augeret, omni liberalitatis genere complecteretur." (*Oratio habita post J. Tusani Mortem*, p. 9.)—See Dr Robertson's *Hist. of Charles V*, vol. iii, p. 127.

^y His regency in this college, as well as in that of Cardinal le Moine, Buchanan has himself neglected to mention. That he taught in the College of Boncourt is evident from a passage in a letter addressed to him by Nicolaus Nancelius. "Specimen frequens et nobile jam tum edidisti, cum

that charge by the celebrated count de Brissac, who retained him as the domestic tutor of his son Timoleon de Cossé. To that warlike nobleman he addressed a very poetical ode after the capture of Vercelli,^z an event which occurred in the month of September 1553; and on the twenty-eighth of July 1554, he dedicated to him the tragedy of *Jephthes*. Of the value of such tributes the count was not insensible: in the dedication, Buchanan acknowledged himself already indebted to his politeness and to his liberality;^a and their closer connection ensued in the course of the subsequent year. At that period the marshal presided over the French dominions in Italy; whither Buchanan was invited to attend his hopeful pupil.^b

inde ab annis circiter triginta, tu Lutetiæ in Becodiano profitereris, ego eodem tempore in *prælio* [lege *Prælleo*] (ubi regii tum juvenes Stuarti vestrates discebant) sub Ramo antesignano, longe ea ætate eloquentissimo et disertissimo Romuli nepotum militarem doceremque." (Buchanani *Epistolæ*, p. 35.) The date of this epistle is March the fifteenth 1583; for Nancelius had not then heard of Buchanan's death. He returned to France in 1553, precisely thirty years antecedent to that date.

^z Buchanani *Miscell.* xxiii. *Ad Carolum Cossæum Brixiaci Dynastam, post captas Vercellas.*

^a "Me autem absentem," says Buchanan, "nec ulla alia re quam literarum commendatione tibi cognitum, ita complexus es omnibus humanitatis et liberalitatis officiis, ut si quis ingenii mei sit fructus, si qua vigiliarum velut foetura, ea merito ad te redire debeat."—One of his odes is entitled *De Amore Cossæi et Arctes* (*Miscell.* iii.); and he has also written the epitaph of his illustrious friend. (*Epigram.* lib. ii, 25.)

^b "Inde evocatus in Italiam a Carolo Cossæo Brixiacensi, qui tum secunda fama res in Ligustico et Gallico circa Padum agro gerebat, nunc in Italia, nunc in Gallia, cum filio ejus Timoleonte quinquennium hæsit, usque ad annum millesimum quingentesimum sexagesimum." (*Buchanani*

Marshal de Brissac lived in a state of princely magnificence. Though much of his life had been spent amidst the tumults of war, he appears to have been a man of a liberal mind, and to have cultivated an acquaintance with eminent scholars. During his campaigns, he was accompanied by men of learning;^c and the society which he now enjoyed with Buchanan, must have been productive of mutual satisfaction. In the preceptor of his son he recognized a man capable of adorning a higher station; and he accordingly treated him with the utmost respect and deference. He was even accustomed to place him at the council board among the principal officers of his army. To this singular honour Buchanan was not entitled from his actual acquaintance with the theory or practice of war: he had recommended himself by the intuitive sagacity of his comprehensive mind; and his original admission arose from a circumstance entirely accidental. He happened to enter an apartment contiguous to the hall in which the marshal and his officers were engaged in discussing some measure of great importance; and on being arrested by their debates, he could not refrain from murmur-

Vita, p. 7.) Mr Ruddiman is apparently mistaken in referring his new engagement to the year 1554. Buchanan's connection with Brissac continued five years, and terminated in 1560; but between 1554 and 1560, the space of five complete years intervenes. His dedication is dated at Paris on the twenty-eighth of July 1554, and contains no allusion to any domestic connection with the count.

^c Buchanani præf. in Jephthen.

ing his disapprobation of the opinion supported by the majority. One of the generals smiled at so unexpected a salutation ; but the marshal having invited Buchanan into the council, enjoined him to deliver his sentiments without restraint. He accordingly proceeded to discuss the question with his wonted perspicacity, and to excite the amazement of Brissac and his officers. In the issue his suggestions were found to have been oracular.^d

Buchanan's pupil neither discredited his father nor his preceptor: he was afterwards distinguished for his bravery, and for his acquaintance with military science ; and his literary attainments were such as reflected honour on a young nobleman, destined for the profession of arms.^e His career was short and brilliant ; at the age of twenty-six, it was terminated by a musket-ball

^d H. Stephani Orationes II, p. 163. Franc. 1594. 8vo.—Menage has related an anecdote of another complexion. “Bucanan avoit été precepteur des enfans de M. de Brissac. Comme il étoit un jour à sa table, il lui arriva dans le temps qu'il mangeoit du potage bien chaud, de laisser aller un vent qui fit du bruit : mais sans s'étonner, il dit à ce vent qui étoit sorti comme malgré lui ; Tu as bien fait de sortir, car j'allois te brûler tout vif. Puisque la conversation est sur ce sujet, je diray encore ce qui j'ay sçu de M. de Racan. Le Cardinal du Perron jouant aux échets avec Henry IV. dans le temps qu'il plaçoit un cavalier, il lui arriva la même chose qu'à Bucanan en mangeant sa soup. Le cardinal pour couvrir cette liberté, dit ; Au moins, Sire, il n'est pas parti sans trompette. M. de Racan m'a assuré qu'il avoit entendu l'un et l'autre. Ces sortes d'inconveniens peuvent arriver à tout le monde dans les meilleurs compagnies, et l'on ne devroit pas s'en offenser.” (*Menagiana*, tom. ii, p. 133.)

^e Brantome, Vies des Hommes Illustres et Grands Capitaines François de son temps, tom. iii, p. 409.

at the siege of Mucidan.^f When committed to Buchanan's tuition, he was about twelve years of age. As he was intended for a military life, his attention was directed to other objects as well as to literature; and his preceptor, in the mean time, found sufficient leisure for his favourite pursuits. Many of his hours were devoted to the study of theology. At that æra, religious controversy exercised the faculties of a large proportion of mankind; and he was likewise anxious to place his faith on the solid foundation of reason. His poetical studies were not however entirely neglected. It was apparently about this period that he conceived the design of his philosophical poem *De Sphæra*; which his future avocations did not suffer him to bring to a conclusion. It is addressed to his interesting pupil.

During the five years of his connection with this illustrious family, Buchanan alternately resided in Italy and France. In the mean time, several of his poetical works were published at Paris. In 1556 appeared the earliest specimen of his poetical paraphrase of the psalms; and his version of the *Alcestis* of Euripides was printed in the course of the subsequent year. This tragedy he dedicated to Margaret, the daughter of Francis the first; a munificent princess, whose favour he seems to have enjoyed.^g Nor was it

^f Thuani Hist. sui Temporis, tom. ii, p. 707.

^g "Quod si audacius," says Buchanan, "a me factum videatur, eam tu

improper to submit a Latin drama to her inspection; for with the principal writers in that language she had contracted a familiar acquaintance.^h Brantome has extolled her as a prodigy of virtue and wisdom.ⁱ In the fortunes of accomplished scholars, she interested herself with a generous warmth; and it was to her friendly zeal that the excellent De l'Hôpital was indebted for his elevation. To this princess, who was at length married to the duke of Savoy, many of the chancellor's poems are addressed; and she is likewise celebrated by Salmonius Macrinus, and by various other authors of eminence. Buchanan's ode on the surrender of Calais was published in the year 1558. The same subject exercised the talents of De l'Hôpital, Turnebus, and many other poets.

His connection with the count terminated in the year 1560, when the civil war had already commenced. It was perhaps the alarming state of France that induced Buchanan to hasten his return to his native country. The precise period of his return has not been ascertained: but it is certain that he was at the Scottish court in January 1562; and that, in the month of April, he was officiating as classical tutor to the queen, who was then in the twentieth year of her age.

potissimum culpam præstes oportet; quæ me tua auctoritate ad scribendum impulisti, et in arenam productum omni favoris genere prosequeris et foves."

^h Hospitalii Carmina, p. 23. Gallandii Vita Castellani, p. 43.

ⁱ Brantome, Vies des Dames Illustres de France de son temps, p. 323.

Every afternoon she perused with Buchanan a portion of Livy.^k This author is not commonly recommended to very young scholars; and indeed the study of the Latin language is known to have occupied a considerable share of her previous attention. She had been sent to France in the sixth year of her age, and had acquired every accomplishment that could adorn her station. The charms of her person rendered her conspicuous among the most elegant of her fair contemporaries;^l and the polish of her mind corresponded to the elegance of her external form. She was acquainted not only with the Scottish and French, but also with the Italian and Spanish languages; and her knowledge of the Latin tongue was likewise considerable.^m In the fourteenth year of her age, she pronounced before a

* In a letter from Randolph to Cecil, dated at Edinburgh on the thirtieth of January 1561-2, the following passage occurs. "Ther is with the quene one called Mr George Bowhanan, a Scottishe man, verie well lerned, that was schollemaster unto Monst^r de Brisack's sone, very godlye and honest." On the seventh of April, Randolph wrote thus from St. Andrews to the same statesman: "The queen readeth daily after her dinner, instructed by a learned man Mr George Bowhannan, somewhat of Lyvie." (Chalmers's *Life of Ruddiman*, p. 319, 320.)

^l "Fœmina," says Julius Cæsar Bulenger, "omnium sui sæculi corporis dignitate maxime conspicua, humanitate, prudentia, liberalitate, eximia, sed variis miseriis toto vitæ tempore exercita." (*Hist. sui Temporis*, p. 252. Lugd. 1619, fol.) On the tragical story of this accomplished and ill-fated princess, a Spanish author famous for his prolific vein has composed a poem in five books. See Lord Holland's *Account of the Life and Writings of Lope de Vega*, p. 87. Lond. 1806, 8vo.


^m "In optimis quibusque Europæ linguis perdiscendis," says George Con, "plurimum studii locabat; tanta autem erat suavitas sermonis Gallici, ut in eo facunda doctissimorum judicio haberetur, nec Hispanicum aut

splendid audience of the French court, a Latin declamation against the opinion of those who would debar the female sex from the pursuits of science and literature. This oration, which she had herself composed, she afterwards translated into French; but neither the original nor the version has ever been published.ⁿ Some of her Italian and French verses are however preserved.^o Mary was unquestionably entitled to the character of a learned princess; but her subse-

Italicum neglexit, quibus ad usum magis quam ad ostentationem, aut volubilitatem, utebatur; Latinum intelligebat melius quam efferebat; ad poetices leporem plus a natura quam ab arte habuit." (*Vita Mariæ Stuartæ*, p. 15, apud Jebb.)

ⁿ La Croix du Maine, Bibliothèque Française, tom. ii, p. 90. Brantome, Vies des Dames Illustres de France, p. 114.

^o Most of them are collected by Mr Laing. (*Hist. of Scotland*, vol. ii, p. 217.) Two of her French poems, which have escaped the notice of this historian, occur in a work of Bishop Lesley. (*Piæ Afflicti Animi Consolationes*, f. 38, b. Paris. 1574, 8vo.) They are accompanied with Latin translations; one of which was executed by Adam Blackwood. (*Blacvodæi Opera*, p. 478.) An unpublished French sonnet of Mary addressed to her son, is preserved in the State-Paper Office. From the *Dict. du Vieux Langage*, p. 337, Dr Burney has quoted a *chanson* which she is supposed to have written on leaving Calais. (*Hist. of Music*, vol. iii, p. 14.) But these verses seem to be a mere paraphrase of the words recorded by Brantome. Bishop Montague, in his preface to the works of King James, informs us that she "wrote a booke of verses in French of the institution of a prince." The original manuscript, which was in the possession of her son, may still be preserved. Among the poems of Sir Thomas Chaloner occurs a "Translatio quorundam Carminum quæ Gallico primum sermone conscripta, a Serenissima Scotiæ Regina in mutuæ amicitiae pignus, una cum excellentis operis annulo, in quo insignis adamas prominebat, ad Serenissimam Angliæ Reginam Elizabetham missa fuerant." (*De Rep. Anglorum Instauranda*, &c. p. 353. Lond. 1579, 4to.) But it is not evident, at least from this inscription, that the original verses were composed by the Scottish queen.



quent conduct rather serves to confirm than to refute the caustic observation of Muretus.^p

The æra at which Buchanan finally returned to his native country, was highly important. After a violent struggle between the old and the new religion, the latter had at length prevailed: its fundamental doctrines had received the sanction of parliament in the year 1560. Those bold and ardent characters who accomplished the reformation have bequeathed so many essential benefits to posterity, that we ought to treat with some degree of tenderness even the greatest errors into which their zeal betrayed them. If judged with equity, they must be judged by the maxims of their own age. When they renounced the other errors of Popery, they retained its persecuting spirit; nor do they seem to have entertained any doubt of their having obtained the right, as soon as they had obtained the power, to compel all the world to acquiesce in their opinions. The spirit of the reformed legislature may be very clearly discerned in an act of parliament, passed in 1560, and again con-

^p Mureti Variæ Lectiones, lib. viii, cap. xxi. *Mulieres eruditæ plerumque libidinosas esse.* The reason which he assigns ought not to be admitted: “Neque mirum: multas enim historias legunt, peccare, ut ait Flaccus, docentes.” The opinion of Grotius is more liberal, and perhaps more philosophical.

Crede nihil nostris, aut omnia crede puellis:

Lectricis mores pagina nulla facit.

Quæ casta est, totum leget incorrupta Catullum:

Illi nil tutum est quæ capit, et capitur.

GROTII Poemata, p. 251.

firmed in 1567.^a By this act, those who either said or heard mass were liable, for the first offence, to the confiscation of all their property, and to be further punished in their persons at the discretion of the competent judge. The second offence subjected them to banishment, and the third was to be punished with death. We need not at present enquire whether this iniquitous law was ever executed in its utmost rigour. The loss of property, corporal punishment, and banishment from the kingdom, might sufficiently secure the votaries of the mass from the guilt of a third offence; but we are certainly authorized to conclude that the legislators who framed such a law, had no wish to prevent its full and complete execution.

For the principles of the reformation, Buchanan had always cherished a secret affection; and his attachment, as he candidly owns, had been confirmed by the personal malignity of the grey friars.^r As he now resided in a country where he could avow his sentiments without restraint, he professed himself a member of the reformed church of Scotland; and this accession to their cause was duly appreciated by the leaders of the party. The earl of Murray, who was then rising towards that summit of power which he after-

^a Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland, vol. ii, p. 535, vol. iii, p. 23.

^r “Et dum impotentiae suae indulgent, illum sponte sua sacerdotum licentiae infensum acrius incendunt, et Lutheranae causae minus iniquum reddunt.” (*Buchanani Vita*, p. 3.)

wards attained, was one of the few Scottish nobles of the age who revered literature, and patronized its professors.^s His own education had not been neglected; he had been committed to the tuition of Ramus,^t who then presided over the College of De Prêle at Paris. For Buchanan he soon procured a station of some dignity and importance. As commendator of the priory of St. Andrews, he enjoyed the right of nominating the principal of St. Leonard's College; and a vacancy occurring about the year 1566,^u he placed Buchanan at the head of that seminary.^x The masterships of the Scottish colleges are ge-

^s Patrick Cockburn is perhaps the earliest writer who has celebrated his patronage of literature. "Accedit etiam generosissimi adolescentis Jacobi Steuardi, illustrissimi et invictissimi Scotorum quondam regis filii inclyti, regio plane ingenio et moribus præditi, patroni ac Mæcenatis mei benignissimi, seria et pia adhortatio." (*De Vulgari Sacræ Scripturæ Phrasi libri duo*, f. 2. Paris. 1558, 8vo.) This work is dedicated to James Stewart.

^t Turneri Maria Stuarta Innocens, p. 13, edit. Colon. 1627, 8vo.

^u Sibbaldi Comment. in Vitam Buchanani, p. 65.

^x It appears from the original statutes, as quoted by Mr Man, that the right of nominating the principal of St. Leonard's College was perpetually vested in the prior of St. Andrews. (*Censure of Ruddiman*, p. 94.) And at the time of Buchanan's appointment, the priorship was held by his patron the earl of Murray. In the act of privy council respecting his resignation of the principality, and appointment to the office of preceptor to the king, the subsequent clause occurs. "Albeit the presentation, nomination, and admission of the master of the said colledge pertained of old to the prior of St. Andrews, yet the same right and patronage presently appertains to our sovereign lord, as well by reason of the laws of the realm, as because the priory of St. Andrews presently vaiks destitute of a prior or commendatar." Here the expression is somewhat incorrect; but the sense evidently is, that the right of presentation then belonged to the king, because the priorship was vacant. "By reason of the laws of the realm," that right reverted to the crown in case of such a vacancy.

nerally conferred on ecclesiastics : this preference does not however, in each instance, result from the fundamental statutes, but from the influence of established usage. It has been asserted that Buchanan was not a layman, but a doctor and professor of divinity. In one sense he was indeed a *doctor* of divinity ;^y for the tenure of his appointment as principal of the college, seems to have imposed upon him the task of delivering occasional prelections on theology. Public teachers of divinity, though not ordained, were considered as ecclesiastical persons : and it was in this capacity that some writers suppose Buchanan to have sat in the general assembly. He might however sit as a lay elder. Calderwood relates that he exhibited proofs of his skill in theology in “ the exercise of prophecying.” This term denoted a weekly meeting of the clergy and other learned men of a district, who alternately expounded a passage of scripture.^z The theological prelections of a gay and satirical poet must have excited no ordinary curiosity. Buchanan was a man of universal talent ; and the study of theology had recently occupied a considerable share of his attention.

^y “ Doctores igitur,” says the learned Dr Forbes, “ scholastici, sive ecclesiastici, prout a parochiarum pastoribus distinguuntur, duorum sunt generum. Alii sunt doctores officio, nempe, publici professores : alii sunt doctores facultate.” (*Forbesii Irenicum Amatoribus Veritatis et Pacis in Ecclesia Scotiana*, p. 305. Aberdoniæ, 1629, 4to.)

^z M'Crie's *Life of Knox*, vol. ii, p. 282-5.—The same exercise, and un-

On his return to Scotland, he determined to publish in a correct manner, the poetical works which he had composed at many different periods of his variegated life. In the year 1556, H. Stephanus had printed Buchanan's paraphrase of eighteen psalms as a specimen; and had placed it in contrast with the corresponding versions of Eobanus Hessus, Salmonius Macrinus, Flaminus, and Rapicius.^a The first of these poets translated all the psalms into elegiac verse; the other three have only versified a select portion. Stephanus subjoined his own Greek version of seven psalms, contrasted with that of Paulus Dolscius. This publication is inscribed to Buchanan in a friendly and familiar style. "You have been too long concealed, my Buchanan: you must now, as you perceive, come into public notice: whether you will or not, I will draw you from your concealment. Are you angry with me on this account? and yet I am either deceived, or it

der the same name, was likewise introduced in England. (Peirce's *Vindication of the Dissenters*, p. 92, 2d edit. Lond. 1718, 8vo.)

^a Davidis Psalmi aliquot Latino carmine expressi a quatuor illustribus Poetis, quos quatuor regiones, Gallia, Italia, Germania, Scotia, genuerunt; in gratiam studiosorum poetices inter se commissi ab Henrico Stephano: cujus etiam nonnulli Psalmi Græci cum aliis Græcis itidem comparati in calce libri habentur. *Ex officina Henrici Stephani*, 1556, 4to.—See Appendix, No. vii. The version of Eobanus Hessus was published at Marburg in 1537. There is a complete version of the psalms, jointly executed by Flaminus and Spinula: "Psalmi Davidis, a M. Antonio Flaminio et P. Francisco Spinula, Poetis elegantissimis, Latinis versibus expressi." Antverpiæ, 1559, 8vo. It does not sufficiently appear from Tiraboschi's account whether Spinula, or Spinola, versified all the psalms. (*Storia della Letteratura Italiana*, tom. vii, p. 1439.)

shall be effected by my services, that hereafter George Buchanan, a Scotchman, above all the French and Italian poets of our age

Laudetur, vigeat, placeat, relegatur, ametur. ^b

For I willingly adopt a verse of Augustus in celebrating so august a poet: and unless I were afraid to commend you to your face, I should advance something much more august. Yet what occasion have you for me to publish your praise, since almost every verse that you have composed proclaims your superior genius? At present therefore I shall only mention one circumstance: as there is nothing more honourable, nothing more splendid, than after excelling all others, at length to excel one's self; so, in my judgment, you have most happily attained to this praise in your version of these psalms. For in translating the other odes of this sacred poet, you have been Buchanan, that is, you have been as conspicuous among the other paraphrasts, as the moon among the smaller luminaries: but when you come to the hundred and fourth psalm, you surpass Buchanan; so that you do not now shine like the moon among the smaller luminaries, but, like the sun, you seem to obscure all the stars by your brilliant rays." Stephanus afterwards introduces a comparison of the different translators who are

^b *Laudetur, placeat, vigeat, relegatur, ametur.*

AUGUSTI Fragmenta, p. 189.

here placed in competition. “ If Flaminius had previously exerted his talents in composing tragedies or translating them from the Greek (a labour by which you some time ago acquired high reputation), it might not perhaps have happened, as it does now, that you should obtain a victory so easy and almost without a contest. But such as Flaminius is, I ingenuously confess that when I pass over Buchanan, I do not find another whom I can compare with him. My countryman Salmonius (for I am a friend to my country, but a greater friend to truth) is not only much inferior to Flaminius in purity of language, but likewise yields to him in elegance of versification: and as his verses are less polished, so his style is much more prosaic. These observations I do not make with a view to anticipate the opinion of the reader, should he apply to the discussion with a nice ear, an acute understanding, and a still more acute judgment; but because I might be considered as a foolish panegyrist and admirer of your poetry, unless I should point out, by a comparison with other poets, what chiefly appears to me worthy of admiration. But some person may perhaps say, what you admire in Buchanan, but do not meet with in Flaminius, an Italian, and Salmonius, a Frenchman, may be found in Eobanus, a German, or in Rapicius, likewise an Italian. Far from it: for (not to mention Eobanus, a great part of whose verses have nothing in common with poetry but the

metre), Rapicius certainly writes without choice or selection."

This famous printer is represented as having long deferred the publication of Buchanan's complete version; and it is at least certain that the manuscript was in his custody so early as the year 1562.^c Its suppression might be imputed to various causes; but, according to the learned Hadrianus Junius, he had protracted the edition with the secret view of claiming this version as his own, in the event of Buchanan's decease.^d Many circumstances render this supposition highly improbable; and it must be recollected that Junius regarded with manifest hostility, the man to whom he imputes so flagitious a scheme. The date of this first complete edition is uncertain; for it has been omitted in the book itself.^e It

^c Maittaire, *Historia Stephanorum*, p. 256.

^d Junii *Animadversa*, p. 390, edit. Roter. 1708, 8vo.

^e *Psalmorum Davidis Paraphrasis Poetica*, nunc primum edita, autore Georgio Buchanano, Scoto, poetarum nostri sæculi facile principe. Ejusdem Davidis Psalmi aliquot a Th. B. V. versi. Psalmi aliquot in versus item Græcos nuper a diversis translati. *Apud Henricum Stephanum, et ejus fratrem Robertum Stephanum, typographum regium.* Ex privilegio regis. 8vo.—This volume includes a specimen of Beza's Latin version, together with several of the psalms translated into Greek by Stephanus, Chrestien, Jamotius, and an anonymous poet. The editions of Buchanan's version are very numerous; but I shall only mention some of the more remarkable. The earliest commentator on this work was Nathan Chytræus; who published an edition set to music, and accompanied with scholia adapted to the use of younger students. Francof. 1585, 12mo. That of Alexander Yule, or *Julius*, is illustrated with an ecphrasis, which had been partly sketched by Buchanan himself. Lond. 1620, 8vo. But the best edition of Buchanan's paraphrase is that published by Robert Hunter, professor of Greek at Edinburgh, and John Love, master of the grammar school of Dalkeith. Edinb.

was printed by H. Stephanus and his brother. In the year 1566 they published a second edition, which includes the author's tragedy of *Jephthes*. Buchanan, in the title-page of both impressions, is styled "Poetarum nostri sæculi facile princeps:" and his paraphrase was recommended to the learned world by the poetical encomiums of several respectable scholars; by the Greek verses of H. Stephanus, Franciscus Portus, and Federicus Jamotius, and by the Latin verses of Stephanus and Castelvetro. Jamotius, whose name is less known, was a native of Bethune in Artois, and by profession a physician.^f Castelvetro, an Italian critic of high reputation, is still regarded as one of the most subtle commentators on Aristotle's poetics.^g Of

1737, 8vo. It is neatly and correctly printed by the Ruddimans; and beside the notes of the associated editors, it contains the illustrations of Chytræus, Yule, Ruddiman, and Burman. Buchanan's paraphrase was published, along with the Greek version of Duport, by an editor whose preface is subscribed R. R. and dated at Westminster. Lond. 1742, 8vo. Andrew Waddel, A. M. left for publication "G. Buchanan's Paraphrase of the Psalms of David, translated into English prose, as near the original as the different idioms of the Latin and English languages will allow: with the Latin text and order of construction in the same page." Edinb. 1772, 8vo. The best edition for the use of schools was published by Mr Adam Dickinson. Edinb. 1812, 12mo.

^f Andreae Bibliotheca Belgica, p. 216, edit. Lovan. 1643, 4to.—Among other works, Jamotius published the following. "Varia Poemata Græca et Latina." Antverp. 1593, 4to. "Galenî Paraphrasis in Menodoti Exhortationem ad Liberalium Artium Studia, Annotationibus illustrata." Lutetiæ, 1583, 4to. He also published an edition of Tryphiodorus, accompanied with a poetical translation, and with notes.

^g Castelvetro, says Dr Bentley, "was one of the most ingenious and judicious and learned writers of his age; and his books have at this present such a mighty reputation, that they are sold for their weight in silver in

Buchanan's genius, Stephanus was a very zealous admirer: it was he who conferred upon him the appellation of first poet of the age; and this honourable title was afterwards recognized by the scholars of France, Italy, Germany, and other countries.^h Of this celebrated printer, it may safely be affirmed that he was at least as much inclined to censure as to commend: his contemporary Joseph Scaliger, though very willing to applaud his erudition, has characterized him as a man of an arrogant and morose temper; and even his son-in-law, the candid and amiable Casaubon,ⁱ however anxious he might be to conceal his infirmities, has occasionally mentioned him in terms of similar import. The erudition of Stephanus was however extensive and profound; insomuch that he is regarded as the most learned printer who has yet appeared. He was one of the best Grecians of that laborious age; and was eminently skilled in the Latin as well as in his vernacular language. The Greek tongue he studied before the Latin; and this unusual method he afterwards recom-

most countries of Europe." (*Dissertation upon Phalaris*, p. cii.) See the excellent Mr Tyrwhitt's *Animadversiones in Aristotelis librum de Poetica*, p. 167. Oxon. 1794, 8vo. The life of Castelvetro, written by Muratori, is published with the collection of his *Opere Critiche*. Berna, 1727, 4to. It is likewise prefixed to the splendid edition of *Le Rime del Petrarca brevemente esposte per Lodovico Castelvetro*, printed at Venice in 1756 in two volumes quarto.

^h H. Stephani Orationes II. p. 164. Franc. 1594, 8vo.

ⁱ Ruhnkenius observes of this very learned man, "quo non fuit lenioris naturæ criticus." (*Opuscula*, p. 23.)

mended as the most eligible.^k The services which he rendered to the cause of literature were such as entitle him to perpetual gratitude: before his time, Greek books were extremely rare; and the numerous editions which proceeded from his press, are generally distinguished for their correctness and elegance. Of his own compositions it is more difficult to speak. By his *Thesaurus Linguae Græcæ* as well as by some of his philological annotations, he undoubtedly rendered essential service to classical learning; but his efforts at a higher species of excellence are for the most part attended with indifferent success. He produced an infinite number of little works, which certainly display but a slender share of judgment. Among other critical lucubrations, he published a dissertation *De Criticis Veteribus Græcis et Latinis*;^l and on such a subject, a curious and interesting treatise might have been expected from so learned a man: this dissertation however is sufficiently trifling and jejune. Another book he has professedly written on the Latinity of Lipsius;^m but many pages of it are occupied with considerations respecting

^k Estienne, *Traicté de la Conformité du Langage François avec le Grec*, pref. Paris, 1569, 8vo.—The same method of study is strenuously recommended by Dr Sharpe. (*Origin and Structure of the Greek Tongue*, p. 10, edit. Lond. 1777, 8vo.)

Parisiis, 1587, 4to.

^m Francfordii, 1595, 8vo. Pp. 590.—The Latinity of Lipsius was zealously vindicated by a later critic. (*Klotzii Opuscula Varii Argumenti*, p. 1. Altenb. 1766, 8vo.)

a war with the Turks. This, says Scaliger, appeared so ridiculous, that some person proposed to entitle it "*De Lipsii Latinitate adversus Turcam.*" He was judiciously advised by Thuanus and by P. Pithœus to confine himself to the publication of ancient authors ;ⁿ a plan by which he would have contributed more effectually to the advancement of learning.

Stephanus, who was ambitious of universal excellence, might perhaps expect to obtain the same preëminence among the Greek, as was due to Buchanan among the Latin paraphrasts of the psalms. A complete Greek translation had formerly been exhibited by Apollinarius ; and at a more recent æra, others were executed with different degrees of success by Paulus Dolscius, Æmilius Portus, Petavius, and Duport. The Latin versions amount to a very large number ; and by competent and impartial judges, the superiority has generally been awarded to Buchanan. In this celebrated work, he has employed no fewer than twenty-nine varieties of metre ; and each of them with the utmost propriety and skill. The adaptation of the measures, the harmony of the verse, the elegance and purity of the diction, the pious and dignified strain of the phraseology, would have been sufficient to secure a high reputation independent of his original compositions. This production indeed displays all the

ⁿ Vavassor de Epigrammate, p. 201, edit. Paris. 1672, 8vo.

spirit and freedom of an original : the poet seems unfettered by the necessity of adhering to a prescribed train of thought ; and he often rises to all the enthusiasm and sublimity of his prototype. His version of the hundred and fourth psalm might alone have conferred upon him the character of a poet.^o The next in merit is perhaps the hundred and thirty-seventh ; which he has translated into elegiac verse of uncommon excellence. His work is professedly a paraphrase ; and indeed it would be impossible to execute a strict translation with any degree of elegance. That he has frequently dilated the original thought, is sufficiently evident ; but no translator has been more successful in retaining the spirit and essence. It is not certain that Buchanan was intimately acquainted with the Hebrew language ; but he must have consulted with diligence the principal commentators on the book of psalms. He is reported to have enjoyed the particular friendship of Franciscus Vatablus ; and to have derived from that famous professor some more curious elucidations of the Hebrew text.^p

^o Several other Scottish poets have attempted to rival this exquisite version. See a collection entitled *Octupla ; hoc est octo Paraphrases Poeticæ Psalmi civ. Authoribus totidem Scotis*. Edinb. 1696, 8vo. This collection also includes the critical tracts of Barclay and Eglisham. Lauder has reprinted the prose as well as the verse, in his *Poetarum Scotorum Musæ Sacræ*. Edinb. 1739, 2 tom. 8vo.

^p “ Doctissimus poeta,” says Dr Barclay, “ sequutus Francisci Vatabli psalmorum interpretationem ; quem Parisiis Hebraicæ linguæ professorem

From his admirable version, he has carefully excluded such expressions as are strictly and solely applicable to subjects of classical mythology; but as he had adopted a classical language, it would have been utterly impossible to exclude every word or phrase, capable of suggesting the mythological allusions of his Pagan predecessors. The ancient Pagans often addressed Jupiter, whom they regarded as the supreme being, in a strain of phraseology which may reverently be applied to the true God;¹ and sometimes perhaps a Christian could not select words more suitable to the devotional ideas that may arise in his mind. When he writes in a language which derives its vital principles from a people whose objects of worship were fictitious, he cannot express himself without employing words originally appropriated by mythology: the boundaries of speech are already ascertained, and the only expedient that remains is a happy and judicious adaptation. Buchanan has however been censured by a late writer. "In the translation of a psalm," says Lord Woodhouselee, "we are shocked when we find the almighty addressed by the epithets of a heathen divinity, and his

habuit summe amicum et familiarem. Itaque consulebat curiose fontes ipsos, et linguam qua psalmos cecinit *regius propheta*. Unde deducit aliquando plus sententiæ quam appareat in vulgatis editionibus." (*Judicium de Certamine Eglisemmii*, p. 14.) Vatablus died in 1547.

¹ "Et qui Jovem principem volunt," says Minucius Felix, "falluntur in nomine, sed de una potestate consentiunt." (*Octavius*, p. 145, edit. Ouzelii, 1672.)

attributes celebrated in the language and allusions proper to the Pagan mythology. . . . In the entire translation of the psalms by Johnston, we do not find a single instance of similar impropriety. And in the admirable version by Buchanan, there are, (to my knowledge) only two passages which are censurable on that account. The one is the beginning of the 4th psalm :

O pater, O hominum *divûmque* æterna potestas

which is the first line of the speech of Venus to Jupiter, in the 10th *Æneid* : and the other is the beginning of psalm 82, where two entire lines, with the change of one syllable, are borrowed from Horace :

Regum timendorum in proprios greges,
Reges in ipsos imperium est *Jovæ*.

In the latter example the poet probably judged that the change of *Jovis* into *Jovæ* removed all objection ; and Ruddiman has attempted to vindicate the *divûm* of the former passage, by applying it to saints or angels :^r but allowing there were sufficient apology for both these words, the impropriety still remains ; for the associated ideas present themselves immediately to the mind, and we are justly offended with the literal adoption of an address to Jupiter in a hymn to

^r Ruddiman's Vindication of Buchanan, p. 161.

the creator.”^r Whatever may be the general effect of the two passages, it may at least be affirmed that those particular words are employed without any degree of impropriety. In the original scriptures, the angels are repeatedly denominated gods; and *Jova* is the tetragrammaton of the Hebrews.^s To insert the word *Jehovah* in the translation of a psalm, certainly cannot be deemed reprehensible.^t

Some feeble attempts have been made to dispossess Buchanan of his high preëminence in this department. Dr Eglisham had the vanity to suppose himself capable of executing a paraphrase, superior to that of his illustrious countryman; and was even so infatuated as to exhibit a version of the hundred and fourth psalm in

^r Woodhouselee's *Essay on the Principles of Translation*, p. 268, 3d edit. Edinb. 1813, 8vo.

^s *Drusii Observationes Sacræ*, p. 6.

^t Dr Pitcairne commences his version of the hundred and fourth psalm with the following line.

Dexteram invictam canimus Jovamque.

This genuine reading occurs in the *Octupla*, which was published during the lifetime of Dr Pitcairne. Ruddiman and Lauder have very improperly substituted *Jovem*.

The following notice of this distinguished physician occurs in a letter of Bayle, dated 7th March 1697. “Ce médecin philosophe [Bellini] a peu près de la maniere que Pitcarnius, médecin Ecossois, qui a été quelque tems professeur à Leyde, et qui se retira de cette charge sans dire adieu à personne. Ses leçons ne plaisoient pas, quoi qu’elles fussent fort singulieres, et fort relevées; mais il y mêloit trop de mécanique, et trop de géométrie.” (*Oeuvres Diverses*, tom. iv, p. 732.) In the Appendix, No. xii, I have inserted an original paper of Dr Pitcairne, explanatory of some obscure allusions in his Latin poems. Several other passages are explained by Lord Hailes, in a communication to the *Edinburgh Magazine and Review*, vol. i, p. 235.

contrast with his. On Buchanan's translation of that psalm, he at the same time published a violent criticism; which he concludes very complacently, by submitting his lucubrations to the judgment of the university of Paris. Dr Johnston, who was aware that the reputation of his native land was closely connected with that of Buchanan, exposed the vanity of Eglisham in two galling satires;^u and Dr Barclay, another learned physician, refuted his captious criticisms, and exposed the puerility of the version which he had exhibited in so hazardous a situation.

Arthur Johnston was one of the best Latin poets of the age in which he flourished. His original compositions are distinguished by a spirit of classical elegance; and he has executed a complete paraphrase of the psalms, which is regarded as superior to that of every other poet

^u Jonstoni Parerga, p. 3, 12. Aberdoniæ, 1632, 8vo.—Another Aberdeen poet, John Leech, A. M. of King's College, has likewise satirized him under the name of Onopordus. (*Musæ Priores*, epig. p. 13. Lond. 1620, 8vo.) Eglisham's treatment of Buchanan drew from Daniel Heinsius these expressions of poignant indignation: "Quominus est ferendum, esse hominem tam confidentem qui leoni mortuo insultet. Sed et pulices et pedes idem faciunt; animalia quæ e putredine nascuntur." (*Burmanni Sylloge Epistolarum*, tom. ii, p. 451.) In the Appendix, No. xiv, I have mentioned the first edition of his work. He soon published a second, under the title of "Duellum Poeticum, pro Dignitate Paraphraseos Psalmi centesimi quarti, decertantibus G. Eglisemmio, medico regio, G. Buchanano, pædonomo regio. Cui annexa Epigrammata, nec non Astrologicum de causis et effectibus novi Cometæ Judicium." Londini, 1619, 4to. Dempster describes the author as a native of Hamilton; but in 1616, George Eglisham, M. D. was served heir to his father John Eglisham, a burgess of Edinburgh. (*Inquisitionum Abbreviatio*, vol. ii, inq. gen. 632.)

except Buchanan. Of Buchanan's superiority he professes to have been sufficiently aware; but some of his admirers have bestowed their praise with too lavish a hand, and perhaps have rather diminished than increased his reputation. Lauder, who rendered himself conspicuous by his attack on Milton, was the first writer who endeavoured to establish Johnston's fame on the ruin of Buchanan's; and he found an ardent supporter in Mr Benson, an English gentleman respectable for his love of literature. Several impressions of Johnston's paraphrase were published at his expense; one of which is a splendid edition for the use of the prince of Wales, on the plan of the French edition of classics for the use of the dauphin.^y But the editors of Buchanan did not tamely acquiesce in this encroachment: his defence against Lauder was undertaken by Mr Love;^z against Benson by Mr Ruddiman, a more formidable antagonist. Not satisfied with overwhelming Johnston with hyperbolical praise, Mr Benson had laboured to prove that Buchan-

^y Arturi Jonstoni Psalmi Davidici Interpretatione, Argumentis, Notisque illustrati: in usum Serenissimi Principis. Lond. 1741, 4to.—This edition, which includes a portrait engraved by G. Vertue, was also printed in 8vo during the same year. Mr Benson published a third impression of Johnston's paraphrase, accompanied with the Greek metaphrase of Duport. Lond. 1742, 8vo. These editions were all printed by Bowyer. The first edition of Johnston's work is that of Aberdeen, 1637, 8vo.

^z The controversy between Lauder and Love produced many pamphlets; but the only one that I have seen is the first part of Lauder's *Calumny Display'd*. Of that work there are at least other two parts. In the catalogue subjoined to this volume, I have mentioned Love's comparison of Buchanan and Johnston on the authority of Mr Chalmers, p. 137.

an's paraphrase is unworthy of the commendation which it has received : but his criticisms were completely exposed by that excellent grammarian ; whose elaborate performance, though perhaps somewhat deficient in compression, may still be recommended for its intrinsic value.

The elegant and melodious version of Johnston is almost entirely confined to the elegiac measure, in which he had attained to great proficiency. In the hundred and nineteenth psalm alone, his metre is varied ; and each stanza is exhibited in a new species of verse. Buchanan's plan of varying the measure according to the characteristics of the poem, was evidently more eligible to a writer who possessed such versatility of talent. His friend Beza has likewise adopted a variety of metres ; but he has not perhaps selected them with equal judgment.

Dr Beattie, who has passed a general condemnation on poetical paraphrases of the psalms, is by no means disposed to exempt Buchanan's from this sentence. " If we look into Buchanan, what can we say, but that the learned author, with great command of Latin expression, has no true relish for the emphatic conciseness, and unadorned simplicity, of the inspired poets ? Arthur Johnston is not so verbose, and has of course more vigour : but his choice of a couplet, which keeps the reader always in mind of the puerile epistles of Ovid, was singularly injudicious.—As psalms may, in prose, as easily as in verse, be adapted to music, why should we seek to force

those divine strains into the measures of Roman or of modern song? He who transformed Livy into iambics, and Virgil into monkish rhyme, did not in my opinion act more absurdly. In fact, sentiments of devotion are rather depressed than elevated by the arts of the European versifier."^a This censure of Buchanan, when properly understood, is less applicable to the manner than to the language in which he wrote. The genius of the Hebrew is essentially different from that of the Latin tongue; and what is beauty in the one may be deformity in the other. To this rash charge of verbosity and want of vigour, it will be sufficient to oppose the judgment of a learned writer who had studied the works of Buchanan with great assiduity. "Of all our modern poets," says Mr Ruddiman, "I know of none who have better preserved that masculine and elegant simplicity, which we so much admire in the ancient writers, and whose stile is farther removed from all gaudiness and affectation."

Sir Thomas Hope, who was king's advocate from 1626 till 1641, and who is well known to Scottish lawyers, must also be commemorated among the Scottish poets who have executed Latin paraphrases of this sacred book. His version still remains in manuscript; and its merit is not perhaps sufficient to render its publication an object of much solicitude.^b

^a Beattie's *Dissertations Moral and Critical*, p. 645. Lond. 1783, 4to.

^b Hope's version of the hundred and fourth psalm may be found in Lau-

Buchanan's paraphrase continues to be read in the principal schools of Scotland, and perhaps in those of some other countries. Lauder's attempt to supplant it by that of Johnston proved unsuccessful. During the lifetime of Buchanan, it had begun to be introduced into the schools of Germany; and its various measures had been accommodated to appropriate melodies, for the purpose of being chanted by academical students.^c Pope Urban the eighth, himself a poet of no mean talents, is said to have averred that "'twas pity it was written by so great a heretic, for otherwise it should have been sung in all churches under his authority."^d The famous Bishop Bedell "loved it beyond all other Latin poetry;"^e and Nicolas Bourbon, who was himself a poet of considerable celebrity, declared that he would rather have been the author of this paraphrase than archbishop of Paris.^f

When Buchanan consigned his psalms to the printer, he was probably engaged in superintending the classical studies of Queen Mary; and to that most accomplished and hopeful princess, he

der's *Poetarum Scotorum Musæ Sacræ*, tom. ii, p. xxvi. To this famous lawyer Johnston has addressed one of his epigrams. (*Jonstoni Poemata*, p. 374. Middelb. 1642, 16to.)

^c Chytræi præf. in *Collectanea in Buchanani Paraphrasin Psalmorum*.

^d Sir John Denham's preface to his *Version of the Psalms*. Lond. 1714, 8vo.—Cowley, speaking of the writers who have versified the psalms, denominates Buchanan "much the best of them all, and indeed a great person." (*Pref. to Pindarique Odes*.)

^e Burnet's *Life of Bishop Bedell*, p. 77. Lond. 1685, 8vo.

^f Menage, *Observations sur les Poësies de M. de Malherbe*, p. 395.

gratefully inscribed a work destined for immortality. His dedication has received, and indeed is entitled to the highest commendation for its terseness, compression, and delicacy.

Nympha, Caledoniæ quæ nunc feliciter oræ

Missa per innumeros sceptrâ tueris avos ;

Quæ sortem antevenis meritis, virtutibus annos,

Sexum animis, morum nobilitate genus,

Accipe (sed facilis) cultu donata Latino

Carmina, fatidici nobile regis opus.

Illa quidem Cirrha procul et Permesside lympha,

Pene sub Arctoi sidere nata poli :

Non tamen ausus eram male natum exponere foetum,^g

Ne mihi displiceant quæ placuere tibi.^h

Nam quod ab ingenio domini sperare nequibant,

Debebunt genio forsitan illa tuo.ⁱ

Instead of this conclusion, other four verses, not destitute of elegance, have been substituted by Dr Atterbury, the well-known bishop of Ro-

^g This verse is sometimes misunderstood. It is thus explained by Lancelot : “ Non ausus essem in publicum edere foetum, non faventibus Musis editum.” (*Epigrammatum Delectus*, p. 383, edit. Lond. 1686, 8vo.) But the poet evidently alludes to the practice of *exposing* deformed or sickly infants. “ I durst not however expose my unpromising offspring.”

^h Invideo Pisis, Laurenti, nec tamen odi,
Ne mihi displiceat quæ tibi terra placet.

POLITIANI Opera, sig. gg. 5. Venet. 1498, fol.

ⁱ This famous epigram is imitated by Johnston in the dedication of his psalms, and by Dempster in that of his Latin version of Montgomery's *Cherrie and Slae*. “ Quod tamen epigramma,” says Vavasseur, “ fere epigramma non sit, cum exitu subtili nullo concludatur.” (*De Epigrammate*, p. 263.) This point it seems unnecessary to dispute with the learned Jesuit ; for however these verses are to be described, no person will deny their excellence.

chester. They certainly partake more of the spirit of a modern dedication, but do not sufficiently correspond with the majestic simplicity of Buchanan's sentiments.

Quod si culta parum, si sint incondita, nostri
 Scilicet ingenii est, non ea culpa loci.
 Posse etiam hic nasci quæ sunt pulcherrima, spondet
 E vultu et genio Scotica terra tuo.

Buchanan recommended himself to the queen by other poetical tributes. One of his most beautiful productions is the epithalamium which he composed on her first nuptials.^k This attractive subject had also excited the poetical talents of De l'Hôpital and Turnebus; but the rival composition of Buchanan displays a fertility of fancy, and a felicity of diction, which preclude all comparison. His encomium on his native land it would be unpardonable to overlook.

Illa 'pharetratis est propria gloria Scotis,
 Cingere venatu saltus, superare natando
 Flumina, ferre famem, contemnere frigora et æstus;
 Nec fossa et muris patriam, sed Marte tueri,
 Et spreta incolumem vita defendere famam;
 Polliciti servare fidem, sanctumque vereri

^k Buchananani Silvæ, iv.

^l "Nostra autem ætate," says Crinitus, "[Scotorum] complures cum Carolo Francorum rege Italiam invaserunt, qui sub ejus signis militarent: sunt enim in dirigendis maxime sagittis viri acres atque egregii." (*De Honestâ Disciplina*, p. 56, edit. Lugd. 1554, 8vo.) It was however a general characteristic of our ancestors to place very little reliance on missile weapons.

Numen amicitiae, mores, non munus amare.^m
 Artibus his, totum fremerent cum bella per orbem,
 Nullaque non leges tellus mutaret avitas
 Externo subjecta jugo, gens una vetustis
 Sedibus antiqua sub libertate resedit.
 Substitit hic Gothi furor, hic gravis impetus hæsit
 Saxonis, hic Cimber superato Saxone, et acri

^m One of the most learned of Buchanan's friends had bestowed similar praise.

Si cui simplicitas, et priscae sæcula vitæ,
 Sors sine dissidiis, mens sine fraude placet,
 Ne Scotiae dextras, hirsutaeque pectora spernat :
 Haud bene junguntur luxus et arma simul.

JUL. SCALIGERI Poemata, tom. i, p. 553.

“Est vero,” says another Italian of great celebrity, “inter amicitiae fœdera non vulgare, hospitii jus, quod invidia vacet, quale apud Scotos : nam apud nos rarius est, et omnes jam ad cauponas divertunt.” (Cardanus *De Utilitate ex Adversis capienda*, p. 41, edit. Amst. 1672, 8vo.) Cardan had himself visited Scotland ; where he remained seventy-five days with Archbishop Hamilton. (*De Propria Vita*, p. 193, edit. Naudæi. Paris. 1643, 8vo.) See Robertson, vol. i, p. 353. In his works he has scattered various remarks on the climate, soil, and productions of Britain. In one passage, he mentions the excellence of the British wool ; but he adds that the country produces no serpents. (*De Subtilitate*, p. 310, edit. Basil. 1553, fol.) His antagonist Scaliger, who does not dispute the fact, has however rejected the causes which he assigns for it. (*De Subtilitate, ad H. Cardanum*, f. 269.) The fuel used in this country seems to have excited the surprise of Cardan as much as it did that of Æneas Sylvius. (*Commentarii Rerum Memorabilium*, p. 4, edit. Francof. 1614, fol.) “Britannia adeo bituminosa est, ut non solum lapides ardeant, sed et terra, cum erica derasa : ultra Novocastrium civitatem in cumulos asservetur. Hac utuntur, ut pro alendis ignibus, et pro domorum tectoriis, tegularum loco. Adjuvant ad concipiendos ignes ericæ radices. Tremit etiam terra ipsa Britanniae non parum superequantibus nobis, ut cum ex Amulthone Lifconem [*from Hamilton to Linlithgow ?*] veniremus. Causam esse animadverti, non quod cavernæ subessent, sed quod terra illa nigra quasi fungus inanis esset. Ardet enim et ibi terra, et lapidum qui uruntur tanta copia in Scotia est, ut pretio viliori vendantur longe quam apud nos ligna” (Cardanus *De Rerum Varietate*, p. 54, edit. Basil. 1557, 8vo.)

Perdomito Neuster Cimbro. Si volvere priscos
 Non piget annales, hic et victoria fixit
 Præcipitem Romana gradum : quem non gravis Auster
 Reppulit, incultis non squalens Parthia campis,
 Non æstu Meroe, non frigore Rhenus et Albis
 Tardavit, Latium remorata est Scotia cursum :
 Solaque gens mundi est, cum qua non culmine montis,
 Non rapidi ripis amnis, non objice silvæ,
 Non vasti spatiis campi Romana potestas,
 Sed muris fossaque sui confinia regni
 Munivit : gentesque alias cum pelleret armis
 Sedibus, aut victas vilem servaret in usum
 Servitii, hic contenta suos defendere fines
 Roma securigeris prætendit mœnia Scotis:
 Hic spe progressus posita, Carronis ad undam
 Terminus Ausonii signat divortia regni.
 Neve putes duri studiis assueta Gradi
 Pectora mansuetas non emollescere ad artes,
 Hæc quoque, cum Latium quateret Mars barbarus orbem,
 Sola prope expulsis fuit hospita terra Camœnis.ⁿ

The elegant poem which he composed on the birth of his future pupil King James, affords an interesting proof of the early solicitude with which he regarded his destiny, as connected with the welfare of his native country.

Vos quoque felices felici prole parentes,
 Jam tenerum teneris puerum consuescite ab annis
 Justitiæ, sanctumque bibat virtutis amorem
 Cum lacte ; et primis pietas comes addita cunis

ⁿ Archbishop Usher has remarked that this part of the poet's encomium belongs to Ireland, the Scotia of the ancients. "Quod de sua cecinit poeta optimus, de nostra Scotia multo rectius possit usurpari." (*Veterum Epistolarum Hibernicarum Sylloge*, præf. Dublin. 1632, 4to.)

Conformetque animum, et pariter cum corpore crescat.
 Non ita conversi puppis moderamine clavi
 Flectitur, ut populi pendent a principe mores.
 Non carcer, legumque minæ, torvæque secures
 Sic animos terrent trepidos formidine pœnæ,
 Ut veræ virtutis honos, moresque modesti
 Regis, et innocui decus et reverentia sceptri
 Convertunt mentes ad honesta exempla sequaces.*

Several of his miscellaneous poems of less importance relate to the same accomplished princess; who was not insensible of his powerful claims upon the protection of his country. In the year 1564, she had rewarded his literary merit by conferring upon him the temporalities of Crossragwell abbey; which amounted in annual valuation to the sum of five hundred pounds in Scottish currency.^p The abbacy was at that time vacant by the decease of Quintin Kennedy; who was a man of learning, and the brother of Buchanan's former pupil the earl of Cassillis.

But while he thus enjoyed the favour of the queen, he did not neglect his powerful friend the earl of Murray. To that nobleman he inscribed his *Franciscanus* during the same year. The date of the earliest edition is uncertain; but the dedication was written at St Andrews on the fifth of June 1564, when he was perhaps residing in the earl's house.^q

* Buchanani Silvæ, vii.

^p See Appendix, No. v.

^q This poem has lately been translated by George Provand; whose publication bears the title of "The Franciscan Friar, a Satire; and the Marriage

He at the same time prepared for the press his miscellany entitled *Fratres Fraterrimi*;^r a collection of satires, almost entirely directed against the impurities of the Popish church. The absurdity of its doctrines, and the immoral lives of its priests, afforded him an ample field for the exercise of his formidable talents; and he has alternately employed the weapons of sarcastic irony and vehement indignation. His admirable wit and address must have contributed to promote the cause which Luther had so ardently espoused; and Buchanan ought also to be classed with the most illustrious of the reformers. Guy Patin was so fascinated with his satirical powers, that he committed to memory all his epigrams, his *Franciscanus*, and his *Fratres Fraterrimi*. After having particularized some of Buchanan's verses, he subjoins, Virgil never produced better, but it has required fifteen centuries to produce a poet like Virgil.^s This lively and intelligent physician was evidently no bigot: many decided Papists have however mentioned the heretical poet with enthusiasm; though such indeed as expect-

Ode of Francis of Valois and Mary, Sovereigns of France and Scotland: translated into English Verse from the Latin of George Buchanan. Glasgow, 1809, 8vo.

^r Robert Monteith, A. M. published "The very learned Scotsman Mr George Buchanan's *Fratres Fraterrimi*, three books of Epigrams, and book of Miscellanies, in English Verse: with the illustration of the proper names and mythologies therein mentioned." Edinburgh, 1708, 8vo.

^s *Lettres de Guy Patin*, tom. i, p. 592.

ed preferment, have constantly interposed a formal caution relative to his heresy.^t

To these satires, which seem to have been composed in Scotland, Portugal, and France, he prefixed a poetical dedication to his friend Utenhovius. Buchanan and Utenhovius apparently maintained a particular intimacy, and they have repeatedly interchanged poetical compliments. Carolus Utenhovius was born at Ghent in the year 1536; and prosecuted his studies at Paris with more than common success. His birth seems to have been superior to his fortune; for he engaged himself as preceptor to the daughters of Jean de Morel, so highly celebrated for their literature. He afterwards visited England in the train of Paul de Foix, the French ambassador; and his poetical incense recommended him to the notice of Queen Elizabeth. Having entered into the matrimonial state, he settled at Cologne; where he died of an apoplexy in the year 1600. His works chiefly consist of miscellaneous verses,

^t “Eorum nemo est,” says Scioppius, “cui idem quod Buchanano contigerit, ut in quovis carminum genere summam obtineret: cujus quidem rei laude omnem etiam antiquitatem provocat; ut tanta illa ingenii, vere unici et incomparabilis, ornamenta ad impietatem conversa fuisse, vehementer non ipsius magis quam reipublicæ causa dolendum sit.” (*De Rhetoricarum Exercitationum Generibus*, p. 26.) Gaddius characterizes him as “historicus, poeta maximæ famæ, propter hæresin non nisi cum venia memorandus, ingenio vere unico et incomparabili ornatus.” (*De Scriptoribus non Ecclesiasticis*, tom. i, p. 87.) “Tantus in Latinitate nitor et puritas,” says Morhoff in allusion to the former passage, “ut nil in illo præter hæresin ille inter grammaticos Momos omnes Argus et Lynceus Scioppius reprehendat.” (*De Patavinitate Liviana*, p. 116. Kilonii, 1685, 4to.)

composed in seven different languages. He was long understood to be engaged in preparing an edition of the *Dionysiaca* of Nonnus, together with a Latin translation; and, in the opinion of Falkenburgius, he was excellently qualified for the task.^u This edition however did not make its appearance.^x

In the year 1567, Buchanan published another collection, consisting of *Elegiæ, Silvæ, Hendecasyllabi*.^y To this miscellany was prefixed an epistle to his friend Peter Daniel; a learned man who is still remembered for his edition of Virgil with the commentary of Servius. This epistle contains several hints relative to the history of the author's poetical works. "Between the occupations of a court," says Buchanan, "and the annoyance of disease, I have hardly been able to steal any portion of time, which I could either devote to my friends or to myself; and I have therefore been prevented from maintaining a frequent correspondence with my friends, and from collecting my poems which lie so widely dispersed. For my own part, I was not extremely solicitous to recal them from perdition; for the subjects are generally of a trivial nature, and such as at this period of life are at

^u Falkenburgii Epist. ante Nonnum. Antverp. 1569, 4to.

^x Thuani Hist. sui Temporis, tom. v, p. 847. Andreae Bibliotheca Belgica, p. 129. Du Verdier, tom. i, p. 310. La Croix du Maine, tom. i, p. 119.

^y Parisiis, apud Robertum Stephanum, 1567, 12mo.

once calculated to inspire me with disgust and shame. But as Pierre Mondoré and some other friends, to whom I neither can nor ought to refuse any request, demanded them with such earnestness, I have employed some of my leisure hours in collecting a portion, and placing it in a state of arrangement. With this specimen, which consists of one book of elegies, another of miscellanies, and a third of hendecasyllables, I in the mean time present you. When it shall suit your convenience, I beg you will communicate them to Mondoré, De Mesmes, and other philological friends, without whose advice I trust you will not adopt any measure relative to their publication. In a short time, I hope to send you a book of iambics, another of epigrams, another of odes, and perhaps some other pieces of a similar denomination: all these I wish to be at the disposal of my friends, as I have finally determined to rely more on their judgment than on my own. In my paraphrase of the psalms, I have corrected many typographical errors, and have likewise made various alterations: I must therefore request you to advise Stephanus not to publish a new edition without my knowledge. Hitherto I have not found leisure to finish the second book of my poem *De Sphæra*; and therefore I have not made a transcript of the first: as soon as the other is completed, I shall transmit them to you. Salute in my name all our friends at Orleans, and such others as it may be convenient.

Farewell. Edinburgh, July the twenty-fourth 1566.”^z The two friends whom Buchanan particularizes in this letter, were men of no inconsiderable distinction. Pierre Mondoré, who has been commemorated by Thuanus as a man of excellent talents, was master of the requests, a counsellor in the great council, and keeper of the royal library. He composed Latin verses with uncommon felicity, and was profoundly skilled in the mathematical sciences.^a His attachment to the cause of rational religion involved him in misfortune: having been driven from Orleans, the place of his nativity, he retired to Sancerre sur Loire, where his unmerited exile was soon terminated by death. He died on the nineteenth of August 1570; and his intimate friend De l'Hôpital composed his epitaph in affectionate and indignant terms.^b His library, which was uncommonly rich in mathematical manuscripts, was pillaged during the subsequent tumults of St Bartholomew.^c Henry de Mesmes, who was master of the requests, and enjoyed other offices of

^z Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 5.

^a Sammarthani Elogia Gallorum Doctrina Illustrum, p. 48, edit. Paris. 1630, 4to.—See likewise M. Bernardi's “Essai sur la Vie, les Ecrits et les Lois de Michel de l'Hôpital, Chancelier de France,” p. 88, 222. Paris, 1807, 8vo. Mondoré's commentary on the tenth book of Euclid was published in 1551. (Vossius *De Scientiis Mathematicis*, p. 335.) Three of his Latin poems occur in the *Delitiæ Poetarum Gallorum*, tom. ii, p. 711.

^b Hospitalii Carmina, p. 385, edit. Amst. 1732, 8vo.—One of the epistles of De l'Hôpital is addressed “Ad Petr. Montaureum, elegantissimum poetam, et mathematicum præstantissimum.” (P. 81.)

^c Thuana, p. 197.

dignity, descended from a family that derived its remote lineage from the native country of Buchanan.^d Although he did not court the fame of authorship, few individuals have been more generally known among their learned contemporaries.^e He was not only a generous encourager of literature, but was himself a man of erudition. He was possessed of a noble library, which was always accessible to the learned; and his illustrious family continued to be distinguished by the same liberality of conduct.^f The name was long afterwards commemorated as dear to letters.^g Buchanan was not the only poet who confided in the critical judgment of De Mesmes; his decisions seem to have been very generally regarded with the utmost deference.^h

His promise relative to the three books of iam-bics, epigrams, and odes, Buchanan seems to have forgotten: after an interval of nearly ten years, Daniel strongly urged its performance. It

^d Sammarthani Elogia Gallorum Doctrina Illustrium, p. 121.

^e Turnebus dedicated to him the second volume of his *Adversaria*; and Gruchius, another learned friend of Buchanan, inscribed to the same respectable character his *Responsio ad binas Caroli Sigonii Reprehensiones*. Passetat, who resided many years in the family of De Mesmes, has written a poem in celebration of his library (*Del. Poet. Gall.* tom. iii, p. 2.); and has likewise devoted many other effusions to the praise of his munificent patron.

^f Le Gallois, *Traité des Bibliothèques*, p. 152.—See likewise the dedication of Henninius's edition of Juvenal. Ultraj. 1685, 4to.

^g D'Alembert, *Hist. des Membres de l'Académie Française*, tom. iv, p. 339.

^h Sammarthani *Poemata*, p. 190, edit. Lutet. 1629, 4to. Bonefonii *Carmina*, p. 48, edit. Lond. 1720, 12mo.

is not certain whether most of those poems were published before the author's decease. His *Miscellanea* were not printed till the year 1615.ⁱ Of his short and miscellaneous pieces, the subjects are sometimes indeed of a trivial nature; but even those lighter efforts serve to evince the wonderful versatility of his mind. His epigrams, which consist of three books, are not the least remarkable of his compositions: the terseness of the diction, the ingenuity and pungency of the thought, have deservedly placed them in a very high class. Every nation, says a learned critic, has its writers of epigrams; but if a selection of them were to be formed, they would scarcely equal in number the gates of Thebes or the mouths of the Nile.^k The estimation in which Buchanan's epigrams have generally been held, may be inferred from the frequency with which they are translated and imitated by poets of various countries. The pointed epigram has always been a favourite mode of intellectual exer-

ⁱ They first appeared in Andrew Hart's edition of *Buchanani Poemata Omnia*. Edinb. 1615, 24to.

^k Morhofius de *Arguta Dictione*, p. 190, edit. Lubec. 1731, 8vo.—Ten of Buchanan's epigrams are quoted in the work of Nicolaus Mercerus *De Conscribendo Epigrammate*. Paris. 1653, 8vo. The same number is inserted in the *Epigrammatum Delectus*. Paris. 1659, 12mo. This collection, afterwards reprinted for the use of Eton school, was edited by Lancelot; but the preliminary dissertation was written by Nicole. (Barbier, *Dictionnaire des Ouvrages Anonymes et Pseudonymes*, tom. iii, p. 386.) It is on this publication that Vavasseur has made so many animadversions, in his elegant book *De Epigrammate*. He had himself composed an immense number of epigrams; not one of which was selected by Lancelot.

cise with the French; and several accomplished scholars of that nation have sufficiently testified their approbation of Buchanan's epigrammatic wit. Menage, who has pronounced all his verses to be excellent, was particularly delighted with the felicity of the subsequent lines.¹

*Illa mihi semper præsentì dura Neæra,
Me, quoties absum, semper abesse dolet.
Non desiderio nostri, non mœret amore,
Sed se non nostro posse dolore frui.^m*

That accomplished philologer has imitated them in one of his Italian madrigals.

*Chi creduto l'avrebbe ?
L'empia, la cruda Iole
Del mio partir si duole.
A quel finto dolore
Non ti fidar, mio core.
Non è vera pietade
Quella che monstra, nò ; ma crudeltade.
Dell' aspro mio martire
La cruda vuol gioire ;
Udir la cruda i miei sospiri ardenti,
E mirar vuole i duri miei tormenti.ⁿ*

M. de la Monnoye, a man of extensive and accurate literature, translated the same epigram into French.

*Philis, qui tête à tête insensible à mes feux,
Comte pour rien mes pleurs, mes soupirs, et mes vœux,*

¹ Menagiana, tom. ii, p. 133.

^m Buchanani Epigram. lib. i, 31.

ⁿ Menagii Poemata, p. 267, edit. Paris. 1668, 8vo.

Quand je suis éloigné regrette ma présence.
 Ah ! dois-je là-dessus me flater vainement ?
 Non, non, le déplaisir qu'elle a de mon absence
 Lui vient de ne pouvoir jouir de mon tourment.^a

Buchanan's epigram *In Zoilum* has frequently been repeated with much relish, and frequently translated.

Frustra ego te laudo, frustra me, Zoile, lædis :
 Nemo mihi credit, Zoile, nemo tibi.^r

Menage, who has written another in the very same strain, avers that Buchanan, as well as himself, was indebted to the prose of Libanius.^s

Μὰψ ἐμὲ λοιδορεῖς, μὰψ, Ζαῖλε, καί σε ἐπαινῶ.
 Οὐ γὰρ ἐμοῖς, ἔ σοῖς πίστις ἔνιστι λόγοις.^t

The following verses of M. de la Monnoye are professedly a translation of Buchanan's distich.

Tu dis par tout du mal de moi,
 Je dis par tout du bien de toi :
 Quel malheur est le nôtre !
 L'on ne nous croît ni l'un ni l'autre.^u

His epigrammatic epitaph on Jacobus Silvius, a famous professor of physic in the university of Paris, shall close these motley transcripts.

^a Poesies de M. de la Monnoye, p. 47. Haye, 1716, 8vo.

^r Buchanani Epigram. lib. i, 12.

^s Menage, Anti-Baillet, tom. ii, p. 277.

^t Menagii Poemata, p. 110.

^u Poesies de M. de la Monnoye, p. 242.

Silvius hic situs est, gratis qui nil dedit unquam :

Mortuus et gratis quod legis ista, dolet.^x

Silvius was famous for his learning, and infamous for the most sordid avarice. Buchanan's indignation had been provoked by the indecent rage which he publicly testified against two poor students, who had occasionally attended his prelections without paying their fees. He died in the year 1555; and so little was his memory revered among his pupils, that during his funeral service, some of them exhibited Buchanan's epigram on the door of the church.^y H. Stephanus has translated this distich into French.

Ici gist Sylvius, auquel onc en sa vie

De donner rien gratis ne prit aucune envie :

Et ores qu'il est mort et tout rongé de vers,

Encores a despit qu'on lit gratis ces vers.^z

The editors of Buchanan have uniformly inserted among his works, and without any suggestion of its spuriousness, an epigram on Julius the second,^a which was perhaps written before Buchanan was born, and certainly before he had exceeded the seventh year of his age. It was composed and circulated during the lifetime of that pontiff, who died on the twenty-second of

^x Buchanani Epigram. lib. ii, 10.

^y Sammarthani Elogia, p. 24. Bulæi Hist. Univ. Paris. tom. vi, p. 933.

^z Estienne, Apologie pour Herodote, p. 181.

^a Buchanani Miscell. xxi.

February 1513; and Janus Lascaris had obtained his favour by repelling it in another epigram, where the same topics are converted to his praise.^b In one collection,^c the satirical lines are ascribed to C. Gr. supposed to be Conradus Grebelius of Zurich.^d

In the present arrangement of Buchanan's poetry, no separate book of odes is to be found; but a great proportion of his *Miscellanea* is of the lyric species. In his paraphrase of the psalms, lyric measures are chiefly employed; and

^b Ferronus de Rebus Gestis Gallorum, f. 60, b, edit. Lutet. 1554, fol. —An account of Lascaris may be found in the learned work of Dr Hody, "De Græcis Illustribus Linguae Græcæ Literarumque Humaniorum Instauratoribus," p. 247. Lond. 1742, 8vo.

^c Pasquillorum tomī duo, p. 91. *Eleutheropoli*, 1544, 8vo.—This very rare book is supposed to have been edited by Cælius Secundus Curio. It was printed by Joannes Oporinus of Basil. (Gesneri *Partitiones Universales*, f. 49.)

^d Menagiana, tom. iii, p. 57.—To the curious remarks of M. de la Monnoye it may be added, that the two epigrams are printed among those of Janus Lascaris. In the edition published by Jacobus Tusanus, they stand thus:

Ἀδελφου de quodam Pontifice.

Patria cui Genua est, genitricem Græcia, partum

Pontus et unda dedit, qui bonus esse potest?

Sunt vani Ligures, mendax est Græcia, ponto

Nulla fides: malus est hæc tria quisquis habet.

Lascaris.

Est Venus orta mari, Graium sapientia, solers

Ingenium est Ligurum: qui malus esse potest

Cui genus ut Veneri, a Graiis sapientia, solers

Ingenium a Genua est? Mome proterve tace.

LASCARIS Epigrammata, sig. c, edit. Paris. 1527, 8vo.

The former epigram, as it occurs among the posthumous poems of Buchanan, is more pointed; and in this improved form it may have been found in his hand-writing.

many odes occur among his other productions. In this department of composition, as well as in various others, he deservedly holds the first rank among the modern Latinists.^e His diction is terse and elegant, his numbers are harmonious; and as his genius possessed a native elevation, he did not find it requisite to invest his thoughts with a perpetual pomp of words. Several of the moderns, and even Casimir himself, seem to have entertained an opinion that the dignity of lyric poetry cannot be supported without a perpetual straining after brilliant metaphors: from an adherence to this notion, their productions are often removed to an equal distance from classical elegance, and from genuine sublimity. Buchanan's diction is lofty when the subject requires it; but the practice of Horace had taught him that every ode need not aim at sublimity, and that every sublime ode need not be darkened by a cloud of metaphors. His ode on the first of May has been very honourably distinguished by a late ingenious and elegant writer. "I know not," says Mr Alison, "any instance where the effect of association is so remarkable in bestowing sublimity on objects to which it does not naturally belong, as in the following inimitable poem of Buchanan's, on the month of May. This season is, in general, fitted to excite emotions very different from sublimity, and the numerous poems which

^e Morhofii Polyhistor, tom. i, p. 1067.

have been written in celebration of it, dwell uniformly on its circumstances of 'vernal joy.' In this ode, however, the circumstances which the poet has selected, are of a kind which, to me, appear inexpressibly sublime, and distinguish the poem itself by a degree and character of grandeur which I have seldom found equalled in any other composition."^f

His book of elegies, nine in number, is composed with his usual felicity. Some of them however which relate to the ladies are not the most pleasing of his performances. The most beautiful of these poems is the elegy on the first of May; a season which awoke in Buchanan the finest emotions of a truly poetical mind. The whole is exquisite, and breathes the genuine spirit of the ancient elegy.

In the sportive effusions of his youth, Buchanan has occasionally indulged a vein of pruriency, from which some authors have rashly drawn conclusions respecting the morality of his conduct. "His life," says Dr Stuart, "was liberal like his opinions. From the uncertain condition of his fortune, or from his attachment to study, he kept himself free from the restraint of marriage; but if a judgment may be formed from the vivacity of his temper and the wantonness of his verses, he was no enemy to beauty and to love, and

^f Alison's *Essays on the Nature and Principles of Taste*, p. 21. Edinb. 1790, 4to.

must have known the tumults and the languors of voluptuousness.”^g The necessity of this inference is very questionable. Dr Blacklock has frequently descanted with enthusiasm on the beauties of external nature; and yet he was absolutely blind from his infancy. Buchanan might allude to raptures which he never felt. His friend Beza had indulged his youthful fancy in the utmost freedom of description; yet he afterwards protested with solemnity, that although his verses were lascivious, his conduct was chaste.^h The manners of that age, when com-

^g Stuart's Hist. of Scotland, vol. ii, p. 243.

^h The ancient Latin poets, and even Ovid himself, adopted the same excuse.

Nam castum esse decet pium poetam
Ipsum; versiculos nihil necesse est.

CATULLUS.

Crede mihi; mores distant a carmine nostro:
Vita verecunda est, Musa jocosa mihi.

OVID.

Innocuos censura potest permittere lusus:
Lasciva est nobis pagina, vita proba.

MARTIAL.

Est jocus in Nostris, sunt seria multa libellis:
Stoicus has partes, has Epicurus agit.
Salva mihi veterum maneat dum regula morum,
Ludat permissis sobria Musa jocis.

AUSONIUS.

Muretus, whose juvenile poems are not altogether unexceptionable, has however insinuated the futility of such allegations.

Nil immundius est tuis libellis,
Nil obscenius, impudiciusque;
Et vis te tamen ut putemus esse
Numa Fabricioque sanctiorem.

pared with those of the present, will appear extremely coarse and indelicate. National manners may frequently be improved and refined by works of literature; but works of literature are more commonly and more deeply influenced by national manners. “Horace,” says Lord Kames, “is extremely obscene, and Martial no less. But I censure neither of them, and as little the queen of Navarre for her tales; for they wrote according to the manners of the times: and it is the manners I censure, not the writers.”ⁱ The contemporaries of Buchanan, even in the most refined nations of Europe, were only emerging from barbarism: and a familiar acquaintance with the ancient classics, however it might contribute to the improvement of taste, could contribute but little to the improvement of manners. The ancient poets, both Greek and Roman, had indeed bequeathed for their use abundant examples of elegant obscenity. The modern Italians, whether laymen or priests, did not hesitate to follow ancient precedents: some of the productions of Antonius Panormita,^k Pontanus, and Bembus, verge

At sententia nostra ea est, Noalli,
Quisquis versibus exprimit Catullum,
Raro moribus exprimit Catonem.

MURETI Opera, tom. i, p. 705.

ⁱ Kames’s Sketches of the Hist. of Man, vol. i, p. 377.

^k For the benefit of studious youth, a complete edition of the *Hermaproditus* of Antonius Beccatellus of Palermo has at length been published, in a collection entitled *Quinque Illustrium Poetarum Lusus in Venerem*. Paris. 1791, 8vo. It is no disparagement to Mr Roscoe that he was unacquainted with this precious collection. (*Life of Lorenzo de’ Medici*, vol. i, p. 71, 4th edit.)

upon the very extremity of wantonness and impurity. These examples passed to other nations; Secundus, Bonefonius, and many eminent poets beside, vied with each other in the elegance of their language, and the grossness of their ideas. And, what may perhaps be regarded as still more extraordinary, in the scholastic compilations published during that period for the use of Latin versifiers, this department is very seldom neglected: the *Epithetorum Opus* of Jo. Ravisius Textor exhibits the most obscene words in the Latin language, studiously illustrated by accurate quotations from ancient and modern poets. Joseph Scaliger, who was anxious to be regarded as a man of piety, exerted much industry and learning in elucidating ancient reliques of the most impure denomination.¹ A long train of Catholic priests have expatiated on the languors of love and the ecstasies of enjoyment: as they were doomed to perpetual celibacy, they must either have entertained a hardy contempt of moral reputation, or supposed that such productions would be regarded as mere efforts of a poetical fancy. Even the queen of Navarre, who is represented as a woman of consummate virtue, did not blush to write what few modern ladies would profess to read.^m There are some poets, says

¹ Deinde," says Scaliger, "quare non licebit mihi, quod omnibus licuit etiam sanctissimis et doctissimis viris?" (*Virgilii Appendix*, p. 448. Lugd. 1573, 8vo.)

^m The poems of Queen Margaret, the well known sister of Francis the first, are chiefly of a serious cast, and some of them are very pious; but in

Bayle, who are equally chaste in their verses and in their conduct; others who are neither chaste in their conduct nor in their verses: some there are unchaste in their verses, and yet chaste in their conduct; and whose fire is entirely confined to the head. All their wanton liberties are sports of fancy; their Candidas and their Lesbias mistresses of fiction.ⁿ Dr Stuart's suggestion ought therefore to have been delivered in more cautious terms; and Mr Warton has likewise mentioned Buchanan's amatory verses in a manner which betrays some degree of precipitancy.^o These ob-

her novels, composed in imitation of Boccaccio, she indulges herself in the utmost freedom of description. Many of these novels are apparently founded on real incidents. To omit other instances, she has given a circumstantial account of the assassination of Alessandro de' Medici. See "L'Hep-tameron des Nouvelles de tresillustre et tresexcellent princesse, Marguerite de Valois, Royne de Navarre," f. 44, b, edit. de Paris, 1560, 4to. The collection of her poems bear the title of "Marguerites de la Marguerite des Princesses, tresillustre Royne de Navarre." Lyons, 1547, 8vo. To this accomplished princess, who was equally conspicuous for her beauty and for her virtue, Buchanan has addressed one of his epigrams. (Lib. i, 11.)

ⁿ Bayle, Eclaircissement sur les Obscénitez, § iv.

^o "Milton here, at an early period of life, renounces the levities of love and gallantry. This was not the case with Buchanan, who unbecomingly prolonged his *amorous descant* to graver years, and who is therefore obliquely censured by Milton in the following passage of *Lycidas*, hitherto not exactly understood, v. 67.

Were it not better done, as others use,
To sport with *Amaryllis* in the shade,
Or with the tangles of *Neæra's* hair?

The *Amaryllis* to whom Milton alludes, is the *Amaryllis* of Buchanan the subject of a poem called *Desiderium Lutetiæ*, a fond address of considerable length from an importunate lover....It is allowed that the common poetical name, *Amaryllis*, might have been naturally and accidentally adopted by both poets; nor does it at first sight appear, that Milton used it with any restrictive or implicit meaning. But Buchanan had another mistress whom

servations, which are merely historical, do not insinuate the expediency of following a bad example, however prevalent. Buchanan has repeatedly expressed his compunction for having been guilty of such levity; ^p nor is it incumbent on his biographer to commend the youthful sallies which he himself condemned in his graver years. By some authors, and particularly by Mr Benson,^q his delinquency has however been described in terms of unnecessary exaggeration. If compared with most of the contemporary poets, Buchanan will be regarded as a chaste and scrupulous writer.

While he presided over St Leonard's College, he appears to have enjoyed the esteem and confidence of the university. The public register

he calls *Neæra*, whose golden hair makes a very splendid figure in his verses, and which he has complimented more than once in the most hyperbolical style." (Warton's *Notes on Milton*, p. 474, 2d edit.) That Buchanan prolonged his amorous descant to graver years than Milton, cannot be denied; but the opinion which he entertained of his love verses during a more advanced period of life, ought not to be overlooked. These notions Mr Warton seems to have adopted too rashly. The *Amaryllis* of Buchanan is not his mistress, but the city of Paris; and *Neæra* was the mistress of Tibullus, Marullus, Secundus, Bonefonius, and five hundred poets beside. The allusion of Milton, with due deference to his commentator, is more simple and obvious. *Amaryllis* and *Neæra* are names very generally adopted by pastoral and elegiac poets: the question which Milton asks therefore is, whether it were not better to apply himself to the composition of amatory pastorals or of love elegies.

^p "Argumenta enim fere levia sunt, et quorum hanc ætatem nescio pigeat magis an pudeat." (Buchanani *Epistolæ*, p. 5.) "Elegias, Silvas, ac pleraque Epigrammata amicis poscentibus dedi, quorum nomina hic subjicere non est necesse. Hæc omnia, si per amicos licuisset, sempiternæ oblivioni consecrassem." (*Ibid.* p. 25.)

^q Benson's Comparison betwixt Johnston and Buchanan, p. 42.

bestows upon him the honourable title for which he was indebted to Stephanus. In 1566 and the two ensuing years, he was one of the four electors of the rector; and was nominated a prorector by each of the three officers who were successively chosen. For several years, he was likewise dean of the faculty of arts.^r

Of the general assembly of the national church, convened at Edinburgh on the twenty-fifth of December 1563, Buchanan had sat as a member; and had even been appointed one of the commissioners for revising the book of discipline. In that commission he had been associated with the Earl Marischal, Lord Ruthven, the secretary of state, the commendator of Kilwinning, the bishop of Orkney, the clerk register, the justice clerk, and Henry Balnaves. He sat in the June assemblies of 1564 and the three following years, and likewise in that of December 1567. In 1564 Buchanan, Knox, John Rutherford, the superintendents of Lothian, Fife, Angus, and the West, together with various other members, were named as a committee “to confer about the causes appertaining to the jurisdiction of the kirk, and to report their judgment to the next convention.” During the same assembly, Buchanan belonged to a committee of less importance, appointed to consider the expediency of removing Andrew Simson from the church of Dunning to the school

^r Sibbaldi Comment. in Vitam Buchanani, p. 16, 65.

of Dunbar. In 1565, the bishop of Orkney, John Craig, Christopher Goodman, John Row, George Buchanan, and Robert Pont, "were ordained to convene and sit from six till eight in the morning, to decide questions propounded or to be propounded, and to report their decision to the assembly." Their report, which was inserted in the records, contains some curious matter. In 1566, we find Buchanan joined in a similar commission with John Douglas, rector of the university of St. Andrews, George Hay, Robert Pont, and Robert Hamilton. He had the honour of being chosen moderator of the assembly which met at Edinburgh on the twenty-fifth of June 1567.^s

The nation was now in a state of anarchy; and Buchanan was soon to assume the character of a politician. The late conduct of Queen Mary, whom he once regarded in so favourable a light, had offered such flagrant insults to virtue and decorum, that his attachment was at length converted into the strongest antipathy. The ingratitude and misconduct of her husband having speedily alienated her affections, she transferred them to the earl of Bothwell, a nobleman of the most profligate character. The king and queen separated from each other with every demonstration of mutual aversion and disgust; and Henry retired to Glasgow, in order to escape from the neglect and indignities to which he was daily ex-

^s Wodrow's MS. Life of Buchanan.

posed. Before his arrival he was seized with an alarming distemper, which has been ascribed to different causes. The treatment which he had uniformly experienced for several months, was not such as could lead him to expect a visit from his consort. Having exhibited no appearance of sympathy or concern while his life was in the utmost danger, she set out for Glasgow after he had begun to recover from his illness. Here she bestowed upon him every mark of tenderness and affection; nor did this weak prince perceive that such a change was too sudden and too violent to be regarded as sincere. He had formerly adopted the resolution of quitting the kingdom; but he now suffered himself to be conducted to Edinburgh, where he was lodged in a solitary house situated in an open field. This retired and airy situation was sufficiently adapted to the languishing state of his health; but the event which ensued seemed too clearly to evince that it had been selected with a different view. Mary continued to treat him with every mark of confidence and attachment. She attended him with much assiduity; and she slept two nights in the chamber under his apartment. But on the ninth of February 1567, she took leave of him about eleven o'clock at night, under the pretext of attending a masque to be given at the palace, on account of the marriage of one of her servants. During their interview she had redoubled her caresses, and had presented him with a ring in token

of her affection. About two in the morning, the house in which the king lay was blown up with gunpowder; and his dead body was found in an adjacent garden. The queen was immediately suspected of being accessory to this crime; and the nation indignantly pointed to the earl of Bothwell as the actual murderer; but she protected him from the vengeance of the law, and distinguished him by many unequivocal marks of her favour. On the nineteenth of March, she appointed him governor of Edinburgh castle, which was then a fortress of great importance. A public trial could not with any appearance of decency be avoided; but it was conducted with the utmost precipitation, and with a palpable disregard for the ordinary forms of justice. It was one remarkable feature of this trial, that the indictment was laid against Bothwell for murdering the king on the ninth of February, though it was well known that the crime was committed on the tenth. This error, which can scarcely be considered as accidental, would have enabled him to escape the sentence of the law, even if the court could have ventured to enter into the investigation. Bothwell had attended that meeting of the privy council which regulated the time and manner of his own trial; and two days after an acquittal which rather strengthened than removed the imputation of guilt, the queen appointed him to carry the sceptre before

her at the opening of parliament. On the twenty-fourth of April, he seized the person of his sovereign, and conducted her as a prisoner to the castle of Dunbar. This act of apparent violence, which at first seemed to be so unnecessary, had evidently been devised with the entire approbation of the queen, who exhibited no symptoms of reluctance or constraint. The ultimate object of such an expedient did not long remain doubtful. In the course of a few days, she granted him a pardon for this treasonable act, and for all other crimes with which he could be charged. By this general clause, inserted in the common form, she pardoned the murder of her own husband, and yet avoided the scandal of mentioning it in direct terms. Soon afterwards she created him duke of Orkney. Having hastily procured a divorce from his wife, his infamous nuptials with the queen were solemnized on the fifteenth of May, about three months after he had assassinated her former husband. A series of actions so unprecedented and so atrocious could not fail of producing the utmost indignation and alarm. But the schemes of Bothwell were not yet completed: his extreme solicitude to secure the person of the young prince, excited new apprehensions; and a powerful confederacy was at length formed for the purpose of defeating the design which he had evidently conceived. Both parties had recourse to arms, and Mary followed her new husband to the field. But when the hostile armies

encountered each other, he found his followers so little disposed to engage, that he abandoned the queen in the midst of her parley with the confederates, and quitted the field with precipitation. She found herself in the power of her indignant subjects, and was exposed to treatment more suited to her degraded character than to her hereditary dignity. As her undiminished passion for this unworthy favourite would not permit her to yield to their proposal of dissolving so indecent a marriage, they readily perceived the consequences of suffering her to retain the sovereign power. They formed the resolution of securing her person; and she was committed to strict custody in the castle of Lochlevin. Her policy induced her to accede to the proposition of resigning her crown, and to invest her natural brother the earl of Murray with the regency. On the second of May 1568, she escaped from her prison, and soon afterwards found herself at the head of a numerous army. The defeat at Langside terminated her prospects of being speedily reinstated in her authority. She now retired into England, where she hoped to find an asylum; but she soon discovered that she had reposed her confidence in a cruel rival. Having incautiously offered to submit her cause to the cognisance of the English queen, she thus furnished a pretext for degrading her to the level of an English subject; and in the mean time she was detained in a state of captivity. Elizabeth required the regent to

empower delegates to appear before her commissioners ; but as his principal adherents declined so hazardous an office, he was reduced to the necessity of attending in person. His associates in this commission were the earl of Morton, Bothwell bishop of Orkney, Lord Lindsay, and Pitcairne commendator of Dunfermline. He was also accompanied by Buchanan, Maitland, Balnaves, Macgill, and some other individuals of inferior attainments. Balnaves and Macgill bore the character of able civilians ; and the abilities of Maitland were of the first order. The delegates nominated by the unfortunate queen were Dr Lesley, bishop of Ross, a prelate distinguished by his talents and learning, Lord Livingston, Lord Boyd, Lord Herries, Sir John Gordon of Lochinvar, Sir James Cockburn of Skirling, and Gavin Hamilton, commendator of Kilwinning. On the fourth of October 1568, the conference was opened at York before the commissioners of Elizabeth, but in the course of the ensuing month it was transferred to Westminster. This singular transaction was managed with great address on both sides. Nor was Buchanan the least powerful of Murray's coadjutors : he composed in Latin a detection of Queen Mary's actions, which was produced to the commissioners at Westminster,^t and was afterwards circulated with great industry by the English court. His engaging in

^t Laing's Hist. of Scotland, vol. i, p. 161, 241, 2d edit.

a task of this kind, as well as his mode of executing it, has frequently been urged as a proof of his moral depravity; and, to augment his delinquency, the benefits conferred upon him by the queen have been multiplied with much ingenuity.^u It is certain that she granted him the temporalities of Crossragwell abbey; and beyond this single point the evidence cannot be extended. Nor was this reward bestowed on a man who had performed no correspondent services. He had officiated as her classical tutor, and had composed various poems for the entertainment of the

^u "On the head," says Dr Stuart, "of his ingratitude to Mary, the evidences, I fear, admit not of any doubt or palliation. Mary invited him from France to Scotland with a view that he should take the charge of the education of her son; and till James should be of a proper age to receive instruction, she appointed him to be chief master of St. Leonard's College in the university of St. Andrews." (*Hist. of Scotland*, vol. ii, p. 246.) These assertions are well combined, and are only liable to one material objection. That Mary invited him from France, nominated him preceptor to her son, and appointed him principal of St Leonard's College, are bold surmises totally unsupported by evidence. In the common editions of Buchanan's life, he is said to have been appointed the preceptor of King James "anno millesimo quingentesimo sexagesimo quinto." These words however are most evidently an interpolation; and in some of the earlier editions, for example those printed at Herborn in 1616 and 1624, they do not occur. James was not born till the nineteenth of June 1566; nor was Buchanan appointed his preceptor till after Mary had been expelled from the kingdom. Mr. Chalmers has employed what he deems a conclusive argument of his having nevertheless been indebted to the queen for his original nomination. "Buchanan says expressly in his history [p. 386.], 'Ut ex iis, quos mater, antequam se regno abdicarat, filio tutores nominaverat.'" (Chalmers, p. 329.) This writer evidently supposes the Latin word *tutor* to signify a preceptor. By referring a few pages back, he might have discovered that the *tutores*, or guardians, nominated by the queen were the duke of Chatelherault, and the earls of Murray, Lennox, Argyle, Athole, Morton, Glencairn, and Mar. (Buchanan. *Rerum Scotic. Hist.* p. 365.)

Scotish court ; but the dedication of his psalms might almost be considered as alone equivalent to any reward which she conferred. If Buchanan celebrated her in his poetical capacity, and before she ceased to be an object of praise, it certainly was not incumbent upon him to approve the atrocious actions which she afterwards performed. The duty which he owed to his country was a prior consideration ; and with that duty, his further adherence to the infatuated princess was utterly incompatible.

Another accusation of a still more serious nature has been brought against Buchanan by the defenders of Queen Mary's innocence. They represent him as a principal agent in forging the letters and sonnets, which were produced before the commissioners in evidence of her criminal connection with Bothwell, and participation in the murder of her husband. On this subject so much has already been written, that it would occupy too large a space to enter into a full discussion of the controversy ; nor does it seem indispensibly requisite, after the very satisfactory investigations of our ablest historians.^x It is sufficiently evident that her most zealous adherents could not withstand the overwhelming proofs of her guilt ; and the defence which her advocates have been compelled to adopt, imputes to the

^x Hume's Hist. of England, vol. v, p. 497. Robertson's Dissertation on the Murder of King Henry. Laing's Dissertation on the Participation of Queen Mary in the Murder of Darnley.

earl of Murray and to Buchanan a degree of deep depravity, totally irreconcilable with the general tenor of their conduct. The simple and uncontroverted history of Mary's proceedings, from the period of her pretended reconciliation with Darnley to that of her marriage with Bothwell, exhibits such strong moral evidence of her criminality as it seems impossible for an unprejudiced mind to resist. "There are, indeed," as Mr Hume has remarked, "three events in our history, which may be regarded as touchstones of party-men. An English Whig, who asserts the reality of the Popish plot, an Irish Catholic, who denies the massacre of 1641, and a Scotch Jacobite, who maintains the innocence of Queen Mary, must be considered as men beyond the reach of argument or reason, and must be left to their prejudices."

The earl of Murray and his associates returned to Scotland in the beginning of the ensuing year. Buchanan's *Detection*,^y which was not

^y *De Maria Scotorum Regina, totaque ejus contra Regem conjuratione, fœdo cum Bothuelio adulterio, nefaria in maritum crudelitate et rabie, horrendo insuper et deterrimo ejusdem parricidio, plena, et tragica plane Historia.* 8vo.—This unclassical title, as Mr Laing suggests, must have been fabricated by the editor. A translation, with the following title, soon afterwards made its appearance. "Ane Detectioun of the Duinges of Marie Quene of Scottes, touchand the murder of hir husband, and hir conspiracie, adulterie, and pretended mariage with the Erle Bothwell: and ane Defence of the trew Lordis, mainteineris of the Kingis Graces *ctioun* and *aurhaoritie*. Translated out of the Latine quhilke was written by G. B." 8vo, black letter. These two publications are without date, place, or printer's name; but they are supposed to have issued from the press of John Day. The first of them appears to have been circulated at London before the first of No-

published till 1571, seems to have been intrusted to Dr Thomas Wilson; who is supposed, with great plausibility, to have added the “*Actio contra Mariam Scotorum Reginam*,” and the Latin translation of Mary’s first three letters to the earl of Bothwell.^z From a manuscript notice inserted in a copy which belonged to Mr Herbert, it appears that the *Actio* was by some ascribed to Sir Thomas Smith, but by the annotator himself to Wilson,^a of whom he may be supposed to have had some personal knowledge. Wilson was at that time master of the requests, and afterwards secretary of state. Some of the sentiments, and the general texture of the composition, are such as cannot easily be supposed to have proceeded from Buchanan; and, in the present enquiry, it is of more consequence to ascertain that it was not written by him, than who was its real author. “It resumes,” says Mr Laing, “the detail of the

vember 1571; the second before the close of the same month. (Laing, vol. i, p. 242, 243.) This translation was unskilfully executed by some Englishman, in imitation of the Scottish idiom and orthography. It was afterwards transformed into the genuine Scottish language, and reprinted at St Andrews. “*Ane Detectioun of the Doingis of Marie Quene of Scottis*,” &c. *Imprentit at Sanctandrois be Robert Lekpreuick*, 1572, black letter. The Scottish version occurs in Anderson’s *Collections*, vol. ii: but with respect to the history of the publication, this editor has committed more than one mistake. Other three editions of the English detection, but in a modernized style, appeared at London in 1651, 1689, and 1721. A French translation bears, in the title page, “*A Edimbourg par Thomas Waltem*, 1572.” It appears to have been printed by the Huguenots at Rochelle. (Robertson, vol. iii, p. 249. Laing, vol. i, p. 256, 259.)

^z Laing’s *Hist. of Scotland*, vol. i, p. 243.

^a Herbert’s *Typographical Antiquities*, vol. iii, p. 1629.

same facts contained in the Detection, with the tedious repetition natural to one author, when retracing the footsteps of another, whom he strives only to surpass in violence: superadding such local description, and vulgar reports as a keen enquirer, who had visited Scotland in person, might collect from Lesley, and other Scots, whom he examined on the subject. The Detection is a concise historical deduction of facts; a rapid narrative, written with that chaste and classical precision of thought and language, from which each sentence acquires an appropriate idea, distinct from the preceding, neither anticipated, repeated, nor intermixed with others; and the style is so strictly historical, that the work is incorporated in Buchanan's history almost without alteration. But the Action against Mary is a dull declamation, and a malignant invective, written in professed imitation of the ancient orators, whom Buchanan has never imitated; without arrangement of parts, coherence, or a regular train of ideas; and without a single passage which Buchanan in his history has deigned to transcribe. A man inured to extemporary eloquence, whose mind is accustomed only to popular arguments, and his tongue to prompt, and loose declamation, never writes with such lucid arrangement, with such accuracy of thought, or compression of style, as a professed author, who thinks no labour too great for what is bequeathed to posterity; and

the virulent Action against Mary no more resembles Buchanan's Detection, than the coarse and verbose ribaldry of Whitaker, or the elegant yet diffuse rhapsodies of Burke and Bolingbroke, to the correct and classical precision of Junius or Hume."^b

The regent, to whom Buchanan was so cordially attached, did not long survive those transactions. On the twenty-third of January 1570, he was shot in the street of Linlithgow by Hamilton of Bothwellhaugh, whom his clemency had formerly rescued from an ignominious death.^c The assassin had been confirmed in his enterprise by the approbation of his powerful kinsmen. The indignation of Buchanan was naturally roused against the house of Hamilton; and he had sufficient cause to suspect that their purposes were not yet completely effected. Under these impressions, he addressed an admonition to the faithful peers;^d in which he earnestly adjured

^b Laing's Hist. of Scotland, vol. i, p. 247.

^c Buchanan has written the earl's eulogium and epitaph in very affectionate terms. (*Rerum Scotic. Hist.* p. 385. *Epigram.* lib. ii, 29.)

^d Ane Admonitioun direct to the trew Lordis, Mantenaris of the Kingis Graces Authoritie. M. G. B. *Imprentit at Striviling be Robert Lekprevick*, 1571, 8vo.—Another edition was printed by Lekprevick in the course of the same year; and a third was "imprinted at London by Iohn Daye, accordyng to the Scottish copie," 1571, 8vo. This tract is inserted in *The Harleian Miscellany*, vol. iii, p. 395. It is a curious anecdote, for which I am indebted to Sir William Hamilton, Bart. that the *Admonitioun* was actually printed for Ruddiman's edition, and, from some prudential considerations on the part of the editor or publisher, was afterwards suppressed. A copy of the first volume of that edition which belonged to Ruddiman himself, and which is now in the possession of Charles Kirkpatrick Sharpe, Esq.

them to protect the young king, and the children of the late regent, from the perils which seemed to await them. It was apparently in the course of the same year, 1570, that he composed another Scottish tract, entitled *Chamæleon*.^e In this satirical production, he very successfully exposes the wavering politics of the famous secretary Maitland. The secretary, who was justly alarmed at the prospect of being publicly exhibited in such glaring colours, entertained a suspicion that the work was to issue from the press of Robert Lekprevick; and on the fourteenth of April 1571, his emissary Captain Melvin searched, for the third time, that printer's house in Edinburgh. This search took place about eleven o'clock on a Saturday night; but Lekprevick being warned of his danger, had previously disappeared with such papers as seemed to threaten disagreeable consequences.^f The *Chamæleon*, if it was actually delivered to the printer, seems to have been

has the *Admonitioun* inserted before the *Chamæleon*. It is reprinted from the first edition, collated with a transcript of the Cotton manuscript dated 1570; and the editor has subjoined an advertisement respecting the various readings. This tract occupies ten pages; and the *Chamæleon*, as it now appears in the edition, begins at the eleventh page. In the Advocates' Library there is likewise a copy which includes the *Admonitioun*. I have seen a copy containing another edition, printed on five pages with double columns. See Appendix, No. ii.

^e Of Buchanan's *Chamæleon*, the copy preserved among the Cotton MSS. bears the date of 1570. This tract was first printed in the *Miscellanea Scotica*. Lond. 1710, 8vo. It occurs in both editions of the author's works. A separate edition was printed in 8vo in the year 1741.

^f Bannatyne's Journal, p. 130. Edinb. 1806, 8vo.

suppressed by Maitland's vigilance; for it remained in manuscript till the beginning of last century. The style of these two productions is at least equal in vigour and elegance to that of most compositions in the ancient Scottish language; though it is sufficiently obvious that the happy genius of the author cannot there appear in its genuine splendour. "When we read," says an accomplished and able writer, "the compositions of Buchanan in his native tongue, how completely are his genius and taste obscured by those homely manners which the coarseness of his dialect recalls; and how difficult is it to believe that they express the ideas and sentiments of the same writer, whose Latin productions vie with the best models of antiquity!"^g

Soon after the assassination of his illustrious friend, Buchanan was removed to a situation of no inconsiderable importance; he was appointed one of the preceptors of the young king. For this preferment he was apparently indebted to the privy council, and others of the nobility and gentry, who assembled in consequence of that disastrous event, for the purpose of regulating the affairs of the nation.^h Having appeared be-

^g Stewart's Life of Robertson, p. 43. Edinb. 1801, 8vo.

^h The act of privy council, which Mr Ruddiman has inserted in his notes on Buchanan's life, commences thus: "The Lords of Secret Council and others of the Nobility and Estates, being convened for taking order in the affairs of this common-wealth, among other matters being carefull of the King's Majestie's preservation and good education, and considering how necessary the attendance of Mr George Buchanan, Master of St Leonard's

fore the council, he resigned his office of principal in favour of his friend Patrick Adamson, probably the famous poet who was afterwards archbishop of St Andrews. The privy council now admitted Adamson to the principality; but it does not appear from the university records that he ever exercised his new functions.

The prince had been committed during his infancy to the charge of the earl of Mar, a nobleman of the most unblemished integrity. In 1570, when Buchanan entered upon his office, he was only four years of age. The chief superintendence of his education was intrusted to the earl's brother Alexander Erskine, "a gallant well-natured gentleman, loved and honoured by all men." The preceptors associated with Buchanan were Peter Young and the two abbots of Cambuskenneth and Dryburgh, both related to the noble family of Mar. Young was respectable for his capacity and learning. His disposition was naturally mild; and his attention to his future interest rendered him cautious of offending a pupil, who was soon to be the dispenser of public favours. He was afterwards employed in se-

Colledge within the university of St Andrews, upon his Highness shall be, and that it behoves the said Mr George to withdraw himself from his charge of the said colledge," &c. This record afterwards mentions the priory of St Andrews as being without a commendator. The arrangement must therefore have taken place soon after the regent's death. "As to its date," says Mr Ruddiman, "I found none at the act itself; only at the top of the page is marked 1569." (*Animadversions*, p. 67.) According to the computation of that period, the year ended on the twenty-fourth of March.

veral political transactions of importance, obtained the honour of knighthood, and received an annual pension of considerable amount.ⁱ The lofty and independent spirit of Buchanan was not to be controlled by the mere suggestions of cold caution: the honourable task which the voice of his country had assigned to his old age, he discharged with simple integrity, and was little solicitous what impression the strictness of his discipline might leave on the mind of his royal pupil.^k James, who was of a timid nature, long remembered the commanding aspect which his illustrious preceptor had assumed. He was ac-

ⁱ An account of the life of Sir Peter, and likewise of his learned son Patrick Young, may be found in Dr Thomas Smith's *Vitæ quorundam Eru- ditissimorum et Illustrum Virorum*. Lond. 1707, 4to.

^k "Now the young king," says Sir James Melvil, "was brought up in Sterling by Alexander Areskine and my Lady Mar. He had four principal masters, Mr George Buchuanan, Mr Peter Young, the abbots of Cambuskenneth and Drybrugh, descended from the house of Areskine. The laird of Drumwhasel was master of his household. Alexander Areskine was a gallant well natur'd gentleman, loved and honoured by all men, for his good qualities and great discretion, no ways factious nor envious, a lover of all honest men, and desired ever to see men of good conversation about the prince, rather than his own nearer friends, if he found them not so meet. The laird of Drumwhasel again was ambitious and greedy, his greatest care was to advance himself and his friends. The two abbots were wise and modest. My Lady Mar was wise and sharp, and held the king in great awe; and so did Mr George Buchuanan. Mr Peter Young was more gentle, and was loath to offend the king at any time, carrying himself warily, as a man who had a mind to his own weal, by keeping of his majesty's favour: but Mr George was a Stoick philosopher, who looked not far before him. A man of notable endowments for his learning and knowlege of Latin poesie, much honoured in other countries, pleasant in conversation, rehearsing at all occasions moralities short and instructive, whereof he had abundance, inventing where he wanted." (Melvil's *Memoires*, p. 125. Lond. 1683, fol.)

customed to say of some person high in office about him, "that he ever trembled at his approach, it minded him so of his pedagogue."¹ Of the uncourtly discipline to which he was subjected, two instances have been recorded. The king having coveted a tame sparrow which belonged to his play-fellow the ^mmaster of Mar, solicited him without effect to transfer his right; and in attempting to wrest it out of his hand, he deprived the poor little animal of life. Erskine loudly lamented its fate, and the circumstances were reported to Buchanan; who lent his young sovereign a box on the ear, and admonished him that he was himself a true bird of the bloody nest to which he belonged. A theme which had one day been prescribed to the royal pupil, was the conspiracy of the earl of Angus and other noblemen during the reign of James the third. After dinner, he was diverting himself with the master of Mar: and as Buchanan, who in the mean time was intent on reading, found himself annoyed by their obstreperous mirth, he requested the king to desist; but as no attention was paid to the suggestion, he threatened to accompany his next injunction with something more forcible than words. James, whose ear had been tickled by

¹ Osborne's *Advice to a Son*, p. 19.—"The severity of the excellent Buchanan" is likewise mentioned by John Hall, *Grounds and Reasons of Monarchy*, p. 30; reprinted in Toland's edition of Harrington's Works. Lond. 1700, fol.

^m See Wallace on Ancient Peerages, p. 424.

the quaint application of the apologue mentioned in his theme, replied that he should be glad to see who would *bell the cat*. His venerable preceptor, who might have pardoned the remark, was perhaps offended with the mode in which it was uttered: he threw aside his book with indignation, and bestowed upon the delinquent that species of discipline which is deemed most ignominious. The countess of Mar, being attracted by the wailing which ensued, hastened to the scene of his disgrace; and taking the precious deposit in her arms, she demanded of Buchanan how he presumed to lay his hand upon "the Lord's anointed?" To this interrogation he is said to have returned an answer, that contained a very unceremonious antithesis relative to the part which had received the chastisement.ⁿ

A brief sketch of the method prescribed to the royal pupil at some particular period of his studies, was preserved among the papers of Sir Peter Young. After morning prayers his attention was devoted to the Greek authors; and he read a portion of the New Testament, Isocrates, or the *Apophthegmata* of Plutarch, and was exercised in the grammar rules. After breakfast he read Cicero, Livy, Justin, or modern history. In the afternoon he applied himself to composition, and when his leisure would permit, to arithmetic or cosmography, which included geogra-

ⁿ Mackenzie's *Lives of Scots Writers*, vol. iii, p. 180.—"Madam, I have whipt his....; you may kiss it if you please."

phy and the doctrine of the sphere, or to logic or rhetoric.^o

The young monarch's proficiency in letters was such as reflected no discredit on his early instructors. He undoubtedly acquired a very considerable portion of scholastic knowledge, and attained to the command of a fluent and not inelegant style. By some of the most illustrious of his contemporaries, he has even been extolled as a prodigy of erudition: but the commendations bestowed upon a living potentate are generally to be received with the utmost caution. His literary attainments are however commemorated in terms of respect by several eminent writers, who cannot be suspected of the same partiality of judgment. The very learned Dr Parr admits that he was possessed of no contemptible share of erudition;^p and an admirable historian mentions his *Βασιλικὸν Δῶρον* with appropriate praise. "Notwithstanding," says Dr Robertson, "the great alterations and refinements in national taste since that time, we must allow this to be no contemptible performance, and not to be inferior to the works of most contemporary writers, either in purity of style or justness of composition."^q His works are numerous, and of various denominations.

^o Smithi Vita Petri Junii, p. 6.

^p Parr, præf. in Bellendenum, p. lxiii.

^q Robertson's Hist. of Scotland, vol. iii, p. 137.

On some occasions, when his own reputation was concerned, he seems to have been sufficiently disposed to connect it with that of Buchanan. At the disputation which was held by the Edinburgh professors before his majesty in the royal chapel of Stirling, one of the English doctors expressed his admiration at the fluency and elegance of his Latinity. "All the world," replied the king, "knows that my master, George Buchanan, was a great master in that faculty. I follow his pronunciation both of the Latin and Greek, and am sorry that my people of England do not the like: for certainly their pronunciation utterly spoils the grace of these two learned languages. But you see all the university and learned men of Scotland, express the true and native pronunciation of both."^r That the Scottish is the true and native pronunciation must not be considered as quite so certain: but we may at least aver with confidence, that it bears a much closer resemblance to the standard of those countries of modern Europe, where the ancient pronunciation may reasonably be supposed to be preserved with the greatest degree of accuracy. The English, when they pronounce Greek or Latin in their own peculiar manner, are only intelligible to each other;^s whereas the Scotch

^r Crawford's Hist. of the University of Edinburgh, p. 86. Edinb. 1808, 8vo.

^s "Anglorum vero etiam doctissimi," says Joseph Scaliger, "tam prave Latina efferunt, ut in hac urbe, quum quidam ex ea gente per quadrantem horæ integrum apud me verba fecisset, neque ego magis eum intelligerem

are readily understood by the scholars of any nation on the continent.

That he should regard the memory of his preceptor with any unusual degree of affection, could not reasonably be expected. The character of his mother Buchanan had discussed in a very unceremonious style; and, in return, James has repeatedly mentioned the name of Buchanan with very little reverence.^t The royal author condemns his history of Scotland as an infamous invective; and admonishes his heir apparent to punish such of his future subjects as should be guilty of retaining it in their custody. James is to be considered as one of Buchanan's most formidable enemies. The only son of an ill-fated princess was naturally solicitous to wash away the

quam si Turcice loquutus fuisset, hominem rogaverim, ut excusatum me haberet, quod Anglice non bene intelligerem." (*Epistolæ*, p. 700.)—With respect to the pronunciation of the Greek language, there is a very recent discussion in Reuven's *Collectanea Litteraria*, p. 151. Lugd. Bat. 1815, 8vo.

^t K. James's Workes, p. 176, 480. Lond. 1616, fol.—Buchanan seems to have been a favourite author of a much greater monarch. The subsequent anecdote of Gustavus Adolphus it would be improper to overlook. "Some days afterwards he invested Elbingen, where the defendants were almost equal in number to those that assailed them. And here the king gave a fresh proof, both of his good nature and contempt of danger; for whilst the commander and burgomaster were signing a capitulation in the royal tent, he walked up to the town-gates, and desired to be admitted within the walls upon courteous terms. He then asked pardon of the inhabitants for not making his appearance in a better suit of apparel, and conveying himself from the crowd, in the midst of their admiration, stepped unnoticed into a bookseller's shop, and desired the honest man to supply him with an edition of Buchanan's poems." (*Harte's Hist. of the Life of Gustavus Adolphus*, vol. i, p. 81. Lond. 1759, 2 vols. 4to.)

foul spots of her reputation; and, with this view, he exerted all the powerful influence attached to his sceptre. Men of letters who courted his favour, were too easily induced to consider his mother's fame as immaculate; and as her reputation was incompatible with that of her principal accuser, the next step was to convict Buchanan in the most summary manner. "I cannot fail to represent to your majesty," said the most illustrious of his courtiers, "the unworthiness of the history of England in the main continuance thereof, and the partiality and obliquity of that of Scotland, in the latest and largest author that I have seen."^u The monarch's strong antipathy cannot indeed excite much surprize; but it would perhaps have been more magnanimous to suppress his indignation against a preceptor, who had discharged his duty with the most conscientious solicitude. Of the future glory of his pupil, and the attendant felicity of his country, Buchanan seems to have cherished many a fond and anxious hope; but all his labours proved abortive, and his expectations deceitful. The understanding of James, which had presented no unfavourable dawn, was naturally opaque; and the influence of courtly adulation speedily counteracted the effect of those maxims of virtue and polity, with which it was the perpetual solicitude of Buchanan to fortify his tender mind.

^u Bacon of the Advancement of Learning, p. 114.

From the mature wisdom of his instructor, he might have imbibed the durable principles of a legitimate sovereignty; he might have learned to secure his own glory, to provide for the future peace of his race, and to consider the happiness of his people as the most splendid object of regal ambition. But his notions of prerogative, after having been fostered by a more genial atmosphere, became at length so extravagant as to approach the borders of phrensy. In his native country, he was frequently treated with much contempt; but England had long been habituated to the tyranny of the house of Tudor. His son inherited his political errors as well as his crown. Though the royal family scorned improvement, the rest of the nation had begun to sicken at perpetual encroachment and submission; and the ensuing struggle, which was followed by remote consequences of a salutary nature, involved the death of a monarch whose faults, though of great magnitude, were faults of education. If the pupil of Buchanan had been worthy of such a preceptor, the royal house of Stewart might still have swayed the sceptre of their ancestors.

One of the earliest propensities which he discovered, was an excessive attachment to favourites; and this weakness, which ought to have been abandoned with the other characteristics of childhood, continued to retain its ascendancy during every stage of his life. His facility in

complying with every request alarmed the sagacity of Buchanan. On the authority of the poet's nephew, Chytræus has recorded a ludicrous expedient which he adopted for the purpose of correcting his pupil's conduct. He presented the young king with two papers, which he requested him to sign; and James, after having slightly interrogated him respecting their contents, readily affixed his signature to each, without the precaution of even a cursory perusal. One of them was a formal transfer of the regal authority for the space of fifteen days. Having quitted the royal presence, one of the courtiers accosted him with his usual salutation; but he announced himself in the new character of a sovereign, and with that humour for which he was distinguished, began to assume the demeanour of royalty. He afterwards preserved the same deportment towards the king himself; and when James expressed his amazement at such extraordinary conduct, Buchanan reminded him of his having resigned the crown. This reply did not tend to lessen the monarch's surprize; and he began to suspect his preceptor of derangement. Buchanan then produced the instrument by which he was formally invested; and, with the authority of a tutor, proceeded to remind him of the absurdity of assenting to petitions in so rash a manner.

An incident which occurred ten years after the death of Buchanan, will at once serve to illus-

trate the sentiments of his pupil and the manners of that age. It is recorded by the industrious and zealous Calderwood. A deputation from the general assembly having waited on the king in May 1592, he expressed his indignation against the clergy, for speaking with so much freedom from the pulpit against him and his nobility, and defending the conduct of the earl of Murray, Buchanan, and Knox; who, said his majesty, could only be defended by traitors and seditious *theologues*. They seem at first to have replied with some degree of caution; but when the audience was renewed in the afternoon, Andrew Melvin was so vehement in his defence of those distinguished characters, that the chancellor reminded him this was not the "errand he came for." Melvin undauntedly replied that he would not be silenced by him, or any other subject. The king renewed his censure of the good regent and his two adherents, and particularly objected to Buchanan's book *De Jure Regni*. Those men, said Melvin, placed the crown upon your majesty's head. No, replied James; the crown came to me by succession, and not through the favour of any man. Melvin rejoined, they were however the instruments; and whoever has prejudiced your mind against them, is neither true to your majesty nor to the commonwealth. The king afterwards remarked that Knox had called his mother a whore, and had approved of the "slaughter of David" in her pre-

sence. If a king or a queen, said Patrick Galloway, be a murderer, why should they not be called so?

About the period when Buchanan was appointed preceptor to the king, he seems to have entertained some apprehensions for his personal safety, as well as his pension from the temporalities of Crossragwell abbey. The abbacy had been granted to Allan Stewart *in commendam*; but a most daring and barbarous attempt was made to deprive him of its principal emoluments. In defiance of all law and justice, the earl of Cassillis, son to Buchanan's former pupil, continued to levy the abbey rents for the space of three years; and in order to obtain a more permanent possession, he had recourse to an expedient of singular atrocity. Having enticed the abbot into the castle of Dunure, he treacherously detained him as a prisoner, and insisted on his signing a *feu*, or perpetual lease, of all the abbey lands, together with two leases of nineteen and five years of the fruits, tithes, and duties of the whole churches and parsonages belonging to the abbey. On his refusing to accede to this unreasonable proposition, the earl commanded some of his dependents to carry him to a place called the black vault of Dunure; where they stripped him to the shirt and doublet, and having bound him before a fire by the feet and wrists, kept him in that excruciating situation till his strength was nearly exhausted. In order

to be relieved from his tortures, the unfortunate abbot consented to sign the deeds ; and Lord Cassillis made his emissaries swear on a bible that they would never reveal this transaction. “ *Benedicite Jesu Maria,*” said the earl, “ you are the most obstinate man that ever I saw : if I had known that you had been so stubborn, I would not for a thousand crowns have handled you so.” But after an interval of six days, he required his prisoner to confirm the writings before a notary and witnesses ; and on his refusal, he was subjected to the same inhuman treatment. On hearing his cries, this feudal lord commanded one of his servants to thrust a handkerchief into his mouth ; nor did they desist from their cruelties till they had nearly burnt the flesh from his bones. Stewart afterwards obtained a mandate, charging Lord Cassillis upon pain of treason to release him from his confinement ; but the earl disregarded this denunciation, and was accordingly declared a traitor. When the abbot was at length restored to his liberty by force of arms, he presented a petition to the regent in council, praying for the redress of his wrongs, and the protection of his person and property. At Stirling on the twenty-seventh of April 1571, the regent, with the advice of his council, commanded the earl of Cassillis to find security for two thousand pounds, that he would neither injure Allan Stewart in his person, nor interfere with his living of Crossragwell.

He also ordained “the said earl to find the like caution and security, and under the same pain, to Mr George Buchanan, pensioner of Crossragwell, being personally present, who craved the same as well for his own person as his pension.” It appears that the earl was then in custody for different offences, and that he was committed to the castle of Dunbarton.^x

Before this period, Buchanan had obtained considerable preferment. His first civil appointment, which he seems to have retained but a short time, was that of director of the chancery.^y The keeper of the privy seal, John afterwards Lord Maitland,^z having been deprived of his office on account of his adherence to the unfortunate queen, it was very laudably conferred on Buchanan, in the year 1570.^a The earl

^x Bannatyne’s Journal, p. 55, 142.—Lord Cassillis having submitted to the regent’s authority, was relieved from the penalties of the law by an act of the parliament which met at Stirling on the 28th of August 1571. (*Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland*, vol. iii, p. 63.)

^y Scot’s Staggering State of the Scots Statesmen, p. 109.—As Sir John Scot soon afterwards held the same office, it is not to be supposed that he could easily be mistaken in an assertion of this kind. Mr Chalmers has however convinced himself that Buchanan never was director of the chancery, because his admission to the office cannot be traced in the records. This writer must have forgotten that “the most diligent search could not find the appointment of Buchanan to” another high situation, which he most unquestionably enjoyed.

^z Lord Maitland of Thirlstane, chancellor of Scotland, was the son of Sir Richard Maitland, and the brother of Buchanan’s friend Thomas Maitland; all of whom are still remembered as poets.

^a Crawford’s Peerage of Scotland, p. 252. Edinb. 1716, fol. Douglas’s Peerage of Scotland, p. 394. Edinb. 1764, fol.—The confirmation of the master of Glencairn’s infeftment in the lands of Boghall and Myln-

of Lennox was at that time regent. His situation as lord privy seal was undoubtedly honourable, and probably lucrative. It entitled him to a seat in parliament.^b This office he retained for the space of several years. On the thirtieth of April 1578, soon after the earl of Morton's temporary retirement from power, his nephew Thomas, the son of Alexander Buchanan of Ibbert, was appointed keeper of the privy seal. But the uncle certainly continued, at least for some time, to act in that capacity. In the month of June, he voted in parliament for the abbot of Dunfermline's being sent as ambassador to the English court; and in July, he is described as voting there, in the character of lord privy seal, for the earl of Morton's being excluded from the king's council.^c He seems to be represented in the same character at a much later period. In November 1579, he is enumerated among the ordinary officers of state, entitled to a seat in the council.^d It is neither very easy nor very important to determine whether we are to consider Thomas Buchanan as his deputy and

town was attested, among other witnesses, by "Magistro Georgio Buchanane, pensionario de Corsraguell, nostri secreti sigilli costode," at Glasgow on the 16th of March 1570-1. (*Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland*, vol. iii, p. 108.)

^b Wight on Elections, p. 66.

^c Chalmers's Life of Ruddiman, p. 338, 339, 340.

^d The act of parliament does not indeed describe him as keeper of the seal, but as "pensionar of Corsraguell, preceptor to the kingis Maiestie." This character could not however entitle him to be classed with "his hienes ordiner officiaris of the estate." (Vol. iii, p. 150.)

successor, or to impute this appearance of irregularity to the general irregularity of the times.

With the three former agents he was cordially connected; but the conduct of Morton had deservedly excited his indignation. It was by the seasonable counsel of Buchanan and Alexander Erskine, that the king had been induced to depose him from his office.^e On the twenty-third of March 1578, Buchanan was associated, with other officers of state, in the privy council appointed for directing the young monarch in the management of affairs.^f But the earl's intrigues speedily enabled him to resume his authority. The situation of Scotland during that unhappy period is sufficiently known. It was the policy of Elizabeth to exert over this country a very unwarrantable influence; and the anticipation of a speedy union might perhaps have considerable tendency to reconcile many upright men to her views. A list of twenty-four persons in Scotland whom she proposed to attach by pensions is still preserved.^g One hundred pounds was the gratuity intended for Buchanan; and several noble earls are not there valued at a higher price. But it is far from being certain that this pension was ever conferred; nor is any material inference to be rashly deduced from the

^e Melvil's Memoires, p. 126.

^f Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland, vol. iii, p. 119.

^g Chalmers's Life of Ruddiman, p. 343.

insertion of his name in the scrolls of a political projector, residing in a different kingdom.

Buchanan was equally consulted as a politician and as a scholar. The general assembly which met in August 1574, appointed the Right Hon. George Buchanan, keeper of the privy seal, Peter Young, Andrew Melvin, and James Lawson, to peruse Adamson's history of Job in Latin verse; and if it should be found "by them agreeable to the truth of God's word, to authorize the same by the testimony of their hand and subscription."^h This anecdote serves to illustrate the state of the Scottish press at that period. The nature of their report is not mentioned; but Archbishop Adamson's paraphrase of Job was not printed till after the author's death.ⁱ—We have already seen that at an earlier period, the assembly appointed Buchanan one of the commissioners for revising the book of discipline. And in the year 1578, the parliament associated him in a commission for examining "a book of the policy of the kirk."^k

^h Wodrow's MS. Life of Buchanan.

ⁱ Adamsoni Poemata Sacra. Lond. 1619, 4to.—His paraphrase of the fourth chapter had formerly been printed as a specimen. It is subjoined to the "Confessio Fidei et Doctrinæ per Ecclesiam Reformatam Regni Scotiæ professæ." Andreapoli, excudebat R. Lekprevik, 1572, 8vo. The title-page of his earliest publication ascertains the fact that Adamson was not his original name: "De Papistarum Superstitiosis Ineptiis, Patricii Adamsonii, alias Constantini, Carmen." Impressum Edinburgi, per R. Lekprevik, 1564, 8vo. The running title is, *Ad Papistas Abirdonenses*. The preface is dated at St Andrews, "ex Pædagogio."

^k Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland, vol. iii, p. 105.—See Calderwood's Hist. of the Church of Scotland, p. 83.

The same parliament revived a plan which had been recommended and approved in the year 1567. It had then been proposed that a commission should be granted to competent persons to form a body of the municipal law, digested in imitation of that of the Romans; and that the different heads should be successively submitted to parliament, in order to receive a legislative sanction.¹ The preamble of the act of 1578 recites, that there are certain constitutions and laws devised and ordained to be made and authorized, which should be universally observed by the subjects of this realm, but which as yet are not thoroughly consulted and advised upon; so that the same cannot at this time be declared by our sovereign lord and the estates of parliament to be established as laws. And a numerous commission, including the earls of Morton and Buchan, Archbishop Adamson, Craig, Buchanan, Arbuthnot,^m and other persons of distinction, was appointed to examine and consider

¹ “Item, that ane comissioun be gevin to sufficient personis to mak ane body of the ciuile and municipale lawis, deuidit in heidis conforme to the fassone of the law Romane; and the heidis, as thai ar reddy, tobe brocht to the parliament tobe confirmit.” (*Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland*, vol. iii, p. 40.)

^m Alexander Arbuthnot, principal of King's College, Aberdeen, having been originally destined for the bar, had studied the civil law under Cujacius, and had taken the degree of licentiate. Spotswood commends him as a good lawyer. (*Hist. of the Church of Scotland*, p. 335.) He is the author of a work entitled *Orationes de Origine et Dignitate Juris*. Edinb. 1572, 4to. Adamson was educated for the same profession, and had practised as an advocate.

the said laws, and to reason and confer upon them; with that view, to convene at Stirling on a day appointed; and to report their proceedings to the king and parliament.ⁿ This plan of forming the municipal law into a regular digest was never carried into execution; and even in a country like Scotland, where the statutes had not accumulated to an enormous mass, it must have been attended with considerable difficulties. It is however worthy of remark, that those early legislators proceeded on rational principles. In this commission they associated noblemen, prelates, and burgesses, with professional lawyers and men of letters; nor do they seem to have been prepared to give their sanction to such a body of laws, without considering each of them in detail. The immense accumulation of the British statutes, which in modern times are so remarkable for their verbosity, will at length render some scheme of reduction expedient and even necessary. Such a scheme was a considerable time ago recommended by a learned lawyer; whose proposal only extended to repealing obsolete laws, and reducing into one consistent statute the different acts of parliament which relate to the same subject. A similar plan had been agitated in the reign of Elizabeth; and in that of her successor, Lord Bacon and other

* Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland, vol. iii, p. 105.

eminent lawyers were actually employed in digesting the penal statutes.^o

Buchanan was included in another commission of less importance. The inconveniences which were found to result from the use of a multiplicity of Latin grammars in the different schools of the kingdom, having been represented to the young monarch, a committee of learned men was appointed to deliberate respecting a competent remedy. Buchanan presided, and his coadjutors were Peter Young, Andrew Simson, and James Carmichael. They assembled in the royal palace of Stirling; and while they continued to exercise their commission, were suitably entertained at the charge of the king. Having found the grammars commonly in use to be extremely defective, it was resolved that three of their number should attempt to establish a more rational standard. Simson,^p who was schoolmaster and afterwards minister of Dunbar, undertook the rudiments; Carmichael, who was likewise a schoolmaster, what is termed etymology; and to Buchanan was assigned the department of prosody.^q The respective tracts of these grammarians were in due time committed to the press;^r but they did not long continue to be re-

^o Barrington's Observations on the Statutes, p. 500.

^p David Hume of Godscroft inscribes his elegies "Ad Andream Symonidem ludimagistrum Dumbarensem præceptorem suum."

^q Sibbaldi Comment. in Vitam Buchanani, p. 16.

^r Ruddimanni Bibliotheca Romana, p. 61. Edinb. 1757, 8vo.

ceived as the standard introduction to the Latin language. The expediency of the legislature interfering in a case of this kind, may very safely be called in question. From the promiscuous use of different elementary treatises, some inconveniences undoubtedly may arise: but if certain grammars, recommended by public authority, were to be intruded on all the schools of a kingdom, no future opportunity would be left for that gradual improvement, which may be expected in every department of human art. If King James's regulations, which were probably authorized by an order of council, had continued to be enforced with any degree of rigour, the grammatical works of Ruddiman might never have been undertaken. If the Scottish geometers had been compelled to adhere to a particular text-book, Euclid might never have been illustrated by the labours of Dr Simson and Mr Playfair.

The parliament held at Edinburgh on the fourth of June 1563, had granted a commission to the earl of Murray, the president of the college of justice, the secretary of state, the clerk register, the justice clerk, the queen's advocate, George Buchanan, John Winram, prior of Portmoak, and John Erskine of Dun, empowering them to enquire into the revenues of the different colleges in the university of St Andrews; to consider in what manner, and with what emoluments, "men of cunning and understand-

ing" might be established in these and other colleges; and to offer their opinion and advice with respect to the mode of instruction which might appear to them most advantageous.^s They were enjoined to prepare their report for the next session of parliament; but they do not appear to have executed their important trust. There is however a paper ascribed to Buchanan, which may be supposed to have been drawn up in consequence of this parliamentary commission.^t Besides some minute details respecting the revenues and commons of the colleges, it contains the outline of a plan for new-modelling the university of St Andrews; a plan which seems to have been well adapted to the state of Scotland at that early period of our literary history. The university then consisted of three colleges; one of which he allotted to the study of humanity, another to the study of philosophy, and the third to the study of divinity. His regulations for the college of humanity place it in a great measure on the footing of a grammar

^s Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland, vol. ii, p. 544.

^t This paper, which I have printed in the Appendix, No. iii, is entitled a "Copie of Mr George Buchannan's Opinion anent the Reformation of the Universitie of St Androis, written with his owne hand in anno 1579 ut intus." The date which the transcriber has thus assigned, is evidently erroneous; for as the author refers to the authority of the queen, he must have written before the 24th of July 1567, when Mary was compelled to resign her crown. The hand is much more recent than the age of Buchanan; and the transcriber has executed his task in a very incompetent manner. His copy abounds with manifest errors; some of which cannot easily be corrected.

school. One part of this plan for the subdivision of the faculties was afterwards carried into execution ; and New College is still appropriated to theological studies.

Those who consider the difficulty of effecting any material change in old institutions, will not be surprised that this first attempt should have failed of success. The plan was however revived in the year 1578. The parliament which met at Stirling on the twenty-fifth of July, authorized certain right honourable and reverend persons to inspect and consider the foundations and erections of the universities and colleges within this realm ; to reform such things as savoured of superstition, idolatry, and popery ; to displace unqualified and unfit persons from the discharge of their offices in the said universities ; and to establish such qualified and worthy persons therein as they should find good and sufficient for the education of youth.^u These commissioners having failed to convene at the time specified, the business was consequently delayed ; but it was afterwards expedited by a remonstrance from the general assembly. The delegates of the church particularized the university of St Andrews as a proper subject for the first experiment of reformation : and the privy council enjoined the heads of that university to repair to Edinburgh on a certain day, and to submit their charters to the

^u Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland, vol. iii, p. 98.

inspection of a commission which was now appointed. The commissioners who acted upon this occasion were the earl of Lennox, Robert commendator of Dunfermline, George Buchanan, James Haliburton, and Peter Young. Having found much to alter and redress, they subscribed a memorial, dated on the eighth of November 1579; and their scheme of reformation was ratified by the parliament held at Edinburgh on the eleventh of the same month.^x This memorial, which is recited in the act of parliament, has likewise been ascribed, though without any external evidence, to the pen of Buchanan. Whatever share he might have in the composition, it cannot reasonably be doubted that his opinion had the greatest weight with the other commissioners. The general plan is very skilfully delineated; and it evidently proceeds on the supposition that the nation then abounded with men of learning. The reformation, among many other advantages, had produced an ardour of study and investigation, unknown to former ages; and many learned men had now returned from the continent, to profess a purer religion, and to instruct their countrymen in ancient literature. By this plan, the students were not only to enter more fully into the study of the Greek language, but were even to be initiated into Hebrew, Chaldee, and Syriac. In each of

^x Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland, vol. iii, p. 178.

the two colleges appropriated to the study of humanity and philosophy, they were to read, in the Greek text, the most important parts of Aristotle's logic, physics, ethics, and politics, and were to declaim in Greek as well as in Latin. The principal of St Leonard's College was to teach the philosophy of Plato. Buchanan appears to have been very anxious to promote the study of Grecian literature. He made a valuable present of Greek books to the university of Glasgow; all of which have been carefully preserved.^y

The act of parliament which ratified this plan of reformation was afterwards repealed, in consequence of the confusion and uncertainty which the members of the university pretended had arisen from the introduction of such material alterations. But they may fairly be suspected of having been unwilling to pursue the arduous path of erudition which had been prescribed. It was more easy to observe the old formalities of the schools, than to embrace so large a plan of discipline. The former act was repealed on the fourth of August 1621; and the general principles of the repealing statute are such as might have been expected. To these legislators it seemed "most equitable that the will of the founders should take effect, except where the same is repugnant to the true religion pre-

^y See Appendix, No. viii.

sently professed within this kingdom." But it was certainly as far remote from the will of the founders, that their colleges should ever become seminaries of any new religion, as that the plan of scholastic discipline originally prescribed should be subjected to salutary innovations. If in one instance it was absolute sacrilege to violate the tenor of their bequest, it must in all other instances have been the same. But as it had been found expedient to supersede their regulations with respect to the very essential article of religion, it ought likewise to have occurred to the legislature, that to banish unprofitable modes of study was a measure not less consistent with equity. Whatever may be their genuine origin, it is always proper to consider foundations of this kind as having originated in the pure motives of benevolence and public spirit; and to promote their correspondence with the progressive nature of man, must be deemed perfectly consistent with the general principles which their authors ostensibly entertain.

The merit of Buchanan, as must already have appeared, was not overlooked by his countrymen; and his consequence abroad had been increased by the respect which he secured at home. From the general state of religious opinions in the nation, as well as from the conspicuous character of the royal instructor, the Protestants on the continent seem to have conceived early hopes of finding in the Scottish monarch a power-

ful accession to the common cause. So considerable was the influence of this illustrious scholar, that his favour was even solicited by the king of Navarre, afterwards so famous by the name of Henry the Great. In a letter addressed to Buchanan in the year 1577, that accomplished prince requested him to instil into the tender mind of his pupil, such sentiments as might conduce to their future attachment. This letter he intrusted to his faithful adherent Philip de Mornay, a man distinguished by his literary^z and political talents. In the progress of his voyage to England, Mornay fell into the hands of pirates, and it was carried off with the rest of the plunder; but upon his arrival in London, he apprized Buchanan of his master's wishes.^a The French Protestants were extremely solicitous for a matrimonial alliance between James and the king of Navarre's sister; and at the suggestion of several persons of that class, R. Lemacon de la Fontaine requested Buchanan to promote a scheme which might essentially contribute to the advancement of the reformed religion. Two of his letters relative to this sub-

^z Colomesii Gallia Orientalis, p. 247.—Mornay is one of the principal characters in the *Henriade*. Voltaire, in his notes on the first canto, characterizes him as “le plus vertueux et le plus grand homme du parti protestant. Il savait le Latin et le Grec parfaitement, et l'Hébreu autant qu'on le peut savoir; ce qui était un prodige alors dans un gentilhomme. Il servit sa religion et son maître de sa plume et de son épée.”

^a Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 15.

ject have been preserved; but what encouragement the project received, is not known.^b

Beza, the friend of Buchanan, and the terror of the Papists, addressed himself to the young king with similar views. In the year 1580 he dedicated to James one of his publications, in a strain sufficiently calculated to engage his attachment to the Protestant interests.^c On this occasion, he wrote a short epistle to his early friend. “Behold, my dear Buchanan, a notable instance of double extravagance in a single act; affording an illustration of the characteristic phrensy of poets—provided you admit me to a participation of that title. I have been guilty of trifling with a serious subject, and have dedicated my trifles to a king. If with your usual politeness, and in consideration of our ancient friendship, you should undertake to excuse both these circumstances to the king, I trust the matter will have a fortunate issue: but if you refuse, I shall be disappointed in my expectations. The scope of this little work, such as it is, you will learn from the preface; namely that the king, when he shall be aware of the high expectations which he has excited in all the churches, may at the same time, delighted with those various and excellent examples, become more and more familiar with his duty. Of this work I likewise

^b Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 27, 28.

^c Bezæ Icones Virorum Doctrina simul et Pietate Illustrium: quibus adjectæ sunt nonnullæ picturæ quas Emblemata vocant. Genevæ, 1580, 4to.

send a copy to you, that is, owls to Athens; and request you to accept it as a token of my regard. My late paraphrase of the psalms, if it has reached your country, will I hope inspire you with the design of reprinting your own, to the great advantage of the church: and, believe me, it is not so much myself as the whole church that entreats you to accelerate this scheme. Farewell, excellent man. May the Lord Jesus bless your hoary hairs more and more, and long preserve you for our sake. Geneva, March the sixteenth 1580.”^d

In a former letter, Beza had congratulated him on the promising disposition of his royal pupil. “I could not suffer this trusty messenger to depart without a letter, at once to convince you that, during your absence, I have carefully preserved and continually cherished your remembrance, and to offer you, or rather the whole nation, my congratulations in reference to what you have signified to our friend Scrimger;^e namely

^d Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 28.

^e Henry Scrimger, a native of Dundee, was the first professor of the civil law in the university of Geneva; and is still known to civilians as the editor of the Greek Novels. *Impp. Justiniani, Justinii, Leonis Novellæ Constitutiones: Justiniani Edicta*. Excudebat H. Stephanus, 1558, fol. With respect to this publication, Brunquellus has committed two mistakes: he speaks of an edition printed at Basil in 1541, and of a Latin version by the editor. (*Hist. Juris Romani*, p. 283-5.) The two regents Lennox and Mar, probably at the suggestion of Buchanan, invited Scrimger to return to Scotland, and accept of some public employment: but he was then advanced in years, and was besides alarmed at the tumults and assassinations which at that period disgraced his native country. (Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 7, 8, 10, 12.) He was eminently skilled in the Greek language; and had prepared

that you are blest with a king whose childhood has already afforded such indications of piety and every virtue, as have excited in the public mind the hope and expectation of all that is desirable. God forbid that the same mischance which not long ago befell a neighbouring nation, should befall you : but may he rather grant that Scotland, being thus possessed of a king endowed with every accomplishment of body and mind, may at length repose from the domestic wars and assassinations with which it has so long been annoyed, and enjoy the blessings of holy peace. May the same merciful father rid you of your Medea, or Athalia; for I cannot find a name suitable to her misdeeds. With respect to our affairs, you will I hope receive complete information from our friend Young. From the perusal of your psalms I have derived incredible delight : although they are such as could only have proceeded from yourself, yet I wish, what to you will by no means be difficult, that from being good you would render them the best, or, if you please, better than the best. Farewell, excellent man, together with all the good and pious. May the

editions of Demosthenes, Strabo, Athenæus, the ecclesiastical history of Eusebius, and various other works both Greek and Latin. After his death, which happened in 1572, his library and papers became the property of his nephew Sir Peter Young; from whom Casaubon solicited his manuscript notes on Strabo. (*Epistolæ*, p. 182, 306, edit. Almeloveen. Roterod. 1709, fol.)

Lord Jesus preserve you in health and safety. Geneva, April the twelfth 1572.”^f

These illustrious friends displayed a strong congeniality of disposition: they were animated with the same ardent spirit of independence, and were equally attached to the principles of the reformation. From the same warmth of zeal that prompted them to the pursuit of excellence, they were sometimes betrayed into a violent and intemperate style. The terms which Buchanan has applied to Queen Mary and ^g Archbishop Hamilton are such as can hardly be justified; and Beza has often treated his literary antagonists in a very reprehensible manner. Beza, like his admirable correspondent, evinced an early predilection for poetry; and he likewise executed a complete paraphrase of the psalms.^h Their respective versions have repeatedly been associated together.ⁱ

Theodorus Beza was many years younger than Buchanan: he was born on the twenty-fourth of June 1519, at Vezelay a city of Burgundy. Both his parents were noble, and he received an education suitable to his birth. Under the tuition of Melchior Wolmar, first at Or-

^f *Buchanani Epistolæ*, p. 11, collated with *Bezæ Epistolæ Theologicæ*, p. 343. The variations are considerable.

^g *Buchanani Epigram.* lib. ii, 30, 31.—The archbishop, it ought however to be recollected, was a profligate priest who had been privy to the murder of King Henry, and to that of Buchanan's patron the earl of Murray.

^h *Genevæ*, 1579, 8vo.

ⁱ *Morgiis*, 1581, 8vo. *Genevæ*, 1594, 8vo.

leans, and afterwards at Bourges, he not only made uncommon progress in classical learning, but was also initiated into the principles of the reformed religion. Beza continued under his roof till the year 1535, when Wolmar returned to Germany. He was then sent back to Orleans for the purpose of studying jurisprudence; but this was a pursuit for which he entertained no affection. Here he composed several Latin poems, which being distributed in manuscript, procured him a high reputation in that seminary. Having taken the degree of licentiate in 1539, he returned to Paris with very flattering prospects of ecclesiastical promotion. It was about this time that he became acquainted with Buchanan; for whom he seems to have cherished the highest regard. He also enjoyed the society of Turnebus, Ant. Govea, Tevius, and other distinguished members of the university; and his Latin poems obtained the most flattering marks of their approbation.ⁱ The first edition was printed in the year 1548. This collection, along with some effusions of piety, includes some very lascivious verses, which, although he rejected them in the next impression, his Popish adversaries were extremely solicitous to preserve from oblivion.^k These wanton prolusions he after-

ⁱ Bezae Epist. ad Dudithium, p. 6, ante Poematum edit. secund. *Excudebat H. Stephanus*, 1569, 8vo. In this edition are inserted some of the poems of Buchanan.

^k Theodori Bezae Vezelii Poemata. Lutetiae, ex officina Conradi Badii, 1548, 8vo. Pp. 100.—This very rare volume has the following colophon:

wards classed among the sins of his youth; and he was destined to employ his powerful talents for much nobler purposes. Beza had completely imbibed the principles of the reformation; and although the gaiety of youth, and the allurements of wealth, rendered him somewhat irresolute, yet he was too honest to acquiesce in corruptions which were so palpable to his senses. Having adopted the resolution of entering into the open profession of the reformed faith, he bade adieu to his native country, and arrived at Geneva on the twenty-fourth of October 1548. In the course of the ensuing year, he accepted the Greek professorship at Lausanne. This charge he retained for the space of nine or ten years; and at the expiration of that period removed to Geneva, where he was ordained a minister, and continued to exercise his clerical functions till the time of his death. He was also associated with his illustrious friend Calvin as a profes-

“Lutetiæ, Roberto Stephano regio typographo, et sibi Conradus Badius excudebat, idibus Julii 1548.” On the back of the title-page there is a portrait of the author. The juvenile poems of Beza, Muretus, and Secundus, with other compositions of the same kind, were reprinted by Barbou in a collection entitled *Amœnitates Poeticæ*. Paris. 1779, 12mo. His editor seems to have been unacquainted with the original edition of Beza's poems, which, according to his account, bears the title of “*Adeodati Sebæ Juvenilia*.” They occur under that title, in the *Delitiæ Poetarum Gallorum*, tom. iii, p. 578. The posthumous fame of this reformer was vindicated by an anonymous author, in a work entitled “*De Juvenilibus Theodori Bezæ Poematis Epistola ad N. C. qua Maimburgius, aliique Bezæ nominis obtrectatores accurate confutantur*.” Amst. 1683, 12mo. This defence indicates sufficient zeal, but is not always very judicious. It was written by Jean Graverol. (Bayle, *Oeuvres Diverses*, tom. iv, p. 606.)

sor of divinity. After having long enjoyed a very splendid reputation, he died at Geneva on the thirteenth of October 1605.¹ The zeal and talents which Beza displayed in the cause of religion, rendered him one of the most conspicuous characters of the age. He has always been enumerated among the chief pillars of the reformed church; and his proficiency in polite literature must likewise have contributed to insure Buchanan's attachment. His works are numerous and miscellaneous; and he generally writes with uncommon force and elegance. His reputation as a biblical critic was very high among his contemporaries; but a more unfavourable estimate of his labours has been formed by some of his ablest successors, particularly by Wetstein, Griesbach, and Campbell. "Of all the faults," says Dr Campbell, "with which Beza is chargeable as a translator, the greatest is, undoubtedly, that he was too violent a party-man to possess that impartiality, without which it is impossible to succeed as an interpreter of holy writ. It requires but a very little of a critical eye to discern in him a constant effort to accommodate the style of the sacred writers to that of his sect."^m

¹ An account of his life was soon afterwards published by Antonius Fayus. It is entitled, *De Vita et Obitu Clariss. Viri D. Theodori Bezae Vezelii*. Genevæ, 1606, 4to. Many curious particulars respecting Beza may be found in the dictionary of Bayle. See likewise Senebier, *Histoire Littéraire de Geneve*, tom. i, p. 266. Geneve, 1786, 3 tom. 8vo.

^m See the prolegomena of Wetstein, cap. xiii, of Griesbach, p. xxxi, and Campbell's tenth dissertation, part v.—After expressing a more favourable

In his controversial writings, and his controversies were numerous, Beza has commonly expressed himself in too intemperate a style. His treatment of Sebastian Castalio, an able scholar and a worthy though unfortunate man, cannot easily be justified. With this elegant writer he was engaged in different controversies, and certainly did not hesitate to retail some of the most gross calumnies which had been propagated against him. Castalio, with a degree of wisdom and humanity of which that age did not furnish many examples, had exerted his talents to inculcate the maxims of religious toleration; and this laudable conduct ought to endear his memory to a more enlightened posterity. Calvin and Beza however entertained a different opinion; they evinced themselves as hostile to liberty of conscience as the most furious bigots of the Popish party. If their notions had evaporated in mere speculation, such wonderful inconsistency might have excited less regret; but they produced effects of a most deplorable kind. Michael Servetus, a Spanish physician, having published several books which contained heterodox opinions, was, at the instigation of Calvin, arrested by the magistrates of Geneva, and inhumanly committed to the flames. The lumi-

opinion, Ernesti subjoins, "in sententiis autem indulget opinionibus suis, et saepe a veritate aberrat." (*Institutio Interpretis Novi Testamenti*, p. 196.) Wetstein justly condemns his treatment of Castalio. See also Porson's *Letters to Travis*, p. 96.

naries of that church ought to have paused for a moment upon the obvious reflection, that their doctrine respecting the punishment of heretics was an indirect vindication of all the butcheries perpetrated by another church, which they regarded with the most sincere detestation. Heretic is a very vague, and therefore a very convenient term; it will equally suit each party in its turn; for what human tribunal shall finally judge of mere opinions? After having applied this term to Calvin, the Romish inquisition might have doomed him to a cruel death, with as much equity as the Genevan inquisition extended to the unfortunate Servetus. The fate of this ingenious man must leave an indelible stigma on the memory of those who were accessory to his murder;ⁿ and yet, such is the natural obliquity of the human mind, many considerations must be admitted in palliation of so atrocious an action.^o The genuine spirit of toleration is but imperfectly diffused,

ⁿ See Dr Benson's Tracts, p. 161, 3d edit. Lond. 1748, 8vo.

^o It is Calvin's best apology that he adopted a hideous error from which very few of his contemporaries were exempted. The execution of Servetus was approved even by Melanchthon, so highly, and indeed so justly, extolled for his comparative moderation. In one of his epistles to Calvin, the subsequent passage occurs. "Affirmo etiam vestros magistratus juste fecisse, quod hominem blasphemum, re ordine judicata, interfecerunt." (Calvini *Epistolæ*, p. 306.) Every age has its peculiar deformities; and some of our present maxims will not fail to excite the utter astonishment of the more enlightened tribes who are yet to people the earth.—Among other proofs of intelligence and liberality which occur in an old book with a very unpromising title, I find the following sentiment: "Magistratus hæreticos non debet occidere." (Acontii *Stratagemata Satanæ*, p. 53, edit. Basil. 1610, 8vo.) This book was first printed at Basil in 1565.

even in a country which has long been accustomed to boast of its illumination.

The history of persecution may receive a striking illustration from the doctrines and catastrophe of an eminent civilian, contemporary with Beza and Buchanan. A famous doctor, says Corasius, contends that the practice of punishing heresy with fire was only introduced by custom, and not formally sanctioned by any law; and that it might therefore be sufficient to punish it with the sword. But, subjoins this learned author with much coolness, since what has been confirmed by long custom is not of less force than written law; since we ought not rashly to depart from what has long been considered as equitable; and since that may be endured which is recommended by ancient usage; I leave the determination of this question to the judgment of the church. With respect to those heretics however who deny the being and attributes of God, he is decidedly of opinion that they should not merely be burnt alive, but should be subjected to more exquisite tortures, if more exquisite could be devised.^p Such was the deliberate decision of this humane expounder of the laws. Corasius afterwards embraced the doctrines of Calvin, and was butchered as a heretic in 1572.^q

^p Corasii Miscellanea Juris Civilis, p. 177, edit. Franc. 1614, 8vo.

^q Terrasson, Hist. de la Jurisprudence Romaine, p. 460.—“We must conclude,” says the excellent Bishop Burnet, “that under what form soever of religion such things are set on foot in the world, such a doctrine is so far

Effera tantum igitur potuit suadere malorum
 Impietas, non Relligio; quæ prava coercens
 Corda metu, spe recta fovet; cunctisque suum jus
 Spondet, et humanas vetat obbrutescere mentes.^r

Beza has addressed one of his Latin poems to Buchanan,^s and on various other occasions has mentioned him with high respect. One of Buchanan's hendecasyllables, inscribed to Beza, seems to have been transmitted with a present of the author's poetical works. Calvin has likewise been enumerated among the eminent characters with whom he maintained a literary intercourse: but of their personal acquaintance, or epistolary correspondence, no evidence occurs. Buchanan has indeed written a poem entitled *Joannis Calvini Epicedium*;^t which is quoted with satisfaction by one of Calvin's most eloquent apologists.^u

Another of the French Protestants who courted the favour of Buchanan's pupil was Jean de Serres, better known by the name of Joannes Serranus. His splendid edition of Plato, consisting of three volumes in folio, was printed by H.

from improving and exalting the nature of man, that really it makes him worse than he would otherwise be, if he were left to the softness of his own nature: and certainly it were better there were no revealed religion in the world, than that mankind should become worse, more cruel, and more barbarous by its means, than it would be if it were governed by nature or a little philosophy." (*Letter of the Clergy of France to the Protestants, Translated into English, and Examined*, p. 156. Lond. 1683, 8vo.)

^r Polignac, *Anti-Lucretius*, lib. i, v. 834.

^s Bezae *Poemata Varia*, p. 18. [*Exc. H. Stephanus*], 1597, 4to.

^t *Buchanani Miscell.* xxiv.

^u *Alexandri Mori Calvinus*, p. 4. Genevæ, 1648, 4to.

Stephanus in the year 1578. The first volume he dedicated to Queen Elizabeth, the second to King James,^w and the third to the senate of Berne. After the completion of his laborious task, he wrote to Buchanan from Lausanne on the twenty-ninth of February 1578. "Sir, although I have not had the happiness to know you except by your learned writings, I have honoured you for a long time, as do all those who love letters. In the course of last year, with the view of alleviating the misery incident to our condition, and even after the remarkable calamity of St Bartholomew, I have endeavoured to follow your footsteps by teaching David to speak Greek; though I acknowledge that my first attempt^x does not afford me any encouragement to prosecute the undertaking; as in reality I did not commence it from the hope of praise, but contented myself with the salutary effects which I experienced from it as a remedy against my inquietudes. At all events, it furnished me with a pretext for soliciting your correspondence; and I then wrote to you, without receiving any answer. Another occasion now presents itself: having, by the advice of my friends, dedicated a portion of my labour to the majesty of your king,

^w In the year 1581, H. Stephanus dedicated to King James his second edition of Xenophon.

^x Psalmorum Davidis aliquot Metaphrasis Græca, Joannis Serrani. Adjuncta eregione Paraphrasi Latina G. Buchanani. *Excudebat H. Stephanus*, 1575, 8vo.

I have been inclined thus to address you, with the view of entreating you to love one who loves and honours you; and to do me the honour of presenting these volumes to his majesty, with such a recommendation as your erudition and goodness shall deem suitable. You may thus oblige a man who will not forget this favour, but who will pray to God for your prosperity. I might find many subjects to discuss with you; but in the expectation of receiving an answer that may encourage me to familiarity, I shall pray God to bless your happy old age, and to permit you to see in your most noble pupil the accomplishment of your good desires. Recommending myself very humbly, Sir, to your good graces, I entreat you to preserve me in those of the king....I send you a copy of Plato as a testimony, if you please, of the love and honour which I bear you." y]

Serranus's version of Plato, though deficient in elegance, is commended for its fidelity and perspicuity.² Dr Duport regarded him as an excellent Greek poet, and adjudged him a decided superiority over most of those who had versified the psalms.³ His edition of Plato, and version of select psalms, he published at an early period of life; and high expectations were entertained of his future eminence in the department of phi-

^y Buchananani Epistolæ, p. 17.

² Huetius de Interpretatione, p. 172.

³ Duport, præf. in Metaph. Psalmorum. Cantab. 1666, 4to.

lology.^b But he was induced to apply his talents to other subjects, connected with his views as a Protestant. He is the reputed author of several anonymous works relative to the history of France;^c and he engaged in a pertinacious controversy with John Hay, a Scottish Jesuit of considerable note among his brethren.^d Though thus involved in ecclesiastical warfare, he indulged the hope of a general and lasting union of the great divisions in the Christian church: he was led to adopt a plan^e which had been entertained by Erasmus, and which was afterwards revived by the piety and learning of Grotius;^f a plan which has never been attended with the slightest degree of success, and has only procured general odium to the excellent men by whom it was so fondly cherished. The intentions of Serranus seem to have been strangely misrepresented;^g and even the memory of Grotius was per-

^b “ Si diu fuerit superstes,” says Languet, “ meo judicio, habebitur inter clarissimos viros in re literaria; nam est adhuc juvenis, et insigniter doctus.” (*Epistolæ ad Sydneium*, p. 238, edit. Hailes.)

^c Placcii Theatrum Anonymorum et Pseudonymorum, tom. i, p. 282. Deckheri de Scriptis Adespotis, Pseudepigraphis, et Supposititiis, Conjecturæ, p. 262, 378, edit. Amst. 1686, 12mo.—Serranus acknowledges himself to be the author of the commentaries “ De Statu Religionis et Reipublicæ in Regno Galliæ.” (*Epistolæ Selectiores*, p. 780.)

^d Sotvelli Bibliotheca Scriptorum S. Jesu, p. 459. Romæ, 1676, fol.

^e Serranus de Fide Catholica, sive Principiis Religionis Christianæ, communi omnium Christianorum consensu semper et ubique ratis. Paris. 1597, fol. *Ibid.* 1607, 8vo.

^f Erasmus de Sarcienda Ecclesiæ Concordia. Grotii Opera Theologica, tom. iii.

^g Casauboni Epistolæ, p. 474, edit. Almeloveen. Roterod. 1709, fol.—

secuted with deplorable malignity. Such a project indeed is evidently wild and impracticable: an infallible church can never acknowledge itself guilty of error;^h and it is to be hoped that a reformed church will never be induced to reform backwards. “As there were many reformers,” says Sir Thomas Browne, “so likewise many reformatations; every country proceeding in a particular way and method, according as their national interest, together with their constitution and clime, inclined them; some angrily, and with extremity; others calmly, and with mediocrity, not rending but easily dividing the community, and leaving an honest possibility of a reconciliation; which though peaceable spirits do desire, and may conceive that revolution of time and the mercies of God may effect, yet that judgment that shall consider the present antipathies between the two extreams, their contrarieties in

What is stated by Cardinal du Perron with respect to his abjuration of the Protestant faith, seems to be totally destitute of foundation. (*Perroniana*, p. 299.)

^h Every church indeed that imposes its articles as the only true interpretation of the scriptures, must necessarily be understood as asserting an arrogant claim to infallibility; and the church of Rome only differs from some other churches in advancing this claim without any reserve or circumlocution. The reformed churches are certainly more cautious and bashful; but whether they are in reality more modest, is another question. They all profess to regard the scriptures as the only standard of faith; yet not one of them will permit its members to interpret the scriptures for themselves. Without the exercise of this privilege, the scriptures are no standard to us; our belief is nothing better than a blind and bigoted reliance on the infallibility of the original imposers of articles. And whence did these article-mongers derive their authority to interpret the scriptures for all posterity?

condition, affection and opinion, may with the same hopes expect an union in the poles of heaven."ⁱ

The personal history of Serranus, who was a native of Viviers or the adjacent district, is involved in obscurity.^k It however appears that soon after the publication of his edition of Plato, he returned to France,^l and there exercised the functions of a minister. In the respective dedications of his Greek psalms, and of the second volume of Plato, he mentions Buchanan with high commendation. "I have been wonderfully charmed," he remarks, "with the erudite felicity of George Buchanan, a man indeed not only to be equalled to the greatest poets of our own age, but even of all learned antiquity."

Rodolphus Gualtherus, an eminent minister of the reformed church of Zurich in Switzerland, addressed himself to Buchanan on a similar occasion. Having inscribed to the young monarch his homilies on the epistle of St Paul to the Galatians, which were printed in the year 1576, he transmitted two copies to Buchanan; requesting him to present one to his hopeful pupil, and to retain the other as a token of the author's regard. Relative to this subject, four of their letters are extant;^m and they tend to exhibit our illustrious

ⁱ Browne's *Religio Medici*, p. 7, 8th edit. Lond. 1682, 8vo.

^k *Oeuvres Diverses de Bayle*, tom. iv, p. 648. *Niceron*, tom. iv, p. 316. *Senebier*, *Hist. Litteraire de Geneve*, tom. ii, p. 101.

^l *Epistolæ Selectiores*, p. 778. Lugd. Bat. 1617, 8vo.

^m *Buchanani Epistolæ*, p. 16, 17, 20, 26.

countryman in no unamiable point of view. His correspondent Gualtherus, the author of various works,ⁿ was a native of Zurich. In his youth he had applied himself to the study of polite literature; he had discovered some talent for poetry, and had executed a Latin version of the *Onomasticon* of Julius Pollux.^o He afterwards acquired distinction as a theologian; and for the space of more than forty years, he exercised with great fidelity and diligence the pastoral care in his native city.^p

Buchanan, about this period of his life, corresponded with many other characters of distinction: with some of them he was personally acquainted; the rest he attracted by the splendour of his reputation. Tycho Brahe having published his tract *De Nova Stella* in the year 1573, did not neglect to present it to a man who, like himself, had essentially contributed to advance the intellectual fame of the northern nations. Buchanan was for some time prevented from acknowledging this gratifying mark of attention; but he at length addressed a very elegant and po-

ⁿ Teissier, *Eloges des Hommes Savans*, tom. ii, p. 55.

^o Gualtherus is a contributor to the *Delitiæ Poetarum Germanorum*. His translation of Pollux was published without the Greek text, accompanied however with annotations. Basil. 1541, 4to. It is mentioned in disparaging terms by Jos. Scaliger. (*Epistolæ*, p. 528.) Beza has written the epitaph of Gualtherus, and that of his son. (*Poemata Varia*, p. 120, 121.)

^p Verheiden, *Præstantium aliquot Theologorum Elogia*, p. 200. Hag. Com. 1602. fol. Boissardi *Icones*, tom. iv, p. 154. *Adami Vitæ Germanorum Theologorum*, p. 592.

lite letter to that renowned astronomer.^a When King James, in the year 1590, visited Tycho Brahe at his castle of Uranienburg, he observed Buchanan's picture hanging in the library, and immediately recognized the lineaments of his deceased preceptor. This picture had been presented by Sir Peter Young, during one of his embassies to the court of Denmark.^r

Although Buchanan did not professedly devote himself to the illustration of ancient authors, yet he bore a high reputation for critical sagacity. He was consulted by scholars of different nations; and some of his emendations have been published by Turnebus and Lambinus.^s It cannot indeed be regretted that a man capable of producing original works of such excellence, should not have devoted a larger portion of his life to illustrate the reliques of ancient genius: but his sagacity and erudition would have enabled him to secure a very high station in that department, preoccupied as it then was by scholars of the first order. Whatever may be the fashionable estimate of our contemporaries, the manly and robust age of Buchanan entertained no contemptu-

^a Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 14.

^r Gassendi Vita Tychonis Brahei, p. 123. Paris. 1654, 4to.

^s These emendations are reprinted in Ruddiman's edition of Buchanan, tom. i, p. xx, tom. ii, p. 103. Lambinus characterizes him as "vir omni doctrina præstans." "Neminem esse," says Turnebus, "existimo in Gallia paulo humaniorem, cui Georgius Buchananus non sit notus, non solum eximius poeta, verum etiam vir omni liberali eruditione non leviter tinctus, sed penitus imbutus." (*Adversaria*, lib. i, cap. ii.)

ous opinion of the character or occupation of those learned men, who contributed to restore the Greek and Roman authors to their original purity. To acquire distinction as a classical commentator was one of the principal objects of youthful ambition. The splendid talents of Calvin were first exercised in illustrating a treatise of Seneca.^t The useful labours of verbal criticism have employed some of the most powerful intellects of which modern Europe can boast. That eminent philologers have written with pedantic prolixity, or judged with precipitation, or have attached an inordinate value to trifles,^u it would

^t Calvini Opera, tom. viii, edit. Amst.

^u Joseph Castalio shall supply us with an illustration. “*Incredibili me nuper voluptate perfudit Vergiliorum nomen in marmore pervetusto insculptum,*” &c. To settle the mighty contention between *e* and *i*, he has written a tract entitled “*De Recta Scribendi Vergili Nominis Ratione Commentarius:*” and his exultation seems not so much to arise from his ascertaining the orthography of the name, as from his ascertaining it to be Vergilius instead of Virgilius. (*Variae Lectiones et Opuscula*. Romæ, 1594, 4to.) Klotzius has written a very entertaining epistle “*De Minutiarum Studio et Rixandi Libidine Grammaticorum quorundam.*” (*Opuscula Varii Argumenti*, p. 191.)

With respect to conjectural criticism, it would have been fortunate if some scholars of eminence had formed the same estimate as J. M. Gesner. “*Conjecturas ingeniosas,*” says Ernesti, “*laudabat magis quam probabat: et nihil magis quam dulces illecebras in judicando cavendum monebat. Nec tamen ingenio, literis et doctrina diu subacto, nihil tribuebat: quo et ipse non pauca feliciter correxit.*” (*Opuscula Oratoria*, p. 331. Lugd. Bat. 1762, 8vo.) “*Nec semper, meo judicio,*” says the elegant Gravina, “*vera lectio erit ea quæ melior: scriptores enim, varia distracti scriptionis cura, industriam aliquando remittunt. Neque humanum ingenium contendere ubique potest omnibus nervis: ideo ut in acie milites, etsi minus fortes, tamen, quia fessis integri succedunt, pugnant aliquantisper alacrius; ita evenit, ut acutius aliquando comminiscantur interpretes, quam ipsimet auctores invenerunt.*” (*Origines Juris Civilis*, præf.)

not indeed be safe to dispute: but those who deny that they have contributed to the advancement of solid learning, ought to be superseded as incompetent judges. To treat with derision the memory of scholars, whose exertions have at once been so strenuous and so useful, must either be regarded as a proof of ignorance or ingratitude.*

It was remarked by an early writer of a singular character, that "most of the Scottish nation never having astricted themselves so much to the propriety of words, as to the knowledge of things, where there was one preceptor of languages amongst them, there were above forty professors of philosophy." During the age of Buchanan, and the earlier part of the following century, Scotland produced many authors who wrote in Latin with a very considerable degree of purity and elegance. On comparing the style of Fordun and Mair with that of Boyce and Lesley, the progress of taste is very conspicuous; but a more classical vein may be distinguished in the dialogue on tranquillity of mind, written

* "Ingratissimi certe fuerimus," says Henricus Valesius, "nisi tantis hominibus plurimum a nobis deberi profiteamur, qui labore suo et industria perfecterunt, ut jam nobis expeditior sit via studiorum, minusque sit in literis postea laborandum, adeo nobis fere omnia complanata et facilia præstiterunt." (*De Critica*, p. 151. Amst. 1740, 4to.) "Non defuturos, scio," says Markland, "qui totam hanc doctrinæ partem, ut levem, nec aut ad utilitatem aut oblectationem vitæ aliquid conferentem, calumniaturi sunt: nulli enim liberius judicant, quam qui aut non legunt, aut non intelligunt." (*Epistola Critica*, p. 3. Cantab. 1723, 8vo.)

by Florence Wilson, a scholar whom Buchanan has celebrated as dear to the Muses.^y But the disposition of our countrymen did not lead them very strongly to the study of verbal criticism, even at a period when it was prosecuted with astonishing ardour in the rest of Europe. A few names may however be traced in this department. Scrimger was highly celebrated for his skill in the Greek tongue, and prepared editions of many ancient authors. His contemporary Henryson likewise united the study of philology with that of the civil law; and the same union was afterwards preserved by Dempster, and a few others. Among other works of erudition, this learned professor published editions of Claudian and Corippus, which entitled him to a place among the critics of the age. Balfour distinguished himself by his edition of Cleomedes, and his commentaries on the logic and ethics of Aristotle. Cameron, who flourished about the same

^y De Animi Tranquillitate Dialogus, Florentio Voluseno autore. Lugduni, apud Gryphium, 1543, 4to.—Florence Wilson prosecuted his studies at Aberdeen, and afterwards at Paris; where he was intrusted with the tuition of Cardinal Wolsey's nephew. After the uncle's death, he was patronized by other two cardinals, Jean de Lorraine and Jean du Bellay. As he was proceeding with the latter towards Rome in the year 1536, he was seized with an indisposition which detained him at Carpentras. Here he waited on Cardinal Sadolet, then bishop of the see; and this excellent prelate was so delighted with his literary accomplishments and elegant manners, that he placed him at the head of a classical seminary in that city. (Sadoleti *Epistolæ*, p. 227, 639, 657, edit. Lugd. 1554, 8vo.) Wilson afterwards intended to revisit his native country, but death overtook him at Vienne in the year 1546.

period, was celebrated for his knowledge of the Greek^z and Hebrew languages; and his annotations on the New Testament have procured him a respectable rank among biblical critics.^a These and other scholars maintained the credit of our national literature till the approach of the solemn league and covenant, when times of greater ignorance and barbarism ensued; and it was not till after a long and gloomy interval that Scotland could boast, in Alexander Cunningham,^b of a critic distinguished by uncommon sagacity and erudition.^c

In the library of the university of Edinburgh, there is a manuscript ascribed to Buchanan, containing a commentary on the eclogues, georgics, and first seven books of the *Æneid* of Virgil. This commentary appears to have been dictated, without much effort, to younger students; and as many phrases are explained in the Scottish language, it may be supposed to have been dictated

^z “Græce enim,” says Ludovicus Capellus, “tam expedite et eleganter quam quivis alius Latine extempore loquebatur; ita ut doctissimis viris quos ubique convenit, adeoque et ipsi magno Casaubono (cui paulo post fuit notissimus) admirationi esset, fueritque charissimus.” See the account of John Cameron, prefixed to his *Myrothecium Evangelicum*. Genevæ, 1632, 4to.

^a Simon, *Hist. Critique des Principaux Commentateurs du N. Testament*, p. 781.

^b See Appendix, No. xi.

^c This subject may be very properly concluded in the words of Daniel Heinsius. “Sane, quemadmodum qui priscos vel contemnunt, vel ne tangunt quidem, stolidi aut imperiti sunt: ita qui eodem loco omnes habent, aut in uno aliquo mirantur omnia aut imitanda arbitrantur, miseratione dignos arbitror.” (*Aristarchus Sacer*, p. 5.)

at St Andrews. The manuscript was presented to the library by Andrew Fletcher.

Among other scholars who solicited his contributions was Obertus Gifanius, a civilian and philologist of no inconsiderable reputation. One of his letters to Buchanan has been preserved: it is dated at Orleans on the sixteenth of January 1567. "Relying on your candour and good nature," says Gifanius, "I repeatedly wrote to you some months ago. Supposing my former letters to have miscarried, I now address you a third time; and that more confidently through the encouragement of your countrymen Gordon, Cunningham, Guthrie, and other youths whom I understand to be very dear to you, and with whom, much to my satisfaction and improvement, I here live upon terms of intimacy. If therefore my correspondence should prove irksome to you, which I should very much regret, recollect what vouchers I have it in my power to allege; vouchers who will never disown their having instigated me; such is their candour, such their sincerity, and such their regard for me, unworthy of it as I am. In those my former letters, I wrote I know not what respecting certain passages of Cæsar, in my opinion somewhat obscure, with the view of obtaining from you their elucidation. If you have made any remarks upon his commentaries, as I doubt not you have, it is now my request that you will communicate them to me. I shall take care to convince both yourself and the

public that I do not, as that fellow Dionysius has with abundant impudence objected to me,^d produce the emendations of others as my own, but most gratefully recognize every man's claims; and to you, should you liberally condescend to favour me, an obscure individual and a foreigner, with such a communication, I shall be particularly studious to mark my obligations. Although I am aware of your being eminently versed in writers of every denomination, yet I am chiefly anxious to procure your assistance with respect to Cæsar, as I have determined speedily to publish an edition of that author accompanied with notes. If you should however subjoin by way of *ἐπιελκὼν* and corollary, any remarks on Livy, Ovid, or other authors, you will thus strengthen the attachment of one firmly attached before; and having already been bound to you by many obligations, I shall then acknowledge them to be much increased. There is another circumstance of which I wish you to be apprized, and which has furnished me with almost the only reason for addressing you at this time. Plantin, a Flemish printer who, if I am not deceived, is known to you, and who always wishes to publish works of the greatest value, is very solicitous to edit with

^d Gifanius published an edition of Lucretius (Antv. 1565, 8vo.) soon after that of Dionysius Lambinus had made its appearance; and Lambinus, in his preface to the third impression, has in strong terms accused him of appropriating his labours. This charge is confirmed by Thomasius and Bayle.

a Latin version, all or the greater part of the Greek epigrams contained in the Anthology. Having learned from those countrymen of yours that you have translated much from the Greek into the Latin language, and being habitually eager to procure for my friend Plantin all the assistance within my compass, it was extremely fortunate that I should meet with this Scottish merchant, who is well acquainted with you, and who was then hastening directly homeward: for I am persuaded that when you shall have received this account of Plantin's scheme, you will approve of it, and will also promote it by sending him, as soon as possible, your versions of some of the epigrams. It is a favour which I entreat of you, but with due regard to your own convenience; for I would not be guilty of importunity. This person is both worthy of being intrusted with your verses, and encouraged by your good offices: he has already printed a very elegant edition of your psalms,^e and is hardly ambitious of undertaking the impression of any productions except your own. With respect to other matters, although you receive abundant information from many correspondents, yet it will not I trust be disagreeable if I add my contribution. Your *Jephthes* and *Franciscanus*, translated into French by your friend Chrestien, are printing in

^e Antverpiæ, 1566, 12mo.

this city.^f An edition of Lycophron by my friend Canterus has very lately been published at Basil, together with the younger Scaliger's translation, replete with antiquity, and in the style of Pacuvius.^g Your astronomical poem is expected with the utmost avidity. Auratus having lately been presented with the title of *Poeta Regius*, and with a pension sufficiently ample, will, if I am not deceived, discontinue his professorial functions. Ramus is said to have published some very learned mathematical prolegomena.^h Among the poetical works of Buchanan several translations from the Greek occur; but Plantin's project was never carried into execution. Nor did Gifanius publish his intended edition of Cæsar.

Obertus Gifanius was a native of Buren in Guelderland. Having taught jurisprudence and philosophy at Strasburg and Altdorf, and juris-

^f Le Cordelier de Buchanan, fait en François. Geneve, 1567, 4to. The reason for substituting Geneva instead of Orleans is sufficiently obvious.

^g Basileæ, 1566, 4to.—This very obscure poet was illustrated by Canterus at the age of twenty-four. Even at an earlier age, he produced a philological work of no vulgar erudition. (*Novæ Lectiones*. Basil. 1564, 8vo.) Guilielmus Canterus was born at Utrecht in 1542, and died at Louvain in 1575. His portrait may be found in Miræi *Elogia Belgica*, p. 127, and an ample account of his life in Suffridus Petrus *De Scriptoribus Frisiæ*, p. 111. Colon. Agrip. 1593, 8vo. His brother Theodorus Canterus wrote his *Variae Lectiones* at the age of twenty. (Colomesii *Opuscula*, p. 231.) Meursius published an edition of Lycophron at the age of eighteen. Lugd. Bat. 1597, 8vo. Potter published his edition about the same age. The task of illustrating so obscure and difficult a writer would require the most mature earning and judgment.

^h Buchanani *Epistolæ*, p. 6.

prudence at Ingolstad, his literary fame procured him the patronage of the emperor Rodolph; who conferred upon him the honourable title of imperial counsellor, accompanied with considerable emolument. He was undoubtedly a man of no vulgar erudition; but his moral qualities seem to have been of a more dubious nature.ⁱ In his youth, he had embraced the doctrines of the reformation; but as his new creed was not sufficiently adapted to the meridian of Vienna, he reverted to Popery. His sordid love of money exposed him to the derision of Joseph Scaliger; who informs us that although Gifanius was master of twenty-five thousand ducats, he lived in a garret, and, to avoid the expense of company, sent his wife to live at Nuremberg.

Florent Chrestien, whom he mentions as the friend of Buchanan and the translator of some of his writings, was born at Orleans in 1540. His father, whose name was William, and who descended from a noble family of Bretagne, was a favourite physician of Henry the second; and was likewise the author or translator of several

ⁱ Zeidleri Vitæ Professorum Juris in Academia Altdorffina, p. 37. Norimb. 1770, 4to.—A list of books which Gifanius left for publication occurs in the *Amœnitates Literariæ*, tom. xii, p. 589. Bayle, who has given a short account of this scholar, was not aware of the publication of his posthumous production, entitled *Observationes Singulares in Linguam Latinam*. Franc. 1624, 8vo. This work had been pilfered by Scioppius. It is reprinted in the collection of Ketelius, *De Elegantiori Latinitate comparanda Scriptores Selecti*. Amst. 1713, 4to. “Gifanius,” says Christopher Wase, “rei antiquariæ peritia ultra ætatem eminuit.” (*De Legibus et Licentia Veterum Poetarum*, p. 244.)

works. Florent was his mother's fifth child, and was born in the seventh month: in allusion to these circumstances, he assumed, when he wrote in Latin, the name of Quintus Septimius Florens Christianus.^k His royal pupil, afterwards so conspicuous by the name of Henry the Great, is said to have regarded him with little kindness, and to have bestowed upon him with considerable reluctance the office of keeper of the royal library. Chrestien, like his friend Buchanan, had perhaps enforced subordination. At Orleans he was invested with some military command, which he discharged with bravery. Having afterwards retired to Vendôme, he fell into the power of the Leaguers upon the capture of that town; but his pupil soon delivered him by paying his ransom. He was one of the duke of Vendôme's counselors. His character was that of an excellent scholar and a worthy man. He was regarded as one of the best Grecians of the age; and Jos. Scaliger was of opinion that France could not boast of another person who composed in Greek, Latin, and French, with equal felicity. He wrote many poems upon occasional subjects, but only an inconsiderable portion has been printed.^l

^k Jos. Scaligeri Poemata, p. 40. Lugd. Bat. 1615, 16to.

^l Janus Gruterus, or, according to his anagram, *Ranutius Gherus*, has inserted some of Chrestien's Latin verses in the *Delitiæ Poetarum Gallorum*; but many of them had escaped his notice, and many more were never printed. Nine poems written by Chrestien in Greek, Latin, and French, occur in the collection entitled *Christophori Thuani Tumulus*. Lutetiæ, 1583, 4to. He translated the *Cynegetics* of Oppian into French, and various other

His translating those works of Buchanan must have afforded the author no trivial gratification; for Chrestien was both respected and feared by his brother poets. In the year 1596, his life was terminated at Vendôme by a rapid fever.^m The only stain which affixes itself to his memory is that of apostasy. His attachment to the reformed religion had been marked by no inconsiderable zeal; and yet Fronto Ducæus, a learned and honest Jesuit who flourished soon afterwards, alludes to his reconversion as a circumstance well known.ⁿ If such conduct admits of palliation, it was certainly excusable in France after the massacre of St. Bartholomew; and the conversion of Petrus Pithœus, a man equally revered for his probity and learning, had been effected by the same process of reasoning.

Lucas Fruterius, the friend of Gifanius, is likewise entitled to a place among the more remarkable correspondents of Buchanan; to whom he has repeatedly addressed himself in affectionate terms. In an epistle, written, it must be confessed, with sufficient pedantry, he reminds Bucha-

poems into Latin. His version of the Cyclops of Euripides is subjoined to Casaubon *De Satyrica Græcorum Poesi, et Romanorum Satira*. Paris. 1605, 8vo. He likewise translated some of the dramas of Æschylus, Sophocles, and Aristophanes. His version of Musæus is reprinted in Röver's edition of that poet. Lugd. Bat. 1737, 8vo. Some of his epistles occur in the collections of Gabbema and Burman. See also *Epistres Françaises à M. de la Scala*, p. 58, 229, 386.

^m Thuani Hist. sui Temporis, tom. v, p. 643. Sammarthani Elogia, p. 124. Nicéron, *Memoires des Hommes Illustres*, tom. xxxiv, p. 122.

ⁿ Burmanni Sylloge Epistolarum, tom. i, p. 647.

nan of a promise to aid him with his *Verisimilia*, a critical work in which he was then engaged.^o From the same letter it appears that they had been personally acquainted at Paris, subsequently to the nuptials of the Scottish queen. Her marriage was celebrated on the twenty-ninth of July 1565, and the letter of Fruterius was written on the first of February 1566.^p A tradition formerly prevailed that Buchanan stole away from St. Andrews, and, without having communicated the project to any of his friends, made a voyage to France.^q This rumour has been supposed to derive considerable probability from the allusion of his correspondent. Fruterius, a native of Bruges in Flanders, was regarded by his contemporaries as a young man of the highest promise;^r but a fatal accident soon terminated his career. After having heated himself by playing at tennis, he imprudently swallowed a draught of cold water, and was immediately seized with a distemper which his constitution could not resist. He died at Paris in the month of March 1566.^s Although he had scarcely entered the

^o Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 4.

^p Ruddiman's Animadversions, p. 65.

^q "I have heard it related an hundred times," says Mr Ruddiman, "that Buchanan, when principal of St Leonard's College at St Andrews, without acquainting any of his friends of it, did make such a voyage to France." (*Anticrisis*, p. 139.)

^r Thuani Hist. sui Temporis, tom. ii, p. 479. Miræ i Elogia Belgica, p. 202. Antv. 1608, 4to. Andreæ Bibliotheca Belgica, p. 628. Sweertii Athenæ Belgicæ, p. 517. Antv. 1628, fol.

^s Gabbemæ Epistolæ, p. 650.

twenty-fifth year of his age, he had made uncommon progress in the study of philology.^t

The name of Peter Daniel is frequently mentioned with that of Buchanan; with whom he appears to have been intimately connected. At the suggestion of several of their common friends, he addressed a letter to Buchanan for the purpose of urging the impression of those poetical works which had been promised many years before. This letter is short, and indicates the general respect in which his correspondent was held. "Several learned men," says Daniel, "by whom you are very much esteemed, have requested me to stimulate you, by a letter, to the publication of those iambics, epigrams, and odes, which we have now been expecting for the space of nearly ten years. This commission I certainly undertake with cheerfulness; and I adjure you by the sacred rites of the Muses, not to withhold from your friends what will so much conduce to the common advantage of men of letters, but to pro-

^t Janus Dousa published a collection of his writings under the title of "Lucæ Fruterii Brugensis Librorum qui recuperari potuerunt Reliquiæ." Antverpiæ, 1584, 8vo. Prefixed is an epistle from Lipsius to the editor, which begins thus: "Vere mihi sæpe adfirmasti: inter prima ingenia Belgii nostri, imo Galliæ, Lucas Fruterius fuit." Gruterus afterwards published a third book of the *Verisimilia* of Fruterius, and some of his philological epistles. (*Thesaurus Criticus*, tom. v, p. 339, 384.) A long epistle from this young Belgian occurs among those of Muretus. (Lib. i, epist. xxv.) Two of his letters to Guilielmus Canterus may be found in the collection of Simon Abbes Gabbema, entitled "Epistolarum ab Illustribus et Claris Viris scriptarum Centuriæ tres," p. 615, 629. Harlingæ Frisiorum, 1664, 8vo. Some of his unpublished poems are mentioned by Saxius. (*Onomasticon Literarium*, tom. iii, p. 390.)

ceed, when your leisure shall permit, with the plan of collecting your scattered productions. Their impression will be carefully managed by my countryman Mamert Patisson, who has married the widow of Stephanus, and whom you will find extremely disposed to comply with your wishes. Your books *De Sphæra* are also expected with anxiety; and if you likewise transmit to me any other work which you have recently finished, you will at once fulfil both your promises, and preserve your writings from perishing. By this plan, they who ascribe your productions to themselves will be put to the blush; and they will be derided who, under your name, either publish other men's works or their own; as we readily supposed to have been done of late with respect to the verses on the admiral. Farewell, distinguished man. All the learned and pious salute you,^u especially Scaliger, La Hatte,^u and Chrestien. Cujacius is in town, and it is rumoured that he is speedily to open a school of the civil law. If you have made any alterations in your paraphrase of the psalms, let me request you to send them."^x

^u "Nicolai Hattæi Aurelii, regis et Aureliorum ducis secretarii, Carmen ad P. Danielelem civem suum," is prefixed to Daniel's edition of the *Querolus*. Thuanus mentions "Nic. Hata actuarius publicus" as a violent partisan of the League in the year 1587. (*Hist. sui Temporis*, tom. iv, p. 441, 467.)

^x *Buchanani Epistolæ*, p. 12.—This letter is without a date; but Mr Ruddiman rightly conjectures that it must have been written at the end of 1575 or the beginning of 1576. Terrasson has printed an *arrest* of the par-

Daniel was a native of St Benoist sur Loire,^y but the principal part of his life was spent at Orleans. His profession was that of an advocate, and he held the office of *bailli* of the abbey of Fleuri. But he was zealously attached to critical studies, and attained to uncommon familiarity with ancient manuscripts.^z Scioppius characterizes him as a storehouse of every species of antiquities.^a He lived on terms of intimacy with some of the most distinguished scholars of the age: Scaliger and Turnebus acknowledge themselves indebted to him for the communication of his manuscript treasures. After his death, which happened in the year 1603, his manuscript library was purchased by Bongars and Paul Petau, for the sum of fifteen hundred livres.^b His only publications were editions of Petronius,^c Virgil and Servius,^d and of the curious relique entitled *Querolus, sive Aulularia*.^e To this comedy, which

liament, dated 2 April 1576, which authorizes Cujacius to give lectures on the civil law in the university of Paris. (*Hist. de la Jurisprudence Romaine*. Paris, 1750, fol.)

^y Baillet, Jugemens des Sçavans, tom. ii, par. ii, p. 251.

^z “Egregius est adolescens Petrus Daniel Aurelianus, et bonis literis ita deditus, ut nihil aliud in delitiis habere videatur: librorum autem veterum tam cupidus, ut bibliothecas omnes pervestiget, et aliquid semper in lucem proferat, et libros vetustate sepultos velut redivivos hominum lectioni reddat.” (Turnebi *Adversaria*, lib. xxvi, cap. xxi.)

^a Scioppius de Arte Critica, p. 13, edit. Amst. 1662, 8vo.

^b Mabillon de Liturgia Gallicana, præf. Paris. 1685, 4to.

^c Daniel's preface is reprinted in Burman's edition of Petronius *Arbiter*, p. 256. Traj. ad Rhen. 1709, 4to.

^d Parisiis, 1600, fol.

^e Paris. 1564, 8vo. This is the *Aulularia* of Plautus transposed. An-

had not formerly been printed, he prefixed the commendatory verses of Buchanan.^f

Hubert Languet seems likewise to claim a share of our attention. His letter to Buchanan, dated at Delft on the twentieth of February 1581, will illustrate the nature of their connection. “By your virtue, and by the various and noble monuments of your genius, you have rendered yourself so conspicuous in the Christian world, that hardly a single lover of science and literature can be found, who does not regard you with the utmost reverence and admiration. I consider it as an instance of no common felicity, that about twenty years ago, it was my lot not only to see you at Paris, and to enjoy your most pleasant and most learned conversation, but also to entertain you as my guest, together with those distinguished men, Turnebus, Auratus,^g Balduinus the civilian,^h Sambucus the Hungarian,ⁱ Carolus Clu-

other edition was afterwards published by Rittershusius; who has subjoined the same comedy transformed into elegiac verse by Vitalis Blesensis. *Ex typographico H. Commelini*, 1595, 8vo. The notes of Daniel, and his dissertation respecting the author, are likewise retained. Pareus has inserted the prose *Aulularia* in his edition of Plautus, and has strangely enough imputed it to Gildas.

^f Buchananani Opera, tom. ii, p. 102.

^g Bayle, Dictionnaire Historique et Critique, art. *Daurat*. Nicéron, *Memoires des Hommes Illustres*, tom. xxvi, p. 109.—His real name seems to have been Dorat.

^h Bayle, art. *Baudouin*. Nicéron, tom. xxviii, p. 255.

ⁱ Thuanus, tom. iv, p. 252. Boissardus, tom. iii, p. 44. Pope Blount, *Censura Celebriorum Authorum*, p. 551. Lond. 1690, fol. Bullart, *Académie des Sciences*, tom. ii, p. 184. J. Fabricii *Hist. Bibliothecæ Fabricianæ*, tom. iii, p. 465. Bezae *Poemata Varia*, p. 109.

sius,^k and some others. We then heard you discuss various subjects in a manner which tended very much to our edification and delight. To these circumstances I now allude, for the purpose of trying whether I can suggest to your recollection who I am : but whoever I am, assure yourself of my being a very warm admirer of your virtue. For several years, I lived with Philip Melanchthon, and I then seemed to myself to live happily.¹ Having after his decease been exposed to various chances, I have at length betaken myself to these regions, as to a haven more secure than any other that I could find, notwithstanding their having been agitated for many years by the storms of civil war. Even amidst these warlike tumults, the light of the gospel shines forth ; to us is announced the doctrine which points out the true path of salvation ; and while the Spaniards threaten destruction, the superstition which infects their minds is expelled from the churches. It was the prince of Orange, the great ornament of our age, who commanded me to accompany him to this place. Supported by the vigour and acuteness of his mind, he has hitherto maintained such a contest with the formidable power of the Spaniards as has procured him immortal glory. After having under his auspices severed their

^k Meursius, p. 186. Andreas, p. 118. Sweertius, p. 116. Boissardus, tom. ii, p. 3. Bullart, tom. ii, p. 114. Adami Vitæ Germanorum Medicorum, p. 407.

¹ Camerarii Vita Melanchthonis, p. 341. Lipsiæ, 1566, 8vo.

tyrannical empire, these provinces have happily constituted various republics and churches, which being closely leagued together, have hitherto resisted the attacks of the enemy. The king of Spain having for several years endeavoured without success to overwhelm him by force, has at length resorted to arms which do not seem altogether suitable to so great a monarch; he has issued an edict in which he pronounces sentence of prescription, and endeavours, by proposing rewards, to impel assassins to accomplish his murder.^m Since many falsehoods are there alleged against him, he has been advised by his friends to publish an apology, for the purpose of vindicating his innocence against the calumnies of the Spaniards. This apology I transmit to you.ⁿ During the winter, I have lived in these puddles of the Dutch, which nature seems rather to have intended for the habitation of frogs and eels than of men. This town is however very handsome; and at the distance of three hours journey stands Leyden, or *Lugdunum Batavorum*, as they now speak, the residence of Justus Lipsius, Janus Douza the poet, and Donellus the French civilian,^o men of learning and celebrity. From the

^m See Dr Watson's Hist. of Philip II, vol. ii, p. 355, 416.

ⁿ Apologie ou Defense de tresillustre Prince, Guillaume par la Grace de Dieu Prince d'Orange. Delft, 1581, 4to. Pp. 104.—This apology, which was translated into various languages, is supposed to have been drawn up by Languet. (Niceron, tom. iii, p. 305.) Grotius ascribes it to Pierre de Villiers. Dr Watson mentions it as “one of the most precious monuments in history.”

^o Zeidleri Vitæ Professorum Juris in Acad. Altdorf. p. 63.

vicinity of this town, we have a prospect of Rotterdam; a prospect which not only recalls to my memory the great Erasmus, in whom it glories as a citizen, but also you: for I cannot sufficiently express my astonishment, that such horrid places should produce men to whose talents neither our own age, nor that of our fathers or grandfathers, has exhibited a parallel. Erasmus was invited to instruct the youth of Ferdinand brother to the emperor Charles, but he declined this employment. I account you more fortunate and virtuous in not having refused to aid your country, when it called you to imbue the king's tender mind with those precepts which being observed in his riper years, will secure the happiness and prosperity of himself, and of all those to whom his dominion extends. Daniel Rogers, our common friend, who regards you with singular esteem, was four months ago seized by the Germans serving under the king of Spain; nor has the queen of England, who had sent him upon an embassy to the emperor and some other German princes, hitherto been able to obtain his release.^p He was lately reported to have made his escape through the assistance of some woman; but we have heard of his being retaken and committed to more rigorous confinement. I am extremely concerned that such an accident should have befallen a worthy man, with whom I have

^p Langueti Epistolæ ad Sydneium, p. 287.

cultivated a particular intimacy for many years. I am very anxious to learn, provided it should not be disagreeable to you, when you shall publish your Scottish history. From Melville, an excellent man, you may know the state of my affairs. Farewell."^a

This letter must have been highly grateful to Buchanan's feelings: it expressed the warm admiration of a distinguished and truly honest man, whose applause was not rashly bestowed; and it recalled to his memory some of the learned associates of his earlier years. Languet himself was equally conspicuous for his talents and for his virtues. He was the son of Germain Languet, governor of Viteaux in Burgundy; and was born at that place in the year 1518. He prosecuted his studies at Leipzig under the excellent Camerarius, for whom he maintained the highest regard. At an early period of life he had begun to entertain serious doubts respecting the doctrines of Popery; and after having consulted the theologians of that city, he openly embraced the reformed religion. He studied the laws in the university of Padua, where he took the degree of doctor, and afterwards removed to that of Bologna. Prompted by the innate curiosity of a philosophical mind, he determined to visit several other countries, and he even penetrated so far as Lapland. His profession of heretical

^a Buchananani Epistolæ, p. 31. *Clarissimo et Præstantissimo Viro, Domino Georgio Buchanano, domino suo et amico observando.*

opinions rendered his return to France less desirable : the best part of his life was spent among the honest Germans ; and for a long time he exercised the charge of counsellor to the elector of Saxony, by whom he was likewise employed in different embassies. It was apparently during one of his embassies to the court of France, that he became acquainted with Buchanan. Being suspected of having encouraged Gaspar Peucer to publish a Calvinistic exposition of the eucharist, he found it expedient to withdraw himself from the elector's service ; and he now retired to Holland, where he was intrusted by the prince of Orange with the management of some important affairs. He died at Antwerp on the thirtieth of September 1581.^s During his last moments, he was very affectionately attended by the wife of his excellent and accomplished friend Mornay ; and he expressed an earnest wish that her illustrious spouse should, in the next work which he published, commemorate their mutual attachment and regard.^t This dying request Mornay executed in the preface to a Latin translation of his

^r See Moshemii Institutiones Historiæ Ecclesiasticæ, p. 755. Helmstadii, 1755, 4to.

^s Beza has written his epitaph. (*Poemata Varia*, p. 111.)

^t Vie de Philippes de Mornay, Seigneur du Plessis Marlay, p. 57. Leyde, 1647, 4to. Vita Huberti Langueti, p. 151. Halæ, 1700, 12mo.—This account of Languet was written by Philibert de la Mare, a counsellor of Dijon ; who appears from one of his epistles to N. Heinsius, to have been occupied in its composition about the year 1660. (*Burmanni Sylloge Epistolarum*, tom. v, p. 682.) It was edited by J. P. Ludovicus, or Ludwig ; who has not mentioned the name of the author.

treatise “*De la Verité de la Religion Chrestienne.*”^u To his natural endowments, Languet had united much variegated and accurate knowledge; he was well acquainted with books, and still better with the dispositions and manners of mankind. His long experience of public affairs had rendered him a very able politician, without diminishing the candour and probity of his mind. His admirable sagacity was accompanied with the utmost modesty and benevolence. His literary performances exhibit sufficient proofs of a cultivated and elegant mind; and if his active life had been devoted to letters, he might have arrived at high celebrity as a polite writer.^x

^u A translation of this work, begun by Sir Philip Sidney, and at his request completed by Arthur Golding, was published in 1587. See Dr. Zouch’s “*Memoirs of the Life and Writings of Sir Philip Sidney,*” p. 365. York, 1808, 4to.

Among the great men who have cultivated poetry, Sidney enumerates “so piercing wits as George Buchanan; so grave counsellors, as besides many, but before all, that Hospital of France; than whom, I think, that realm never brought forth a more accomplished judgment, more firmly builded upon virtue.” (*Defense of Poesy*, p. 81, edit. Glasg. 1752, 8vo.)

^x The following is a list of the principal works of which Languet is the undisputed author. “*Historica Descriptio susceptæ a Cæsarea Majestate Executionis contra S. Rom. Imperii Rebelles.*” Sine loci indicio, 1568, 1569, 4to. Bremæ, 1735, 4to. The last edition was published by E. G. Coldwey. “*Epistolæ Politicæ et Historicæ ad Philippum Sydneium.*” Franc. 1633, 12mo. “*Epistolæ ad Joachimum Camerarium P. et Joachimum Camerarium F.*” Groningæ, 1646, 12mo. “*Epistolæ Secretæ ad Augustum Saxonie Ducem.*” Halæ, 1699, 4to. Of the epistles to Sir Philip Sidney a valuable edition was published by the late Lord Hailes. Edinb. 1776, 8vo.

Languet is the reputed author of other two publications. “*De Furoribus Gallicis Vera et Simplex Narratio, Ernesto Varamundo Frisio auctore.*” Edimburgi, 1573, 4to. “*Vindiciæ contra Tyrannos: sive de Principis in Populum, Populique in Principem, Legitima Potestate,* Stephano Junio

Besides the continental scholars who have already been enumerated, there were various others who regarded Buchanan with particular affection: and whatever may be the notion of some writers of the present age, certain it is that his character excited the respect, and even the veneration, of contemporaries highly distinguished by their moral virtues, and by their intellectual endowments. Daniel Rogers informed him that in Holland he had many zealous admirers, and, among the chief of them, Janus Dousa, and Philippe de Marnix de Ste. Aldegonde; men equally illustrious by the nobility of their birth, and by the superiority of their attainments. "The former of these," he subjoins, "I introduced to your acquaintance while you were residing in Paris; and I now at his particular request transmit to you a copy of his poems, which have recently been published. The latter, whose intrinsic merit has rendered him the favourite of a most excellent prince,^y you also knew at Paris. When I lately returned from my embassy to that prince, he addressed to you the letter which accompanies this."^z Janus Dousa was, like Buchanan, a poet and a states-

Bruto Celta auctore." *Edimburgi*, 1579, 8vo. His biographer contends that the first of these compositions could not proceed from the pen of Languet, because it betrays great ignorance of French affairs. (*Vita Huberti Langueti*, p. 68.) But this reasoning is fallacious; for if Languet had undertaken so hazardous a work, it must have been a principal object of his care to preserve the assumed character of a foreigner.

^y The prince of Orange.

^z *Buchanani Epistolæ*, p. 13.

man. He enjoyed some of the highest civil honours which his country could bestow; and having been appointed governor of Leyden, he defended it during a memorable siege with distinguished bravery. He was one of the first curators of the university founded in that city in 1575. His moral character seems to have been blameless; and he held a very respectable station among the scholars of that learned age. His merits are highly celebrated in the poems of Jos. Scaliger, Grotius, Heinsius, and Baudius. His reading, according to Meursius, was multifarious, his memory almost incredible: he was the Varro of Holland, and the common oracle of the university. Nor was he more conspicuous for his learning than for his humanity, candour, urbanity, and modesty.^a His amiable family was singularly attached to letters: five of his sons, namely, Janus, Francis, George, Stephen, and Theodore, were known as authors; and the Latin poems of the first, who died before he had completed his twenty-sixth year, have been preferred by Grotius to those of his father.^b Ste. Aldegonde, another of Buchanan's friends, has also been classed among the illustrious characters of that age.^c He was of French lineage, but was a native of Brussels; and after having distinguish-

^a Meursii Athenæ Batavæ, p. 89. Lugd. Bat. 1625, 4to.

^b Grotius de Rebus Belgicis, p. 267. Amst. 1657, fol.

^c Bayle, art. *Sainte-Aldegonde*.

ed himself as a politician and a man of letters, he died at Leyden in 1598. He was well acquainted with jurisprudence and theology, with the Hebrew, Greek, and Latin, as well as with several of the living languages. At the time of his death, he was engaged in a Flemish translation of the scriptures. Grotius has repeatedly mentioned him in very respectful terms; and his epitaph was written by Heinsius in a strain of high admiration.^d These were individuals entitled to Buchanan's esteem, and he certainly was not unworthy of theirs. In the same epistle, which bears the date of August the thirtieth 1576, Rogers alludes to his friendship with another scholar of distinction. "Joannes Sturmius, in a letter which I lately received, earnestly entreats me to send him some intelligence respecting you. I now forward a letter of his, which however is of an old date." Sturmius was at the period of that correspondence rector of the College of Strasburg; which under his auspices became the most flourishing in Germany. He was equally distinguished by his skill in ancient literature, and by his familiarity with the political affairs of his own times. Such was the benevolence of his disposition, that his house was regarded as a common asylum for exiles, and a retreat for poor strangers: those in particular who had abandoned their country from motives of

^d Heinsii Auriacus, sive Libertas Saucia: accedunt ejusdem Iambi, p. 121. Lugd. Bat. 1602, 4to.

conscience, he entertained with unbounded generosity.^e As an elegant writer, he has received very high commendation.^f His intercourse with Buchanan and Ascham contributed to render his name familiar to the scholars of this island. Among the epigrams of Buchanan are three inscriptions for the portrait of Sturmius.^g

Roger Ascham, the accomplished friend of Sturmius, must not be excluded from the present enumeration. Buchanan and he were personally acquainted,^h and they have celebrated each other in their respective writings. One of Buchanan's epigrams is addressed "Ad Rogerum Aschamum Anglum, qui librumⁱ cum honorifico elogio, et

* An account of Sturmius may be found in the curious work of Bayle, and in many other biographical collections. Lord Monboddo speaks of "one Sturmius, a German." (*Origin and Progress of Language*, vol. iii, p. 390.) His illustrations of the rhetorical productions of Aristotle, Hermogenes, and Cicero, might alone have recommended him to his lordship's acquaintance. Among other original works, he published treatises "De Periodis," "De Imitatione Oratoria," and "De Amissa Dicendi Genere." Beza has written his epitaph in terms of high respect. (*Poemata Varia*, p. 135.)

^f Sambucus de Imitatione Ciceroniana, f. 47, b. Paris. 1561, 8vo. Bergerus de Naturali Pulchritudine Orationis. p. 707. Lipsiæ, 1720, 4to.

^g Buchanani Icones, p. 91.

^h Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 30.

ⁱ This book I have accidentally discovered in Williams's Library. It is a copy of the work of Fulvius Ursinus, entitled *Virgilius Collatione Scriptorum Græcorum Illustratus*. Antv. 1567, 8vo. The title-page is confronted with the subsequent inscription. "Rogerus Aschamus Georgio Buchanano, Anglus Scoto, amicus amico, hunc poetam omnis veteris memoriæ optimum, poetæ hujus nostræ ætatis optimo, amoris ergo, dono dat: cum hoc monasticho:

"Φίλον φίλα μνημόσυνον εὐμενῶς δέχῃ."

This inscription is dated at Hampton Court, on the twentieth of November 1568. Ascham died on the thirtieth of the following month. Buchanan's epigram, written with his own hand, occurs at the end of this precious volume.

sui amoris significatione miserat.”^k Ascham, the preceptor, and afterwards the Latin secretary of Queen Elizabeth, appears to have been a very amiable, though not a very prudent character. Notwithstanding the elegance of his taste, he was immoderately addicted to the degrading amusements of dice and cock-fighting; and as he was an honest man, his losses were so considerable, that he lived and died in poverty, or at least not in affluence.^l A German critic of various erudition pronounced him the only Englishman who had caught any considerable portion of the genuine diction of antiquity.^m The elegance of his English productions is much superior to the common standard of the age: his *Schole Master* is equally valuable as a specimen of style, and as a treatise of practical application. In the composition of Latin verse he attained to less proficiency.ⁿ

^k Buchanani Epigram, lib. i, 39.

^l Camdeni Annales, vol. i, p. 177, edit. Hearnii.

^m Morhofius de Pura Dictione Latina, p. 41. Hanov. 1725, 8vo.—“In Scota gente,” says Morhoff, “plures fuere, qui linguæ Latinæ studiosiores fuere, quam in Anglis.” I subjoin the testimony of his countryman Pufendorf: “Est quoque Scotorum gens ingeniorum præstantissimorum ferax, et maxime Latinæ linguæ cognitione illustrium. Nam cum in reliquis Europæ partibus bonarum artium disciplina barbarie oppressa jaceret, diu in Scotia viguit: quippe quæ reliquis gentibus complures doctores et magistros eruditione conspicuos suppeditavit dicam an commodavit.” (*Introductio ad Historiam Europæam*, p. 201.)

ⁿ The Latin epistles and poems of Ascham were published by Dr Edward Grant, master of Westminster school, who has prefixed an account of the author’s life. Lond. 1576, 8vo. The collection has frequently been reprinted. The best edition of the epistles is that of Mr Elstob, who has however omitted Ascham’s verses. Oxon. 1703, 8vo. Dr Johnson’s life

Walter Haddon, LL. D. was another English scholar of reputation with whom Buchanan seems to have maintained a familiar intercourse. To that learned man he addressed the first of his iambics, when he was verging towards the sixtieth year of his age. Haddon, who descended from a genteel family in Buckinghamshire, had contributed with Ascham, Cheke, and Smith, to reform the university of Cambridge from monkish barbarism. He was master of Trinity Hall at Cambridge, and afterwards president of Magdalen College at Oxford; and on the accession of Elizabeth, he was appointed one of the masters of the court of requests.^o The style of his Latin prose is not inelegant; but he was much less successful as a versifier.—Dr Jewel, the famous bishop of Salisbury, has also been enumerated among the learned men with whom he maintained a literary correspondence;^p but this sugges-

of Ascham was prefixed to the collection of his English works, published by James Bennet. Lond. 1761, 4to. One of his productions has escaped the researches of this biographer. It is entitled “*Apologia doctissimi viri Rogeri Aschami, Angli, pro Cœna Dominica, contra Missam et ejus Præstigiis.*” Lond. 1577, 8vo. This work was likewise edited by Dr Grant.

^o Biographia Britannica, vol. iv, p. 2458.—The court of requests was instituted about the ninth of Henry VII, and was dissolved by statute 16 Car. I. c. 10. Of this court, which professed to distribute justice gratuitously, the lord privy seal was chief judge; and was assisted by the two masters of the requests, who in general seem to have been civilians. “Therein for the most part,” says Sir Thomas Ridley, “are handled poor miserable persons causes, as widdows and orphans, and other distressed people, whose cases wholly rely on piety and conscience.” (*View of the Civile and Ecclesiasticall Law*, p. 395, 4th edit. Oxford, 1675, 8vo.)

^p Sibbaldi Comment. in Vitam Buchanani, p. 60.—One of Buchanan’s English friends was Edward Bulkeley, D.D. a clergyman of Shrewsbury,

tion seems merely to have originated from Buchanan's having celebrated him in two funeral inscriptions. These inscriptions were first published by his biographer Dr Humphrey; who has exhibited the similar contributions of many other scholars.^q

Sir Anthony Cooke and his learned daughters are highly extolled in the poems of Buchanan.^r Cooke had been associated with Sir John Cheke as one of the preceptors of Edward the sixth;^s and his virtue and erudition entitled him to so important a trust. With this most accomplished family,^t Buchanan perhaps became acquainted during his political visit to London in the year 1568. Mildred Cooke, the eldest daughter, was the second wife of the famous Lord Burleigh.^u

(Buchanani *Epistolæ*, p. 30.) The Bodleian catalogue ascribes to him two controversial works in English. I have a curious collection edited by Dr Bulkeley under the title of *Speculum Ecclesiæ Pontificiæ*. Lond. 1606, 8vo. The principal tract in the volume is that of Nicolaus Clemangis *De Corrupto Ecclesiæ Statu*.

^q Humfredi Vita Joannis Juelli. Lond. 1573, 4to.—Dr Laurence Humphrey is the author of several works. The most remarkable of them is entitled *Interpretatio Linguarum: seu de Ratione Convertendi et Explicandi Autores tam Sacros quam Profanos, libri tres*. Basil. 1559, 8vo. This production displays considerable learning, but not much precision of thought, or elegance of diction. He was regius professor of divinity, and president of Magdalen College, at Oxford: he likewise enjoyed the deanery of Gloucester, and afterwards that of Winchester. His portrait may be found in Holland's *Herologia Anglica*, p. 207. Lond. 1620, fol.

^r Buchanani Epigram. lib. i, 53, lib. iii, 12, 13, 14, 17.

^s Strype's Life of Sir John Cheke, p. 28. Lond. 1705, 8vo.

^t Ballard's Memoirs of Learned Ladies, p. 182, 188, 194. Oxford, 1752, 4to.

^u "Prætereo filias," says Dr Humphrey, "Antonii Coki equestri ordinis dignitate clari, linguarum ornamentis clarioris, religionis fideique laude

Buchanan congratulates her on having produced a poem more precious than gold; and her proficiency in the Greek language was so considerable, that she translated a work of Chrysostom into English.^x The poet, who seems to have been repeatedly indebted to her munificence, has addressed her in several epigrams. Anne the second daughter, who was married to Sir Nicholas Bacon, is likewise celebrated for her uncommon skill in the classical languages.^y She translated from the Italian twenty-five sermons of Ochino, and from the Latin the famous apology of Bishop Jewel for the church of England. Both her versions were published. When she communicated her manuscript to the learned prelate, she accompanied it with an epistle written in Greek.^z But it is her highest praise that she was the mo-

clarissimi, Joannis Cheki in Edovardi sexti pueritia informanda collegæ: quæ parentem suum vere referunt, Latinis Græcisque literis eruditæ, quarum Gulielmi Cicilii electissimi viri uxor Græcam linguam perbene exacteque callere dicitur." (*De Ratione Interpretandi*, præf.) The father is mentioned by Sleidan, *De Statu Religionis et Reipublicæ*, f. 481, edit. Argent. 1557, 8vo. An epistle to Cooke from Cælius Secundus Curio is prefixed to the work of his colleague Cheke, *De Pronunciatione Græcæ potissimum Linguae*. Basil. 1555, 8vo. See also Aschami *Epistolæ*, p. 395, 414, 418, 427, 429, edit. Elstob, Fuller's *Hist. of the Worthies of England*, p. 327, and Tanner's *Bibliotheca Britannico-Hibernica*, p. 197. Lond. 1748, fol. Cooke is by some writers supposed to be the author of a work published at Strasburg, under the title of "Diallacticon de Veritate, Natura, atque Substantia Corporis et Sanguinis Christi in Eucharistia." (Placcii *Theatrum Anon. et Pseudon.* tom. i, p. 107. Blackburne's *Memoirs of Thomas Hollis, Esq.* vol. ii, p. 565.)

^x Peck's *Desiderata Curiosa*, vol. i, p. 7.

^y Caius de *Libris suis*, f. 12, b. Lond. 1570, 8vo.

^z Strype's *Life of Archbishop Parker*, p. 178. Lond. 1711, fol.

ther and early instructor of the great Lord Bacon.

Daniel Rogers, whom the English court employed in various embassies, appears to have been one of Buchanan's particular friends. A greater number of the letters which passed between them has been preserved, than of those between Buchanan and any other of his correspondents. He was the son of John Rogers, a Protestant clergyman, and of Adriana de Weyden. His father had conducted him to Frankfort at an early age; and he there obtained a familiar acquaintance with the classical languages. Returning to his native country at the commencement of Queen Elizabeth's reign, he prosecuted his studies at Oxford. He married the daughter of Nicasius Yetswiert, French secretary to the queen, and one of the clerks of the signet; and by means of this connection was introduced to the notice of the court. He was appointed one of the clerks of the privy council.^a Rogers is represented as a man of an excellent character, and he was undoubtedly possessed of talents and learning.^b

^a Wood's *Athenæ Oxonienses*, vol. i, col. 199.

^b Three Latin poems by Rogers are inserted in Ortelius's *Theatrum Orbis Terrarum*, edit. Antv. 1579, fol.: nine in Latin, and one in Greek, are published in Humphrey's *Vita Joannis Juelli*. Many of his occasional verses occur in other books. See Dousæ *Poemata*, p. 470, *Epistolæ Selectiores*, p. 667, Meursii *Athenæ Batavæ*, p. 28, Hearnii *Præf. in Camdeni Annales*, p. cxxxix, and Lundorpius's continuation of Sleidan, tom. ii. "De veterum Britannorum moribus et legibus," says Ortelius, "scripsit commentarium Daniel Rogersius cognatus meus. Idem de Romanorum in Britannia imperio præ manibus habet." (*Theatrum Orbis Terrarum*, f. 10.)

Sir Thomas Randolph, LL. D. whose name is familiar to the readers of Scottish history, was also a warm admirer of Buchanan's genius and virtues. He was the son of Avery Randolph of Badlesmere in Kent; prosecuted his studies in Christ Church, Oxford; and about the period when he took the degree of bachelor of laws, was made a notary public. In 1549, he was appointed principal of Broadgate Hall, which was afterwards transformed into Pembroke College; and he retained the office till 1553. In the reign of Elizabeth, he was employed in various embassies to Scotland, France, and Russia.^c Nor were his faithful services unrewarded; he received the honour of knighthood, and enjoyed the office of chamberlain of the exchequer, and that of comptroller general of the post-horses.^d Of the epistles of Buchanan and Randolph, only two have been preserved. Buchanan's is written in the Scottish,^e

In the Cotton Library is a quarto MS. entitled "Danielis Rogersii Angli Antiquæ Britanniae Observationes [*manu propria*]." At p. 89, occurs a division of the work, entitled "Politia, seu Documenta Administrationis Romanæ in Britanniiis." These observations, which merely consist of digested extracts from ancient and modern writers, were apparently never intended for publication. Rogers was a very intimate friend of Janus Dousa; who has dedicated to him his *Præcidanea pro Satyrico Petronii Arbitri*, and addressed him in several of his poems. (Dousæ *Poemata*, p. 5, 18, 174, 185, 604.)

^c Some papers of Randolph may be found in Hakluyt's *Voyages and Discoveries of the English Nation*, p. 399. Lond. 1589, fol. Buchanan has addressed to him his verses on the character of a good king, and has written the epitaph of his lady, Anne Walsingham. (*Icones*, p. 89. *Miscell.* xxvii.)—

^d Wood's *Athenæ Oxonienses*, vol. i, col. 195.

^e Buchanan's letter, which occurs in Mr Ruddiman's preface, p. xix,

and Randolph's in the English language. In the collection there is a French letter of Buchanan, addressed to M. de Sigongues, who had been governor, while Buchanan was preceptor, to Timoleon de Cossé,^f and who was afterwards governor of the city and castle of Dieppe. These two are the only epistles of his which are not written in Latin. The correspondence of Buchanan^g forms a very inconsiderable volume; nor can it be sufficiently regretted that there is little probability of its ever being augmented.

Though so small a portion of his correspondence has been preserved, it is certain that his

is not unworthy of attention. "To Maister Randolf Squiar, Maister of Postes to the Quenes Grace of Ingland. Maister, I haif resavit diverse letters frome you, and yit I have ansourit to naine of thayme: of the quhylke albeit I haif mony excusis, as age, forgetfulnes, besines, and disease, yit I wyl use nane as now, except my sweirness and your gentilnes; and geif ye thynk nane of theise sufficient, content you with ane confession of the falt w^tout fear of punition to follow on my onkindnes. As for the present, I am occupiit in writyng of our historie, being assurit to content few, and to displease mony tharthrow. As to the end of it, yf ye gett it not or thys winter be passit, lippin not for it, nor nane other writyngs from me. The rest of my occupation is wyth the gout, quhilk haldis me besy both day and ny^t. And quhair ye say ye haif not lang to lyif, I traist to God to go before yow, albeit I be on fut, and ye ryd *the post*: praying you als not to *dispost* my hoste at Newwerk, Jone of Kelsterne. Thys I pray you, partly for his awyne sake, quhame I tho^t ane gud fellow, and partly at request of syk as I dar no^t refuse. And thus I tak my leif shortly at you now, and my lang leif quhen God pleasis, committing you to the protection of the almy^ty. At Sterling xxv. day of August, 1577.

Yours to command w^t service,

G. BUCHANAN."

^f Brantome, Vies des Hommes Illustres, tom. iii, p. 409.

^g His correspondence was originally collected by Dr Oliphant, and published under the title of "Georgii Buchanani Scoti ad Viros sui seculi Clarissimos, eorumque ad eundem, Epistolæ." Lond. 1711, 8vo.

intercourse with learned foreigners was very extensive: and he may be supposed to have been acquainted with most of the remarkable scholars of whom his country could then boast, with the exception however of such as were separated from him by theological and political prejudices. The celebrated John Knox, who had likewise been a pupil of Mair at St Andrews,^h seems to have belonged to the number of his friends.ⁱ The talents of Knox, if we may judge from their effects, were powerful and commanding: his share of acquired knowledge was far from being inconsiderable; his eloquence was vehement and impressive; his vernacular style is copious, forcible, and, for the age in which he lived, not inelegant.^k He died at Edinburgh in the month

^h See Dr M'Crie's Life of Knox, vol. i, p. 7.

ⁱ Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 8. Bezae Epistolæ Theologicæ, p. 336.

^k King James, if we may rely on John Barclay, regarded Knox as a *warlock*. "Ut de cæteris sileam, Knoxium (quem Beza Apostolum Scotiæ vocat) non impium modo fuisse, sed magum, serenissimus Britanniarum rex sæpe magnis argumentis asseruit." (*Parænesis ad Sectarios*, p. 38. Romæ, 1617, 8vo.) Calvin and Beza seem to have regarded him in a very different light. Two epistles from Calvin to Knox, and one from Knox to Calvin, are preserved. (*Calvini Epistolæ*, p. 460, 461, 503, edit. Lausan. 1576, 8vo.) Two of the epistles of Beza are addressed to this Scottish apostle. (*Bezae Epistolæ Theologicæ*, p. 333, 344. Genevæ, 1573, 8vo.) One of them opens in an elegant strain of affection. "Etsi tanto terrarum et maris ipsius intervallo disjuncti corporibus sumus, mi Cnoxe, tamen minime dubito quin inter nos semper viguerit et ad extremum vigeat summa illa animorum conjunctio, unius ejusdemque spiritus fideique vinculo sancita." A high eulogium of Knox occurs in Beza's *Icones Virorum Illustrum*, sig. Ee. iij. Genevæ, 1580, 4to. Of this work a French version was published under the title of *Les Vrais Pourtraits des Hommes Illustres en Picté et Doctrine*. Geneve, 1581, 4to. In the translation, which Senebier ascribes to Simon Goulart, are inserted original verses on Knox, Patrick Hamilton,

of November 1572, and the Papists immediately began to revile his memory in a most indecent manner. Archibald Hamilton, one of their most bitter revilers, attempted to involve Buchanan in the same infamy.¹ His work was formally refuted by Thomas Smeton, principal of the university of Glasgow; who has vindicated the character of Knox with great zeal and success. Smeton has incidentally extolled Buchanan as the glory of the age, as a miracle of erudition, as the prince and parent of all learning and of all the learned, as an exemplar of ancient virtue and piety, as an ornament to Scotland and to human nature.^m

Andrew Melvin, principal of New College, St Andrews, is entitled to a place among the accomplished friends of Buchanan. He was himself a Latin poet of no mean character; and he composed many verses in celebration of Buchanan, whom he addresses as his preceptor, and the parent of the Muses. Melvin was a stern and undaunted presbyter. When cited before the king and privy council, to answer to the charge of sedition, he deported himself with a degree of

Adam Wallace, and Alexander Aless. A remarkable passage respecting Knox occurs in Milton's *Areopagitica*. Lond. 1644, 4to.

¹ Hamiltonius de Confusione Calvinianæ Sectæ apud Scotos. Paris. 1577, 8vo.

^m Smetonii ad Virulentum Hamiltonii Dialogum Orthodoxa Responsio, p. 44, 89. Edinb. 1579, 4to.—Hamilton replied in a work entitled "*Calvinianæ Confusionis Demonstratio, contra maledicam Ministrorum Scotiæ Responsionem*." Paris. 1581, 8vo.

resolution which bordered on extreme insolence.ⁿ It was his duty to teach theology to the students of his college; but he was apt to discuss some of the great topics of political science, with a freedom of sentiment which he had perhaps imbibed from his illustrious friend. It was alleged by Archbishop Spotswood that his pupils bestowed more attention on Buchanan's political dialogue, than on Calvin's theological institution.^o This poetical and political divine was a man of powerful talents; profoundly skilled in the Hebrew, Greek, and Latin languages.^p But his fervent admiration of a particular form of ecclesiastical polity betrayed him into considerable excesses. Buchanan seems to have entertained no mean opinion of his literature; and Melvin's attachment to his preceptor was filial and enthusiastic.^q One of Melvin's literary friends has related an anecdote which exhibits the character of the illustrious historian in a very favourable point of view. Thomas Jack, who for some time was a

ⁿ Stuart's Hist. of Scotland, vol. ii, p. 258.

^o Spotswood, *Refutatio Libelli de Regimine Ecclesiæ Scoticanæ*, p. 67, Lond. 1620, 8vo.

^p Archbishop Spotswood, who cannot be suspected of any undue prejudice in his favour, speaks of him in the following terms. "Redit in patriam Andreas Melvinus bonis literis excultus, et trium linguarum, quarum eo seculo ignorantia, illi famam et tantum non admirationem apud omnes peperit, callentissimus." (*Ibid.* p. 31.)

^q I have much pleasure in announcing that a copious and elaborate life of Andrew Melvin will soon be published by the Rev. Dr M'Crie; to whom, I must also add, I am indebted for the most obliging communication of various notices.

schoolmaster at Glasgow, informs us that he waited upon Buchanan to request he would revise the manuscript of his *Onomasticon Poeticum*;^q and that he then experienced his friendly disposition, as he had frequently done on former occasions. “I found him,” says Jack, “in the royal palace of Stirling, diligently engaged in writing his history of Scotland. He was so far from being displeased with my interruption, that he cheerfully took my work into his hands, and after continuing to read two or three pages of it, he collected together his own papers, which were scattered on the table, and said, I will desist from my undertaking till I have done what you wish. This promise he accurately performed; and, within a few days, gave me a paper written with his own hand, and containing such corrections as he thought necessary.”^r

Buchanan’s benevolence and urbanity, united to his unrivalled intelligence, seem to have rendered his familiarity highly grateful to ingenuous and aspiring youth; and it unquestionably

^q *Onomasticon Poeticum* : sive *Propriorum* quibus in suis *Monumentis* usi sunt *Veteres Poetæ*, *Brevis Descriptio Poetica*, *Thoma Jacchæo Caledonio* authore. *Edinburgi*, excudebat *Robertus Waldegrave*, 1592, 4to.

^r “*Cujus amicum in me animum*,” says Jack in his dedication, “*cum sæpius ante, tum eo præsertim tempore expertus sum. Rerum enim Scotticarum historiam Sterlini in regiis ædibus diligenter scribentem interpellavi. Tantum abest, meam interpellationem ægre tulerit, ut libellum hilariter amplexus, duabus aut tribus paginis sine intermissione perlectis, ac omnibus sui operis chartis, quæ tunc temporis dissolutæ in mensa jacebant, ilico collectis: ego meis, inquit, desistam cœptis, donec quod tu velis, tibi effectum reddam.*”

afforded him a generous pleasure to mark and accelerate the progress of the tender mind.* The premature death of Alexander Cockburn he has commemorated in terms so remote from vulgar regret, that it would be unpardonable in his biographer to leave unnoticed what was apparently so interesting to his feelings.

Omnia quæ longa indulget mortalibus ætas,
 Hæc tibi, Alexander, prima juventa dedit.
 Cum genere et forma generoso stemmate digna,
 Ingenium velox, ingenuumque animum.
 Excoluit virtus animum, ingeniumque Camcenæ
 Successu, studio, consilioque pari.
 His ducibus primum peragrata Britannia, deinde
 Gallia ad armiferos qua patet Helvetios :^t
 Doctus ibi linguas, quas Roma, Sion, et Athenæ,
 Quas cum Germano Gallia docta sonat.
 Te licet in prima rapuerunt fata juventa,
 Non immaturo funere raptus obis.
 Omnibus officiis vitæ qui functus obivit,
 Non fas est vitæ de brevitate queri.^u

Not satisfied with this enviable tribute, he has

* "Erat enim vir ille," says Alexander Yule, who in his youth had been personally acquainted with Buchanan, "ea ingenii dexteritate, ut cum pueris repuerascere, et ad omnes omnium ætatum usus modeste et sapienter sese accommodare et posset et vellet." (*Julii Ecphrasis Paraphrascos G. Buchanani in Psalmos Davidis*, epist. nunc. Lond. 1620, 8vo.)

^t On the subject of closing a pentameter verse with a polysyllable, consult the younger Burman's preface to Lotichii *Poemata Omnia*. Amst. 1754, 2 tom. 4to.

^u *Buchanani Epigram. lib. ii, 26.*—In this conclusion there is an obvious coincidence with a verse of Lotichius, *Elegiarum lib. iv, el. v, v. 64.*

Si tamen exactæ considero facta juventæ,
 Nil potes hac vitæ de brevitate queri.

anxiously devoted another elegant little poem to the commemoration of talents and virtues, which might otherwise have remained without a lasting memorial.^x Some of his expressions seem to elevate this youthful prodigy to a competition with the admirable Crichton; nor can it fail to excite the most poignant regret, that intellectual splendour capable of attracting the admiration of Buchanan, should thus have been extinguished in its earliest dawn. Alexander Cockburn, for the subject cannot be dismissed but with reluctance, is said to have died in the year 1572, at the age of twenty-five.^y Dempster, the suspicious author of this report, likewise affirms that he composed various works, and that some of them had fallen under his own inspection. Hume of Godscroft commemorates the premature death of an Alexander Cockburn, son to Cockburn of Langton.^z

The admirable Crichton is mentioned by Aldus Manutius as a pupil of Buchanan; but the history of this extraordinary character is involved in so much obscurity, that it is not easy to verify the assertion. Buchanan must have resigned his office at St Andrews when Crichton was only about ten years of age. But from the dedication

^x Buchanan Miscell. xii.

^y Dempsteri Hist. Ecclesiast. Gent. Scotor. p. 183.

^z Humii Poemata, p. 110. Paris. 1639, 8vo.—Daniel Rogers mentions a Captain Cockburn, who seems to have been one of Buchanan's friends. See the epistle inserted in Mr Ruddiman's preface, p. xx.

of Manutius's edition of Cicero's *Paradoxa*, it may be inferred that Crichton had induced his Italian friend to believe he was educated along with the young king of Scotland;^a nor does this seem to have been the only misrepresentation into which his vanity betrayed him.

The infirmities of age, and a multiplicity of engagements, did not render Buchanan unmindful of his literary character. Having prepared his tragedy of *Baptistes* for the press, he dedicated it to the young king in the year 1576. The dedication, dated at Stirling on the first of November, is characterized by a manly freedom of sentiment which has never been surpassed on a similar occasion. "Since I have been employed in your education, all my compositions approach you with familiarity, salute you, converse with you, and repose under the shade of your protection; and, for many reasons, this my *Baptistes* seems more confidently to demand the patronage of your name. Although an abortive one, it is yet my first production; and it called the youth from the vulgar strains of dramatic poetry to the imitation of antiquity, and strenuously endeavoured to excite in their minds a regard for piety, which at that time was almost every where banished. But this circumstance may seem to bear a more peculiar reference to you, that it clearly

^a Manutius's dedication to Crichton, together with four Latin poems by the latter, may be found in Grævius's edition of Cicero *De Officiis*, &c. Amst. 1688, 8vo. See Appendix, No. x.

discloses the punishment of tyrants, and the misery which awaits them even when their prosperity seems at the height. That you should now acquire such knowledge, I consider as not only expedient but even necessary ; in order that you may early begin to hate what you ought ever to shun. I therefore wish this work to remain as a witness to posterity, that, if impelled by evil counsellors, or suffering the licentiousness of royalty to prevail over a virtuous education, you should hereafter be guilty of any improper conduct, the fault may be imputed, not to your preceptors, but to you, who have not obeyed their salutary admonitions. May the Lord grant a more favourable issue ; and, according to the expression of Sallust, convert your virtuous habits into nature. This is what I, along with many others, both hope and wish. Farewell."

The precarious state of Buchanan's health did not permit him to complete his poem *De Sphæra*, which he had begun several years before. In the month of September 1576, he informed Tycho Brahe that during the two preceding years he had been so severely afflicted with violent diseases, as hardly to be able to devote a single hour to composition ; so that besides other projects of less moment, he was compelled to relinquish his astronomical poem in an unfinished state, and even to abandon the hope of renewing his poetical efforts.^b At the distance of three years,

^b Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 14.

he again alludes to the frustration of this plan with some degree of regret.^c A fragment of the poem was inserted in an edition of his poetical works which appeared after his decease;^d and in 1586, as much as the author had completed was published by Robert Howie, who was then a student at Herborn, and was afterwards principal of New College, St Andrews.^e Another edition was published in the course of the following year by his learned preceptor Pincier, who has added supplements to the fourth and fifth books.^f The

* "Astronomica," says Buchanan, "non tam abjeci, quam extorqueri invitus tuli; neque enim aut nunc libet nugari, aut si maxime vellem, per ætatem licet." (*Epistolæ*, p. 25.)

^d Genevæ, 1584, 8vo.

^e *Sphæra Georgii Buchanani Scoti, Poetarum nostri seculi facile Principis, quinque libris descripta: nunc primum e tenebris eruta et luce donata.* Herbornæ, apud Christophorum Corvinum, 1586, 8vo.—The dedication to John count of Nassau is subscribed Robertus Hovæus Scotus. Howie is the author of a work entitled "De Reconciliatione Hominis cum Deo, seu de Humani Generis Redemptione, Tractatio Theologica." Basil. 1591, 4to. His name occurs in Tomasini's *Parnassus Euganeus, sive de Scriptoribus ac Literatis hujus Ævi Claris*, p. 8. Patavii, 1647, 4to.

^f *Sphæra, a Georgio Buchanano Scoto, Poetarum nostri seculi facile Principe, quinque libris descripta, multisque in locis ex collatione aliorum exemplorum integritati restituta: cui accessere libri quarti et quinti quos autor non absolverat, Supplementa, autore Johanne Pinciero, Aulæ Dillebergensis Medico.* Herbornæ, 1587, 8vo.—Pincier published a corrected edition of his arguments and supplements, at the end of his *Parerga Otii Marpurgensis Philologica.* Herb. 1617, 8vo. This philological work includes many occasional remarks on Buchanan. He is the author of several other publications, and among the rest, of a curious poem which bears the title of "*Otium Marpurgense, in sex libros digestum: quibus fabrica corporis humani, insertis passim disputationibus, historiis, et fabulis ad rem pertinentibus, facili ac perspicuo carmine describitur.*" Herb. 1614, 8vo. In one of the epigrams prefixed, he records some particulars of his own history. Pincier was born at Wettera in the year 1556, but the time of his

same deficiencies were afterwards supplied by Adam King, a Scottish advocate, who has composed several other poems in the Latin language.^s Though the efforts of these two poets are not despicable, they evidently serve as a foil to the more happy effusions of Buchanan. That he did not himself complete so remarkable a production, must excite considerable regret. To invest so intricate a subject with the precision of science, and with the allurements of poetry, certainly required talents of no ordinary denomination. His versification is elegant and lofty. In illustrating some of the abstruser parts of astronomy, he evinces a happy dexterity peculiar to himself. His acquaintance with the dogmas of ancient philosophy was familiar; and if he has occasionally been betrayed into a radical error in science, it must be remembered that he wrote in

death is uncertain. (Freheri *Theatrum Virorum Eruditione Clarorum*, p. 1305.)

^s King likewise illustrated this poem of Buchanan with a commentary, which Mr Ruddiman has characterized as “*luculentum admodum omnigenæque eruditionis copia refertum.*” Though he certainly intended it for publication, it never made its appearance; but the manuscript is preserved in the library of the university of Edinburgh. His poems occur in the *Delitiæ Poetarum Scotorum*, tom. ii, p. 201. He published a Scottish translation of the catechism of Canisius. Dempster, who imputes to him other works, has extolled him as a miracle of learning. “*Adamus Regius, vulgo Kyng, Edimburgensis, bonis artibus instructissimus, ad miraculum usque doctus, maximo auditorum concursu philosophiam Parisiis docuit, et [disciplinas] mathematicas, in quibus facile eo sæculo princeps habebatur.*” (*Hist. Ecclesiast. Gent. Scotor.* p. 576.) David Chalmers denominates him “*vir doctissimus, historiographus clarissimus.*” (*De Scotorum Fortitudine*, p. 46.)

the sixteenth century. When he maintains that the earth does not revolve round the sun, he supports his opinion by arguments which must at least be allowed to be poetical.^h The difficulties of his subject, which might seem almost insuperable, afforded him an opportunity of displaying that singular combination of talents for which he was so preëminent; but he might easily have selected some theme of a more popular nature. Poetical astronomy cannot hope to allure a very numerous class of readers. The principal object indeed of poetry is not profit but pleasure:ⁱ if however a scientific poem be intended for solid instruction, the endless progression of human knowledge will speedily abridge the importance of almost every precept which it may contain; and when the scientific part is completely obsolete, the poetry will no longer be found attractive. Aratus, Germanicus,^k and Manilius, among the ancient poets, had applied their talents to the embellishment of astronomical subjects; and perhaps the most remarkable of Buchanan's successors in the same department is Boscovich, who has written a Latin poem on the solar and lunar eclipses. Manilius, from whom the Scottish author apparently derived considerable aid, has evinced an elegant and copious fancy; but, in the judgment of Sca-

^h Buchanan's reasoning on this subject is cursorily noticed by La Lande, *Astronomie*, tom. i, p. 402.

ⁱ See Le Clerc, *Parrhasiana*, tom. i, p. 55, and Dr Beattie's *Essay on Poetry and Musick*, p. 9.

^k See Grotii *Syntagma Arateorum*. Lugd. Bat. 1600, 4to.

liger, his science was not sufficiently accurate or profound.¹ Some of the digressive parts of his work are extremely beautiful, but the whole cannot be perused with uninterrupted pleasure. Buchanan's poem, though less generally relished than most of his other productions, contains passages of superlative excellence: without anxious research, the opening of the fifth book may be particularized as an adequate specimen. The hexameters of this poet are not the least perfect of his various measures. His pauses are distributed with eminent skill; his verses are sonorous and magnificent. The constitution of his mind did not lead him to entertain the sole ambition of transfusing the characteristic beauties of some particular poet. Of the majestic suavity of Virgil he has caught no inconsiderable portion; but his genius was original,^m and the Virgilian graces would often have been incompatible with the subjects which he had chosen. He was familiarly acquainted with every poet of the purer ages of antiquity; and had even profited by the perusal of Claudian, whom he mentions in terms of high respect.ⁿ Claudian, who appeared long after the decline of Roman literature, succeeded in reviving it with some degree of an-

¹ Jos. Scaliger ad Manilium, p. 10, edit. Lugd. Bat. 1600, 4to.

^m Dr Johnson was of opinion that "Buchanan has fewer centos than any modern Latin poet. He not only had great knowledge of the Latin language, but was a great poetical genius." (*Boswell's Life of Johnson*, vol. ii, p. 88.)

ⁿ Buchanan. de Jure Regni apud Scotos, p. 18.

cient splendour; and although his writings partake of the general deterioration of the age, yet his genius was elegant, vivid, and lofty.

Notwithstanding the precarious state of his health, and the number of his avocations, Buchanan had found leisure to compose a most profound and masterly compendium of political philosophy.^o Its professed subject are the rights of the crown of Scotland; but the work comprehends a subtile and eloquent delineation of the general principles of government. The origin of this production is sufficiently detailed in the author's manly dedication to his royal pupil. "Several years ago," says Buchanan, "when our af-

^o De Jure Regni apud Scotos Dialogus, authore Georgio Buchanano Scoto. Edinburgi, apud Johannem Rosseum pro Henrico Charteris. Cum privilegio regali. 1579, 4to.—The second edition has the following title. "De Jure Regni apud Scotos Dialogus, auctore Georgio Buchanano Scoto. Ad Jacobum VI. Scotorum Regem. Editio secunda. M.D.LXXX. Ad exemplar Joannis Rossei Edinburgi, cum privilegio Scotorum Regis." 8vo. The dialogue was printed in 4to during the same year. There are several other editions in a separate form. Edinb. 1581, 4to. Glasg. 1750, 12mo. Lond. 1765, 8vo. This work is printed with all the editions of the history except the first. It has repeatedly been translated into English. In the archiepiscopal library at Lambeth, the Rev. Mr Todd pointed out to me a MS. version which bears the following title. "A Dialogue made by George Buchanan, Schottishman, of the Prerogative and Right of the Kingly Government in Scotlande." It has this colophon: "Finis, Maii 4, 1607. Labour no burden to love." (No. 509.) In the year 1680, a translation was published in 12mo, but the place of printing is concealed. The dialogue has been exhibited in an English dress at several other times. Lond. 1689, 4to. Edinb. 1691, 8vo. Lond. 1721, 8vo. The last translation that appeared was executed by Mr Macfarlan. Lond. 1799, 8vo. To this work Buchanan has subjoined a poetical extract, under the title of "Rex Stoicus, ex Seneca." In a recent publication, it is alleged that he only borrowed the sentiments from the ancient writer, and exhibited them in their present dress. But the whole passage occurs in Seneca's *Thyestes*, v. 343.

fairs were in a most turbulent condition, I composed a dialogue on the prerogatives of the Scottish crown; in which I endeavoured to explain from their very cradle, if I may adopt that expression, the reciprocal rights and privileges of kings and their subjects. Although the work seemed to be of some immediate utility, by silencing certain individuals who with importunate clamours rather inveighed against the existing state of things, than examined what was conformable to the standard of reason, yet in consequence of returning tranquillity, I willingly consecrated my arms to public concord. But having lately met with this disputation among my papers, and supposed it to contain many precepts necessary to your tender age (especially as it is so conspicuously elevated in the scale of human affairs), I have deemed its publication expedient, that it may at once testify my zeal for your service, and admonish you of your duty to the community. Many circumstances tend to convince me that my present exertions will not prove fruitless; especially your age, yet uncorrupted by perverse opinions; a disposition above your years, spontaneously urging you to every noble pursuit; a facility in obeying not only your preceptors, but all prudent monitors; a judgment and dexterity in disquisition, which prevent you from paying much regard to authority, unless it be confirmed by solid argument. I likewise perceive that by a kind of natural instinct you so

abhor flattery, the nurse of tyranny, and the most grievous pest of a legitimate monarchy, that you as heartily hate the courtly solecisms and barbarisms as they are relished and affected by those who consider themselves as the arbiters of every elegance, and who, by way of seasoning their conversation, are perpetually sprinkling it with majesties, lordships, excellencies, and, if possible, with other expressions still more nauseous. Although the bounty of nature and the instruction of your governors may at present secure you against this error, yet am I compelled to entertain some slight degree of suspicion lest evil communication, the alluring nurse of the vices, should lend an unhappy impulse to your still-tender mind; especially as I am not ignorant with what facility the external senses yield to seduction. I have therefore sent you this treatise, not only as a monitor, but even as an importunate and sometimes impudent dun, who in this turn of life may convoy you beyond the rocks of adulation; and may not merely offer you advice, but confine you to the path which you have entered, and, if you should chance to deviate, may reprehend you, and recall your steps. If you obey this monitor, you will insure tranquillity to yourself and your family, and will transmit your glory to the most remote posterity."

This dedication, which is dated at Stirling on the tenth of January 1579, affords another proof of his solicitude to form the character of a patriot

king; and it is only to be regretted that his favourable prognostications should have proved so fallacious. The work itself is exhibited in the form of a dialogue between the author, and Thomas the son of Sir Richard Maitland of Lethington. This interlocutor was likewise a votary of the Latian Muses; and his illustrious friend seems to have entertained a favourable opinion of his juvenile efforts.^p He was a younger brother of William Maitland, whom Buchanan has so keenly satirized in the *Chamæleon*. The exordium of this political dialogue is not uninteresting. “When Thomas Maitland lately returned from France, and I had carefully interrogated him with regard to the state of affairs in that kingdom, I began, from a motive of personal attachment, to exhort him to persevere in that course of glory which he had commenced, and to inspire him with the best hopes respecting the progress of his studies. For if I, with moderate talents, with hardly any pecuniary resources, and in an unlearned age, have yet maintained such a conflict with the iniquity of the times as to be

^p Between these interlocutors some family connection must have subsisted. One of the daughters of Sir Richard Maitland was married to James Heriot of Trabroun, probably the cousin of Buchanan. (Crawfurd, p. 252. Douglas, p. 393.) Mr Innes mentions a letter of T. Maitland to Queen Mary, “in which he protests to her majesty, that his being brought interlocutor into that dialogue, to say whatever Buchanan thought proper for his purpose, was wholly Buchanan’s own invention.” (*Critical Essay*, vol. i, p. 359.) Maitland composed some verses in commendation of Buchanan’s paraphrase of the psalms. (*Delitiæ Poetarum Scotorum*, tom. ii, p. 178.)

thought to have effected something, assuredly they who, born in a happier age, are abundantly blest with youth, wealth, and genius, should neither be deterred by labour from so honourable a pursuit, nor, when aided by so many supports, can yield to despair. They ought therefore to persist with strenuousness in advancing the glory of letters, and in recommending themselves and their countrymen to the regard of posterity. A little perseverance in their literary efforts would serve to banish from the minds of men an opinion, that those who inhabit the frigid regions of the globe are as remote from literature, politeness, and every species of intellectual cultivation, as they are distant from the sun. For although nature may have favoured the Africans, Egyptians, and various other nations, with more prompt conceptions, and greater keenness of intellect, yet to no people has she been so unpropitious as to preclude them from all access to virtue and glory.¹

“After he had, according to his wonted modesty, spoken of himself with reserve, but of me with more affection than truth, the course of conversation at length conducted us so far, that when he had interrogated me concerning the turbulent state of our native country, and I had returned such an answer as I then deemed suitable, I began in my turn to question him respecting

¹ Bartholinus, a learned Dane, has not neglected to enforce the same doctrine. (*De Libris Legendis*, p. 46. Hafniæ, 1676, 8vo.)

the opinion generally entertained of our transactions, either by the French, or by such strangers as he had met in France. For I was sufficiently aware that the novelty of the events, as is usually the case, must have furnished occasion and materials for universal discussion."

Buchanan's dialogue excited a degree of attention which will not appear surprising, when we consider the high reputation of the author, and the boldness of the precepts which he inculcated. "Your dialogue *De Jure Regni*," says his correspondent Rogers, "which you transmitted to me by Zolcher the letter-carrier of our friend Sturmius, I have received; a present which would be extremely agreeable to me, if the importunate entreaties of some persons did not prevent me from enjoying it: for the moment it was delivered into my hand, Dr Wilson requested the loan of it: he yielded it to the importunity of the chancellor; from whom the treasurer procured a perusal of it, and has not yet returned it: so that to this day it has never been in my custody. The work is commended by those who possess ingenuity, directed by judgment, and improved by an acquaintance with public business, and who remark the present aspect of political affairs; but it is rejected by those who study to conciliate by means of flattery the favour of princes, and who wish the reins of law to be relaxed according to their pleasure: almost all admire the genius of a man who in the declining winter of age, is capa-

ble of imitating with such dexterity the Platonic mode of composition. I have laid my injunctions on Vautrollier,^r a very honest man who is the bearer of this letter, to procure some copies which I intend to communicate to our friends. For Sturmius, Metellus,^s Hotman, Dousa, and

^r “Thomas Vautrollier, a Frenchman,” says Mr Herbert, “was a scholar and printer, as is said, from Paris or Rouen, who came into England about the beginning of Q. Elizabeth’s reign, and was admitted a brother of the stationer’s company, Oct. 2, 1564, for which he paid ijs. vjd. He set up his press in Black-friars, where it appears to have continued all his lifetime, notwithstanding his residence for some time in Scotland.” (*Typographical Antiquities*, vol. ii, p. 1065.)

^s Joannes Metellus, a native of Franche Comté, was closely connected with some of the eminent scholars of the age. While he prosecuted his studies at Bologna, he contracted an intimacy with Augustinus and Osorius: Augustinus and Metellus are the interlocutors in the dialogue of Osorius *De Gloria*. Metellus afterwards attended Augustinus during his nunciate to England; and he there became acquainted with Roger Ascham. He resided a long time at Cologne. Though he wished for a reformation in the Catholic church, he did not secede. His contemporaries have frequently mentioned him as a man of learning; but his literary productions are inconsiderable. He laid the foundation of a work which was completed after his decease: it bears the title of *Asia Tabulis Æneis secundum rationes geographicas Delineata*. Ursellis, 1600, fol. The Bodleian catalogue ascribes to him an *Epistola de Lusitanorum Navigationibus in utramque Indiam*. Col. Agrip. 1576, 8vo. Ten of his epistles occur in the collection entitled “*Illustrium et Clarorum Virorum Epistolæ Selectiores, superiore sæculo scriptæ vel a Belgis, vel ad Belgas*.” Lugd. Bat. 1617, 8vo. See also Aschami *Epistolæ*, p. 424, edit. Elstob, and Burmanni *Sylloge Epistolarum*, tom. i, p. 60, tom. ii, p. 288. Verses by Metellus are prefixed to Stewechius’s edition of Vegetius, Antv. 1585, 4to, and to Suffridus Petrus *De Scriptoribus Frisia*. Col. Agrip. 1593, 8vo. He reëdited two productions of his elegant friend Osorius. (*De Rebus Gestis Emmanuelis*. Col. Agrip. 1574, 8vo. *De Regis Institutione et Disciplina*. Ibid. 1588, 8vo.) To these editions he has prefixed long dedications, which are chiefly remarkable for the singularity of the punctuation. He denominates himself Jo. Matalius Metellus, J. C. Sequanus. He is mentioned in *La Vie de Philippes de Mornay*, p. 15, 17. The suavity of his disposition and the multiplicity of his erudi-

other friends, expect your dialogue with eagerness." ^t—Of Hotman's connection with Buchanan no other document occurs; but his talents and erudition entitled him to Buchanan's particular regard. ^u He is the author of a famous political work, ^x which bears some affinity to that of our countryman. Another work of a similar complexion exhibits in its title-page, the same time and place of printing as the dialogue of Buchanan. This production bears the title of *Vindiciæ contra Tyrannos*; a title which alone was sufficient in those days to excite a general alarm among the advocates of hereditary tyranny. ^y It has been imputed to Buchanan, ^z Hotman, Beza,

tion, are commemorated by Osorius. (*In Gualterum Haddonum*, f. 8. Olysippone, 1567, 4to.)

^t Buchanan's *Epistolæ*, p. 22.

^u Hotman mentions him in very respectful terms. "Animadverti præterea Dionys. Lambinum in suis scholiis in Orat. Ciceron. pro Cæcina, scripsisse Georgium Buchananum singulari doctrina virum, &c. Buchanan's judicio nemo plus tribuit, quam ego." (*Observationes*, lib. ii, cap. xix.)

^x Hotomani *Francogallia*. [Genevæ], 1573, 8vo.—A translation of this work was published by Lord Molesworth in 1711. To the second edition his lordship added a long and spirited preface. Lond. 1721, 8vo.

^y Another remarkable work of the same class, and of the same age, is that of the famous Jesuit Mariana, *De Rege et Regis Institutione*. Toleti, 1599, 4to. This composition is distinguished by the very uncommon boldness of its sentiments; but it is not a little deformed by the author's professional bias. He proceeds upon the Jesuitical principle of depressing the civil, and exalting the ecclesiastical authority.

^z This production has only been imputed to Buchanan by the inadvertency of Placcius. (*Theatrum Anon. et Pseudon.* tom. ii, p. 143.) In the work which he quotes as his authority, Buchanan is clearly distinguished from the author of the *Vindiciæ contra Tyrannos*. See the *Acta Eruditorum* anno 1684 *publicata*, p. 22, or the book which is there quoted, Jurieu's *Histoire du Calvinisme et celle du Papisme mises en Parallele*, tom. ii, p. 286.

Mornay, and to various other authors ; but it appears with a high degree of certainty that its real author was Hubert Languet.^a

That Buchanan's political principles rendered him extremely odious to the more zealous of the Popish party, may, without offering any insult to their memory, be recorded as highly honourable to his. Between the Catholics of those and of the present times, every Protestant of common intelligence and candour will readily acknowledge a wide and glaring distinction : he will not be more inclined to compare them together, than to assimilate himself to the bigoted and persecuting Protestants of the sixteenth, or even of the seventeenth century.^b In point of liberality, the two denominations will not now be found so essentially different as some individuals may be inclined to suppose : and in a country like this, where they are blended with each other, Protestants and Catholics who have enjoyed similar advantages of education, may almost be placed on the same level. Nor ought it here to be forgotten that, from the age of Erasmus to that of Dr

^a See Bayle's *Dissertation concernant le livre d'Etienne Junius Brutus*, subjoined to the last volume of his dictionary ; and Blackburne's *Memoirs of Thomas Hollis, Esq.* vol. i, p. 129, vol. ii, p. 545.

^b In the reign of Edward the sixth, a poor creature called Joan Bocher, or Joan of Kent, was condemned to the flames for professing to believe " that the word was made flesh in the virgin's belly, but not that he took flesh of the virgin." (Peirce's *Vindication of the Dissenters*, p. 33, 2d edit. Lond. 1718, 8vo.) The inquisition was never guilty of a more atrocious murder. Many other instances of Protestant persecution are collected by the same zealous and well-informed writer.

Geddes, the Catholic church has produced writers who, in genuine liberality and benevolence of sentiment, do not yield to many of their Protestant brethren. If religion could be extricated from politics, which so frequently absorb its vital essence, the animosities of Christian sects might at length subside; and as every man is persuaded that his own religion is the best, he might quietly enjoy his felicity without endeavouring to disturb the religious meditations of his neighbour. During the age of Buchanan however, and especially in those countries where the reformation had newly reared its standard, the Popish writers inculcated many pernicious doctrines, and generally conducted their enquiries with great ferocity.

In the course of a few years, his tenets were formally attacked by his learned countrymen Blackwood, Winzet,^c and Barclay, all of whom were zealous Catholics. Some of Barclay's arguments were long afterwards refuted by Locke.^d Buchanan was also attacked, though in an indirect manner, by Sir Thomas Craig,^e and by Sir

^c "Intercessit etiam mihi," says Winzet addressing himself to Buchanan, "cum Patricio fratre tuo, viro, ut humanis disciplinis, ita et modestia atque humanitate satis perspicuo, non ingrata, neque omnino inutilis, opinor, ipsi consuetudo. Te ipsum præsentì colloquio nunquam nisi semel sum affatus." (*Velitatio in G. Buchananum*, p. 156.)

^d Locke's *Two Treatises on Government*, p. 406, edit. Lond. 1764, 8vo.

^e *Cragii de Jure Successionis Regni Angliæ libri duo*. MS. penes D.I.—Of this work the original has never been printed; but a translation of it was published by Bishop Gatherer, under the title of *The Right of Succession to the Kingdom of England*. Lond. 1703, fol.

John Wemyss,^f who were both of the reformed religion. Craig was a lawyer of much learning and ability; and his treatise on the feudal law still continues to be held in great estimation. Sir George Mackenzie, the servile tool of a most profligate court, undertook to defend against Buchanan the same maxims of polity; and it must be acknowledged that he lived at a period when it was expedient enough to persuade his fellow subjects, that the persons of good and bad kings are equally sacred and inviolable. "The right divine of kings to govern wrong," was a very suitable doctrine for the ministers of Charles and James. In another work, Mackenzie has exhibited a further specimen of his talent for historical and political investigation. The learned Bishop Lloyd having rationally rejected the fabulous catalogue of our ancient kings, his majesty's advocate maintained that he who denies the antiquity of the royal line is guilty of *lese-majesty*. In the course of the seventeenth century, the leading principles of Buchanan were also oppugned by Sir Lewis Stewart, a lawyer, and by Sir James Turner, a soldier. The former wrote in Latin,^g the latter in English;^h but nei-

^f Wemii Βασιλέως Ὑπεροχὴ, sive de Regis Primatu Libellus. Edinb. 1623, 4to.

^g Ruddiman's Answer to Logan's Treatise on Government, p. 186. Edinb. 1747, 8vo.—Mr Ruddiman afterwards prosecuted his controversy with Logan, in an elaborate "Dissertation concerning the Competition for the Crown of Scotland, betwixt Bruce and Baliol, in the year 1291." Edinb. 1748, 8vo.

^h Nicolson's Scottish Historical Library, p. 15.

ther of their productions has been printed; and the republic of letters has probably sustained no very heavy detriment by their long suppression. He was incidentally assailed by many foreign authors; who seem in general to have been bewildered by the current doctrine of the divine and indefeasible right of kings, and the passive obedience of subjects. This was indeed the doctrine of Protestants and Catholics, of civilians and divines. Grotius, though born under a free republic, and certainly a man of a great and liberal mind, did not entirely escape the contamination of those slavish maxims that were so prevalent during the age in which he lived. The right of resisting any superior power which happens to be established, he has discussed in a manner that could hardly offend the completest despot in Europe.ⁱ There is perhaps too much justice in the remark of Rousseau, that it is his most common method of reasoning, always to establish the right by the fact.^k It is one general fault of those writers, to found their theories on passages of scripture which are not didactic or exegetical, but merely historical. This obsolete perversion they seem to have derived from the authority of those early theologians who are commonly styled the fathers of the Christian church;^l and who, if not always very safe guides in morality and in bibli-

ⁱ Grotius de Jure Belli ac Pacis, lib. i, cap. iv.

^k Rousseau du Contract Social, p. 4. Amst. 1762, 12mo.

^l Gibbon's Hist. of the Roman Empire, vol. iii, p. 248.

cal criticism,^m are certainly exceptionable guides in political science. The degrading doctrine of divine right and passive obedience was inculcated by Salmasius,ⁿ Bochart,^o Usher,^p and indeed by several very able men who approached much nearer to our own times: it was even inculcated by the famous Dr Berkeley, in some metaphysical discourses preached before the university of Dublin in the year 1712.^q It is however a doctrine which no Briton, capable of reflection, will now hesitate a single moment in rejecting with the utmost indignation. So slow, and yet so certain, is the progress of reason; which, though sometimes retarded in its course, or partially absorbed by the quicksands of ambition, avarice, and superstition, will never fail to roll onward with one irresistible tide, till it at length reach the ocean of eternity. "Methinks," to adopt the language of Milton, "I see in my mind a noble

^m See Barbeyrac, *Traité de la Morale des Peres de l'Eglise*, Amst. 1728, 4to, and Dr Whitby's *Dissertatio de Scripturarum Interpretatione secundum Patrum Commentarios*. Lond. 1714, 8vo.—But with respect to the treatise of Barbeyrac, consult Lord Hailes's "Inquiry into the Secondary Causes which Mr Gibbon has assigned for the Rapid Growth of Christianity," p. 176. Edinb. 1786, 4to.

ⁿ Salmasii *Defensio Regia*. *Sumptibus regis*, 1649, fol. et 12mo.

^o Bocharti *Opera*, tom. i, col. 988.

^p Usher's *Power communicated by God to the Prince, and Obedience required of the Subject*. Lond. 1661, 4to.—The best specimen of this doctrine was furnished by Dr Sanderson, the learned bishop of Lincoln; "who declared, it was not lawful to resist the prince upon the throne, even to save all the souls in the whole world." (*Blackburne's Confessional*, p. 347, 3d edit. Lond. 1770, 8vo.)

^q Berkeley's *Works*, vol. ii, p. 1. Dublin, 1784, 2 vols 4to.

and puissant nation rousing herself like a strong man after sleep, and shaking her invincible locks: methinks I see her as an eagle muing her mighty youth, and kindling her undazl'd eyes at the full midday beam ; purging and unscaling her long abused sight at the fountain it self of heav'nly radiance ; while the whole noise of timorous and flocking birds, and those also that love the twilight, flutter about, amaz'd at what she means."^r

But the full measure of Buchanan's ignominy has not yet been related. In the year 1584, the parliament condemned his dialogue and history as unfit to remain for records of truth to posterity ; and, under a penalty of two hundred pounds, commanded every person who possessed copies, to surrender them within forty days, in order that they might be purged of " the offensive and extraordinary matters" which they contained.^s In 1664, the privy council of Scotland issued a proclamation prohibiting all subjects of whatever degree, quality, or rank, from transcribing or circulating any copies of a ma-

^r Milton's *Areopagitica*, p. 345.—An edition of this tract was published by Thomson, an ardent lover of liberty. Lond. 1738, 8vo. The title-page bears, " with a Preface by another hand." In the copy belonging to Williams's Library, the words *by Mr Thomson* are inserted in a contemporary hand at the beginning of the preface. The tract and the preface are reprinted with Blackburne's *Remarks on Johnson's Life of Milton*. Lond. 1780, 8vo.

^s Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland, vol. iii, p. 296.

nuscript translation of the dialogue.^t And in 1683, the loyal and orthodox university of Oxford doomed to the flames the political works of Buchanan, Milton, Languet, and several other heretics.^u This university, says a contemporary historian, debauched the minds of the youth with its slavish doctrines, and pronounced a severe judgment against Buchanan for his book in vindication of the rights of the kingdom.^v The Scottish legislature, the English university, and the Popish tribunal of the inquisition, seem to

^t Wodrow's Hist. of the Sufferings of the Church of Scotland, vol. i, p. 218. Edinb. 1721-2, 2 vols. fol.—This proclamation, as my excellent friend Mr Ninian Little suggests, is likewise mentioned by Dr Parker, the apostate bishop of Oxford. (*De Rebus sui Temporis Commentarii*, p. 77. Lond. 1726, 8vo.) To the same gentleman these memoirs have many other obligations.

^u Smithi Vita R. Huntingtoni, p. xxv. Birch's Life of Tillotson, p. 188. Lond. 1752, 8vo.—“The Judgment and Decree of the University of Oxford, passed in their Convocation, July 21, 1683, against certain pernicious Books, and damnable Doctrines, destructive to the sacred Persons of Princes, their State and Government, and of all human Society,” may be found in *Lord Somers's Tracts*, vol. iii, p. 223. The first of these damnable doctrines is, that “all civil authority is derived originally from the people.” This notable decree found a panegyrist in some nameless member of Christ Church. The subsequent passage of his *Decretum Oxoniense* relates to Buchanan.

Ille etiam Scotica qui quondam turbidus aula
Jus regum angusti contraxit limite gyri,
Qui toties populos immisit in arma furentes,
Multaque subjecit gliscenti incendia bello,
Nunc ignem subit, et flammis ultricibus ardet.

Musarum Anglicanarum Analecta, vol. ii, p. 181.

The Oxford decree was dutifully presented to Charles the second; and in 1710, the house of lords ordered it to be publicly burnt with Sacheverel's foolish sermon. (Burnet's *Hist. of his own Time*, vol. ii, p. 545.)

^v Cunningham's Hist. of Great Britain, vol. i, p. 54.

have regarded this unfortunate speculator with equal abhorrence. All the arts of ignorance, superstition, and sycophancy, have not however been able to quench the vital principle of his immortal productions, but, like oil added to a rising flame, have only served to augment their splendour.^x

Other individuals, and those too of high reputation, have viewed him in a different light. He has found enthusiastic admirers among the most enlightened of modern scholars; and the effects of his bold and manly speculations have been widely felt. It was objected to Milton that he had stolen his celebrated defence of the people of England from the eloquent work of Buchanan.^y

^x “Libros per ædiles cremandos censuere patres; sed manserunt occultati, et editi. Quo magis socordiam eorum inridere libet, qui præsentī potentia credunt extingui posse etiam sequentis ævi memoriam. Nam contra, punitis ingeniis gliscit auctoritas: neque aliud externi reges, aut qui eadem sævitia usi sunt, nisi dedecus sibi, atque illis gloriam peperere.” (Taciti *Annales*, lib. iv, § 35.)

^y Dryden's Epistle to the Whigs, prefixed to the Medal.—The political work of Buchanan seems to have been read and approved by a patriot of a high order. A copy of the dialogue, formerly in the possession of Mr Hollis, exhibited the following sentence, subscribed with the respectable name of Chatham. “Ἡμῖσιν γάρ τ' ἀρετῆς ἀποαίνυται δέλιον ἡμᾶς. (Blackburne's *Memoirs of Thomas Hollis, Esq.* vol. ii, p. 550.) These remarkable expressions, which had likewise been adopted by Longinus (*De Sublimitate*, p. 152, edit. Weiske), are derived from the subsequent verses of Homer.

“Ἡμῖσιν γάρ τ' ἀρετῆς ἀποαίνυται εὐρύοπα Ζεὺς
Ἀνέρος, εὐτ' ἂν μιν κατὰ δέλιον ἡμᾶς ἔλῃσιν.

Odyss. xvii, 322.

Dr Brown has well remarked that “liberty and human nature are inseparable; to destroy the former, is to annihilate the latter—is to annihilate every notion of duty, and virtue, and happiness, beyond what is merely sensual and brutish.” (*Essay on the Natural Equality of Men*, p. 123, 2d edit.

And what are the terrible doctrines which once excited so violent an alarm? Buchanan maintains that all power is derived from the people; that it is more safe to intrust our liberties to the definite protection of the laws, than to the precarious discretion of the king; that the king is bound by those conditions under which the supreme power was originally committed to his hands; that it is lawful to resist, and even to punish tyrants. Those who maintain the contrary, must have recourse to the absurd and exploded doctrine of divine and indefeasible right. When he speaks of the people as opposed to the king, he evidently includes every individual of the nation except one.² And is a race of intelligent beings to be assimilated to a tract of land, or to a litter of pigs; to be considered, absolutely and unconditionally, as the lawful patrimony of a family which either merit, accident, or crime, may originally have elevated to the summit of power? In this country and this age it certainly is not necessary to remark, that man can neither

Lond. 1794, 8vo.) As a further commentary on these verses, I subjoin the emphatic words of Lord Molesworth. "Slavery, like a sickly constitution, grows in time so habitual, that it seems no burden nor disease; it creates a kind of laziness, and idle despondency, which puts men beyond hopes and fears: it mortifies ambition, emulation, and other troublesome, as well as active qualities, which liberty and freedom beget; and instead of them affords only a dull kind of pleasure of being careless and insensible." (*Account of Denmark*, p. 75. Lond. 1694, 8vo.)

"Nam appellatione populi," says Justinian, "universi cives significantur, connumeratis etiam patriciis et senatoribus." (*Institutiones*, lib. i, tit. ii, § 4.)

inherit nor possess a right of property in his fellow creatures. What is termed loyalty, may, according to the circumstances of the case, be either a virtue or a vice. Loyalty to Antoninus and to Nero must assuredly have flowed from different sources. If the Roman people had endeavoured to compass the death of Nero, would this have been foul and unnatural rebellion? The doctrine of punishing tyrants in their persons, either by a private arm, or by the public forms of law, is indeed of a delicate and dangerous nature;^a and it may be considered as amply sufficient, to ascertain the previous right of forcible resistance. It will always be extremely difficult, if not impossible, to find a competent tribunal and impartial judges. But that tyrants ought to be punished, is an abstract proposition which cannot easily be controverted: for under the word tyranny, is generally included all that is most odious and intolerable in human delinquency. If mankind are at length roused to the redress of enormous wrongs, the prince who has either committed or sanctioned an habitual violation of the best rights of the people, will seldom fail to meet with his adequate reward; and in spite of all the slavish theories of his priests and lawyers, mankind will not long be reasoned out of the strongest feelings of their nature. Divine right and passive obedience were never

^a See Dr Ferguson's *Hist. of the Roman Republic*, vol. iii, p. 37, 4to, and Mr Fox's *Hist. of James the Second*, p. 13.

more strenuously inculcated, than in the reign of Charles the first. That Buchanan endeavoured to undermine the very foundations of monarchical government, is an assertion utterly destitute of candour. He has indeed affirmed, that it is of little importance whether the supreme magistrate be denominated king, duke, emperor, or consul; but with regard to the distinguishing qualities of a good king, no writer has expressed himself with higher enthusiasm. His general principles seem to be incontrovertible; though it must certainly be admitted that some of his illustrations are not introduced with sufficient caution. That his chief scope was to prepare the nation for receiving Murray as their lawful sovereign, is another calumny which party zeal has frequently propagated: it is a calumny totally unsupported by any degree of probable evidence that could satisfy an unprejudiced mind. Buchanan, like other men who have attained to high distinction, had his personal and political enemies; and for every action of his life the worst motives have too often been assigned. He was animated with an ardent and disinterested love of mankind; and it was upon the most enlarged principles that he undertook to instruct them in their political rights. The best commentary on his work is the revolution of 1688.

That memorable event, which produced so many important consequences, was not without its effects on the reputation of Buchanan. From

the very acrimonious terms in which he is frequently mentioned by the Jacobites, it may justly be inferred that they supposed his political doctrines to have had considerable influence : and this influence they endeavoured to destroy by depressing his moral and literary character. Of the treatment to which he was thus exposed, it may not be superfluous to produce a single example, selected from the writings of a non-juring divine, respectable for his learning, and entitled to commiseration for his prejudices. According to his averment, “ the disciples and followers of Buchanan, Hobbes, and Milton, have exceeded their masters in downright impudence, scurrility, and lying.”^b On the indecency of these expressions it is not necessary to offer any animadversions ; nor does it seem requisite to point out the dexterity with which Buchanan and Milton are here associated with Hobbes, from whom they differed so widely in their political as well as their religious opinions. The name of this philosopher had an alarming sound to pious ears ; and the learned divine was doubtless aware of the popular effect of such a classification.

An ardent love of freedom was long a characteristic of the Scottish nation. Mair and Boyce had, in their historical productions, vindicated with becoming zeal the unalienable rights of the

Dr Smith's preface to Sir Philip Warwick's Discourse of Government.
Lond. 1694, 8vo.

people ;^c but to Buchanan must unquestionably be awarded the high praise of having been the earliest writer who established political science on its genuine basis. The southern part of this island had likewise produced political speculators: Sir John Fortescue had endeavoured to trace the line of distinction between an absolute and a limited monarchy; and Sir Thomas More had engrafted his novel theories on the description of an imaginary commonwealth. More afterwards forgot the liberal speculations of his youth: in his

^c These two writers had completely imbibed the maxims of a free government. Boyce is commemorated by Paulus Jovius as “*moderatæ libertatis nusquam oblitus.*” (*Elogia Virorum Literis Illustrum*, p. 212.) Mair inculcates some of the leading doctrines that were afterwards methodized and embellished by his pupil Buchanan. “*Populus liber primo regi dat robur, cujus potestas a toto populo dependet; quia aliud jus Fergusius primus rex Scotiæ non habuit: et ita est ubilibet, et ab orbe condito erat communiter. Hoc propter reges Judææ a Deo institutos dico. Si dicas mihi ab Henrico septimo Henricus octavus jus habet, ad primum Anglorum regem ascendam, quærendo a quo ille jus regni habuit; et ita ubivis gentium procedam. Et quod jus a populo habuit dicere necesse est, quia aliud dare non potes: sed sic est quod totus populus in Robertum Bruseum consensit, de republica Scotica optime meritum. Tertio arguitur ad eandem conclusionem probandam: Regem et posteros pro demeritis populus potest exauthorare sicut et primo instituere.*” (*Major De Gestis Scotorum*, f. 76, b. Ex officina Ascensiana, 1521, 4to.) The whole of the passage from which I have extracted this specimen is extremely curious.

During the minority of King James, several coins were struck with a remarkable inscription, borrowed from the emperor Trajan. One side presents a naked sword, supporting a crown on its point, and surrounded with this legend: PRO. ME. SI. MEREOR. IN. ME. “*Hoc lemma,*” says Rudiman, “*(quo et suum adversus reges ingenium prodit) Georgium Buchananum Jacobi VI. præceptorem subministrasse omnes consentiunt.*” (*Andersoni Selectus Diplomatum et Numismatum Scotiæ Thesaurus*, p. 103. Edinb. 1739, fol.)

Utopia,^d he inculcates the doctrine of religious toleration, and yet he lived to assume the odious character of a persecutor. That he was himself a victim of divine retribution, it would be indecent to affirm :^e but it is an historical fact that he was wantonly sacrificed by the execrable tyrant whom he had served with too much zeal. On the solid foundation which had been laid by Buchanan, a spacious edifice was afterwards reared by Milton, Sidney, and Locke ; names which every enlightened Briton will always recollect with peculiar veneration.^f That two of them were republicans, need not alarm the most zealous friends of a legitimate monarchy :^g if the same individuals had flourished at a more recent period, they would undoubtedly have entertained different sentiments. The principles which prompted stern resistance to the wide encroachments of the house of Stewart, are perfectly com-

^d Basil. 1518, 4to.

^e Κρίνειν οὐκ ἐπέοικε θεῖα ἔργα βροτοῖσι.

BION. Idyl. vi, v. 9.

^f Among the distinguished authors who have defended the liberties of their country, we must not neglect to enumerate Bishop Hoadley ; in reference to whom it has been remarked, that “ civil liberty perhaps owes more to one great man of the clerical profession, than to any other single writer of any denomination.” (Sturges’s *Considerations on the Present State of the Church-Establishment*, p. 165. Lond. 1779, 8vo.) The political works of this eminent prelate are of a controversial nature, and chiefly relate to the questions which occupied the particular attention of his contemporaries. Though they appear less brilliant to posterity, they were of great importance to his own age.

^g See Dr Symmons’s *Life of Milton*, p. 519.

patible with those which recommend a cordial attachment to the house of Hanover.

This political work of Buchanan is highly commended by an able and eloquent writer of our own time. "The science," says Sir James Mackintosh, "which teaches the rights of man, the eloquence that kindles the spirit of freedom, had for ages been buried with the other monuments of the wisdom and relics of the genius of antiquity. But the revival of letters first unlocked only to a few, the sacred fountain. The necessary labours of criticism and lexicography occupied the earlier scholars, and some time elapsed before the spirit of antiquity was transfused into its admirers. The first man of that period who united elegant learning to original and masculine thought was Buchanan, and he too seems to have been the first scholar who caught from the ancients the noble flame of republican enthusiasm. This praise is merited by his neglected, though incomparable tract, *De Jure Regni*, in which the principles of popular politics, and the maxims of a free government, are delivered with a precision, and enforced with an energy, which no former age had equalled, and no succeeding has surpassed."^h

Mr Stewart's suffrage is also important. "The dialogue of our illustrious countryman Buchanan, *De Jure Regni apud Scotos*, though occasionally

^h Mackintosh's Defence of the French Revolution, p. 309.

disfigured by the keen and indignant temper of the writer, and by a predilection (pardonable in a scholar warm from the schools of ancient Greece and Rome) for forms of policy unsuitable to the circumstances of modern Europe, bears, nevertheless, in its general spirit, a closer resemblance to the political philosophy of the eighteenth century, than any composition which had previously appeared. The ethical paradoxes afterwards inculcated by Hobbes as the groundwork of his slavish theory of government, are anticipated and refuted; and a powerful argument is urged against the doctrine of utility which has attracted so much notice in our times. The political reflections, too, incidentally introduced by the same author in his history of Scotland, bear marks of a mind worthy of a better age than fell to his lot. Of this kind are the remarks with which he closes his narrative of the wanton cruelties exercised in punishing the murderers of James the first. In reading them, one would almost imagine, that one is listening to the voice of Beccaria or of Montesquieu.”ⁱ

In the seventy-fourth year of his age,^k Buchan-

ⁱ Stewart's Dissertation on the Progress of Metaphysical, Ethical, and Political Philosophy, p. 47.

^k “Ruddiman,” says his biographer, “gives a sceptical note, which seems to discover his doubts of an assertion, which has never been supported by proof. Yet he saw only part of the truth. He did not perceive, what appears to have been *the fact*, that of this life Sir Peter Young was the author.” (*Life of Ruddiman*, p. 68.) Ruddiman's note, the first on Buchanan's life, is far from being sceptical. The reasons which have here convinced Mr

an composed a brief sketch of his own life. To this task he was urged by some of his numerous friends;¹ and the annals of literature supplied

Chalmers, seem to be very inadequate, and will probably convince no other person. His first reason is, that on the fifteenth of March 1579-80, Randolph advised Young to write Buchanan's life. But the biographical tract in question, as appears from the concluding sentence, was written when Buchanan was in the seventy-fourth year of his age: it was therefore written before the beginning of February 1580, that is, at least a month before Randolph's letter. His second and last reason is, that "Dr Thomas Smith says expressly, That Peter Young wrote briefly the life of Buchanan." "*Cujus vitam compendio descripsit,*" says Dr Smith in one passage; but in another, he only mentions as a probable conjecture what he had before asserted in positive terms. (*Vita Petri Junii*, p. 17, 29.) But if Young actually wrote a life of Buchanan, are we under the necessity of concluding that he must have written the identical life which has uniformly been ascribed to Buchanan himself? This tract is written in a strain of dignified simplicity, highly becoming an illustrious character who had undertaken to be his own historian; but if the same events and circumstances had been related by a friend, they would undoubtedly have been related in a different manner.

"This writer, whoever he were, talks of John Major as being *in extrema senectute*, in 1524, when he was only fifty-five." The period of Mair's birth is by no means ascertained; for Dr Mackenzie's date is a mere figment. George Crawford, the most industrious of his biographers, could discover no better *datum* than this incidental notice of Buchanan: he accordingly refers the birth of Mair to the year 1446.—"He speaks of Henry VIII. as *jam seniore*, in 1539, when he was but *forty-eight*." And therefore he speaks as any man of learning might do without hesitation. Consult Aulus Gellius, *Noctes Atticæ*, lib. x, cap. xxviii.—"He makes Buchanan meet Cardinal Beaton at Paris, in 1539, a twelve-month after he had returned to Scotland: I am thence led to suspect, that Buchanan made his escape from *St Andrew's*, by the way of London, to Paris, not in 1539, but in 1538, when he might have met the cardinal." The dates on the margin are not those of the author, but of the editor. In his history, Buchanan however informs us that he did not leave his native country till 1539. Because Cardinal Beaton was at Paris in 1538, he could not also be at Paris in 1539, is the next proposition.—"I could run through the whole life, and shew similar fooleries, and some malignity, in every page of it." *Αὐτῶ ταῦτά σοι δίδωμ' ἔχειν.*

¹ "*Hæc de se Buchananus, amicorum rogatu,*" is the colophon of some of the early editions.

him with abundant instances of autobiography. The practice, as we learn from Tacitus, was not unusual among the ancient Romans,^m though not a single specimen has descended to our times. Augustus wrote an account of his own life, consisting of thirteen books;ⁿ but it has perished with the other literary monuments of that prince. The two works of Josephus and Libanius are almost the only specimens of this mode of composition which antiquity has bequeathed. More recent examples are exhibited by Erasmus and Cardan; who have likewise been followed in the same tract by Thuanus, Clarendon, Herbert, Le Clerc, Huet, Holberg, Hume, Gibbon, Franklin, Rousseau, and five hundred others. In Cardan and Rousseau it might perhaps have been more prudent to leave the task unperformed; for, even according to their own representation, their genius must have been accompanied with at least an equal portion of folly. Buchanan's little work is composed with his usual elegance, and with a degree of modesty and candour worthy of so illustrious a character. It has been commended by Huet, a most learned writer, who entertained very different opinions relative to some of the leading topics of speculation. "More sparing and modest in his biographical narrative was George Buchanan, as well as brief and con-

^m Taciti Vita Agricolaë, p. 4, edit. Boxhornii. Lugd. Bat. 1642, 12mo.

ⁿ Augusti Temporum Notatio, Genus, et Scriptorum Fragmenta, curante J. A. Fabricio, p. 190. Hamb. 1727, 4to.

cise in his style, and so candid in laying open his mind, that he does not well dissemble his sentiments concerning the new and depraved modes of religion which had infected many in that age.”^o

Buchanan still continued his epistolary correspondence with some of the surviving friends of his earlier days. By the Scottish merchants who resorted to Bordeaux for the purpose of procuring wine, he annually transmitted a letter to his former colleague Vinetus.^p But of those letters, only one has been preserved: it is dated at Edinburgh on the sixteenth of March 1581. “Upon receiving accounts of you by the merchants who return from your coasts, I am filled with delight, and seem to enjoy a kind of second youth; for I am then apprized that some remnants of the Portuguese peregrination still exist. As I have now attained to the seventy-fifth year of my age, I sometimes call to remembrance through what toils and inquietudes I have sailed past all those objects which men commonly regard as pleasing, and have at length struck upon that rock, beyond which (as the ninetieth psalm

• Huetii Commentarius de Rebus ad eum pertinentibus, p. 424. Hag. Com. 1718, 12mo.—This interesting work of Huet has been well translated by Dr Aikin; who has added copious notes, biographical and critical. The notes do not display any very curious research, but they are replete with judgment and good sense. His publication is entitled “Memoirs of the Life of Peter Daniel Huet, Bishop of Avranches.” Lond. 1810, 2 vols. 8vo.

^p Thuanus de Vita sua, p. 39, edit. Buckley.

very truly avers) nothing remains but labour and sorrow. The only consolation which now awaits me, is to pause with delight on the recollection of my coëval friends, of whom you are almost the only one who still survives. Although you are not, as I presume, inferior to me in years, you are yet capable of benefiting your country by your exertion and counsel, and even of prolonging, by your learned compositions, your life to a future age. But I have long bade adieu to letters. It is now the only object of my solicitude, that I may remove with as little noise as possible from the society of my ill-assorted companions; that I who am already dead, may relinquish the fellowship of the living. In the meantime, I transmit to you the youngest of my literary offspring, in order that when you discover it to be the driveling child of age, you may be less anxious about its brothers. I understand that Henry Wardlaw, or Νομοφύλαξ, a young man of our nation, and the descendant of a good family, is prosecuting his studies in your seminary with no inconsiderable application. Although I am aware of your habitual politeness, and you are not ignorant that foreigners are peculiarly entitled to your attention, yet I am desirous he should find that our ancient familiarity recommends him to your favour.”^q

This epistle, says the illustrious Thuanus, was written with a tremulous hand, but in a generous

^q Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 32.

style. He had seen it in the possession of the amiable old man to whom it is addressed; and his high admiration of Buchanan's genius and virtue induced him to record that little circumstance in the interesting memoirs of his own life.^r The answer of Vinetus is dated at Bordeaux on the ninth of June 1581. "Your letter of the sixteenth of March was delivered to me on the third of June: and from its being written at such an age, and at such an interval of time and place, and from its mention of our Portuguese peregrination, and of far happier times than the present, nothing could afford me higher delight. I have read it again and again, and read it still; together with the book which you sent as its companion. This book, if I may rely upon my own judgment, and upon that of many friends who were formerly your pupils, and to whom I have lent it, is by no means the production of a driveling author. A certain countryman of yours,^s a counsellor of the parliament of Poitiers, is however, I understand, of a different opinion; and he has written a book which I shall transmit to you as soon as it is published in that

^r An English life of Thuanus, chiefly compiled from his tract *De Vita sua*, was lately published by Mr Collinson. Lond. 1807, 8vo.

^s He alludes to Adam Blackwood; whom Mr Ruddiman styles "professor of law in the university of Poitiers." (*Vindication of Buchanan*, p. 124.) But it does not appear that he ever taught in that university. See the eulogium which Gabriel Naudé has prefixed to "*Blacvodæi Opera Omnia*." Paris. 1644, 4to. Blackwood lived to publish a second edition of his *Apologia pro Regibus*. Paris. 1588, 8vo.

city. What brothers of your literary offspring you allude to that I have not already seen, I know not: for the tragedies, psalms, elegies, and epigrams of George Buchanan are sold here. It is your sphere only, which you are understood to have composed at an earlier period, that many persons, and I among the first, are now anxiously expecting: but perhaps that poem has not yet been prepared for the press by your final correction. The works of mine which you mention are of a puerile kind, and composed for the benefit of the youth whom I educate in this seminary. If you doubt my assertion, you may convince yourself of its accuracy by inspecting my commentary on the *Somnium Scipionis*; which I now present to you, with the epistles of Gelida.^t With respect to your particular recommendation of Henry Wardlaw, I beg leave to assure you that from the time when I here became acquainted with you, with your personal character and your erudition, I for your sake love and respect all your countrymen, and render them every service in my power, which indeed is very limited. This school is rarely without a Scotchman: it has two at present; one of them is professor of philosophy, the other^u of the Greek language and of

^t J. Gelidæ Valentini Epistolæ. Rupellæ, 1571, 4to.

^u This was probably Robert Balfour, the learned editor of Cleomedes and commentator on Aristotle. He was afterwards principal of the college. There is a letter from Balfour among the *Epistres Françaises à M. de la Scala*, p. 13. Harderwyck, 1624, 8vo. He is repeatedly mentioned in the

mathematics: both are good, honest, and learned men, and enjoy the favourable opinion of their auditors. Farewell, and expect to hear from me frequently, provided I can find a conveyance for my letters."^x

Elias Vinetus must have interested those who are sufficiently interested in Buchanan; and it may not therefore be superfluous to devote a digressive page to his commemoration. Descended of humble parents, he was born in the village of Vinet, situated in the *châtellenie* of Barbesieux in Saintonge. He received the rudiments of education at Barbesieux, and afterwards studied four years at Poitiers. Having returned to the former place, he there amassed a small sum of money by engaging in the tuition of youth, and was thus enabled to gratify his literary curiosity by paying a visit to Paris. He began to teach humanity in the College of Guienne at Bordeaux in the year 1539, which was the period when Buchanan likewise became a member of that famous seminary. Having fallen into an infirm state of health, he retired for some time to his native province; and, in 1542, he again betook himself to Paris, where he became acquainted with Anthony Govea.^y The elder Govea hav-

epistles of Scaliger and Casaubon. He is highly extolled in a work entitled "Epigrammaton Joannis Dunbari Megalo-Britanni centuriam sex, decades totidem," p. 52. Lond. 1616, 8vo.

^x Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 33.

^y These two dates, 1539 and 1542, are copied from an epistle of Vinetus which Schottus has inserted in his *Bibliotheca Hispanica*, p. 475.

ing invited him back to Bordeaux, he there continued to discharge his academical functions till the year 1547, when he emigrated with Buchanan and other learned men to the university of Coimbra. What treatment he experienced among the Portuguese, is uncertain; but soon after the death of Govea, he returned to Bordeaux, and taught humanity and mathematics. After the decease of his friend Gelida, which happened in the year 1556,^z he succeeded him as principal of the college; which he continued for many years to govern with great credit to himself, and with great utility to the public. Having exceeded the age of seventy-eight, he died on the fourteenth of May 1587.^a His character seems to have been that of a modest and worthy man. If not entitled to rank with scholars of the first order, he was at least furnished with a very considerable share of erudition. He published some original works,^b and editions of several ancient writers; and has evinced an ac-

^z In one part of his extensive work, Nicéron refers the death of Gelida to the nineteenth of February, in another to the nineteenth of June, 1558. (*Memoires des Hommes Illustres*, tom. xxii, p. 107, tom. xxx, p. 224.) Both these dates are erroneous: Gelida died on the nineteenth of February 1556.

^a Nicéron, *Memoires des Hommes Illustres*, tom. xxx, p. 224.

^b One of them is entitled *De Logistica libri tres*. Burd. 1573, 8vo. Vinetus remarks that this art, originally denominated algorism, was derived from the Arabians; and that Joannes de Sacrobosco, who composed a treatise *De Algorismo* about the year 1250, was the earliest writer on the subject with whom he was acquainted. "L'algorismo," says Menage, "propriamente è una aritmetica logica." (*Origini della Lingua Italiana*, p. 43, fol.)

quaintance with science as well as literature. His editions of Pomponius Mela and Ausonius were once held in no common estimation: Vossius was of opinion that after Hermolaus Barbarus,^c no editor had contributed so much to the illustration of the former author.^d

Buchanan's last epistle is addressed to his early friend Beza. "Although my attention is divided by various occupations, and the state of my health is so desperate as to leave me no leisure for the common duties of life, yet the departure of Jerome Groslot has banished all my excuses. For as the father, who was a man of distinction, loaded me, during my residence in France, with every species of kindness, and the son has honoured me here as another parent, I was aware that among you I could not escape the heavy charge of ingratitude, if I should now overlook the kindness which I experienced from the one, the pleasant intercourse which I have enjoyed with the other, and the polite attention which you have uniformly paid me. Yet among those who are not unacquainted with my present condition, such a fault would readily find its apology. It is my best apology, that all my senses dying before me, what now remains of the image of the former man testifies, not that I am, but

^c This learned writer's corrections of Pomponius Mela are printed with his *Castigationes Plinianæ*. Romæ, 1492-3, fol.

^d Vossius de Scientiis Mathematicis, p. 258.

that I have been, alive ; especially as I can neither cherish the hope of contracting new intimacies, nor of continuing the old. These circumstances I now mention with greater confidence, as the present occasion affords you an opportunity of learning my condition from Groslet; whom it appears superfluous to recommend to your attention. The dispositions of youth disclose themselves without our aid. I have however furnished him with a recommendation, rather to comply with the common practice, than because it is requisite. With regard to myself, since I cannot continue my former mode of life by the reciprocation of friendly offices, I shall refrain from those exertions to which I have long been unequal, and indulge in silence. Farewell. Edinburgh, July the fifteenth, 1581." This interesting letter is followed by a more formal testimonial in favour of the young and accomplished emigrant. "Jerome Groslet, a young man of Orleans who is the bearer of this, although born in a distinguished city of most distinguished parents, is however best known in consequence of his calamities. In that universal tumult, and universal phrensy, which prevailed in France, he lost his father and his patrimony, and was himself exposed to jeopardy. As he could not remain at home in safety, he chose to fix his residence in Scotland till the violence of that storm should a little subside. As the state of national affairs is now somewhat more tranquil, and his domestic concerns require

his return, he is determined to travel through England, that, like Ulysses, he may become acquainted with the manners and cities of many men, and, as far as the shortness of his time will permit, may familiarize himself with a branch of civil knowledge which is of no trivial importance. This journey I trust he will not perform without reaping some benefit, such as he has derived from his late peregrination. During his residence in Scotland, he has not lived like a stranger in a foreign land, but like a citizen among his fellows. The study of letters he has prosecuted so successfully, as not only to be able to sooth by their suavity the sorrows incident to his disastrous condition, but also to have provided for himself and his family a resource against the future contingencies of life. Here it is not necessary for me to persuade, or even to admonish you, to treat this excellent youth with kindness; for that the uniform course of your life, and the bond of the same faith, demand of you, nay, even compel you to do, for the sake of maintaining your own character."^e This young stranger, in whom he seems to have been so much interested, was the son of Jerome Grosnot, *bailli* of Orleans; who was assassinated at Paris during the infamous massacre of St. Bartholomew.^f The father likewise appears to have been attached to letters.^g

^e Buchanani Epistolæ, p. 33.

^f Thuani Hist. sui Temporis, tom. ii, p. 42, 44, tom. iii, p. 132.

^g Saxius supposes him to be the author of two juridical tracts, written in

The son, though he did not himself publish any work, was well known to the scholars of the age: he was one of the intimate friends of Dousa, and enjoyed the acquaintance of Cujacius, Casaubon, and Lipsius.^h

The last production which Buchanan lived to complete was his history of Scotland.ⁱ In the

Latin, and published at Paris in 1538. (*Onomasticon Literarium*, tom. iii, p. 193, 554.) These tracts occur in Otto's *Thesaurus Juris Civilis*, tom. v, p. 1, 48.

^h Colomiés mentions a volume of Latin and Italian letters from Father Paul to M. de l'Isle Groslot and M. Gillot, printed at Geneva in 1673. Several philological epistles of Groslot may be found in the collections of Goldastus and Burman. In the latter collection occur his annotations on Tacitus. (*Burmanni Sylloge Epistolarum*, tom. i, p. 348.) Casaubon styles him "nobilissimus doctissimusque vir." (*Animadversiones ad Suetonium*, p. 2.) Josias Mercerus, the father-in-law of Salmasius, inscribed to Groslot his edition of Dictys Cretensis and Dares Phrygius, published at Paris in 1618.

ⁱ *Rerum Scoticarum Historia*, auctore Georgio Buchanano Scoto. Edimburgi, apud Alexandrum Arbuthnetum typographum regium. Cum privilegio regali. 1582, fol.—This edition contains many typographical errors; a list of which may be found appended to Crawford's *Notes on Buchanan*. Of the history of Scotland there are seventeen editions. The two last are those of Alexander Finlater and James Man. Edinb. 1727, 8vo. Aberd. 1762, 8vo. Finlater is mentioned by Ruddiman as "a gentleman well versed in classical learning." (*Further Vindication*, p. 7.) Archbishop Nicolson remarks that Buchanan's history was "epitomized in a good Latin style by Mr Alexander Hume; who was sometime chief master of the grammar school at Edinburgh." (*Scottish Historical Library*, p. 43.) This epitome was never printed. The history was translated into the Scottish language by John Reid, or Read; who, according to Calderwood's MS. was "servitur and writer to Mr George Buchanan." In the library of the university of Glasgow, I have inspected a MS. of this unpublished version, which bears the following inscription. "The Historie of Scotland, first written in the Latine tungue by that famous and learned man George Buchanan, and afterward translated into the Scottishe tungue by John Read, Esquyar, brother to James Read, person of Banchory Ternan whyle he liued. They both ly interred in the parishe church of that towne, seated not farre from the banke

year 1582, it issued from the office of Alexander Arbuthnot, printer to the king. It bears the royal privilege, and, like other works of the same author, is dedicated to the young monarch. The dedication is not unworthy of our attention. "When after a peregrination of twenty-four years,^k I had at length returned to my native country, the first object of my care was to collect

of the river of Dee, expecting the general resurrection, and the glorious appearing of Jesus Christ there redimer." This transcript appears from the colophon to have been completed on the twelfth of December 1634. Another unpublished version belongs to the British Museum: "An History of the State of Scotland, by George Buchquhanane a Scotchman." (*Bib. Har.* No. 7539.) This MS. is imperfect; it commences with the twelfth, and ends in the nineteenth book. The idiom is English, and the hand apparently of the seventeenth century. An English translation of Buchanan's history and dialogue was printing in London about the æra of the restoration: but on the seventh of June 1660, the publication was prohibited by an order of council. (*Chalmers*, p. 350.) In 1690, an English translation of Buchanan's history was published at London in folio. Prefixed is a good portrait of the author, engraved by R. White from an original painting in the possession of Sir Thomas Povey. In 1722, the same version was reprinted at London in two volumes octavo. This edition professes to be "revised and corrected from the Latin original, by Mr Bond:" but it is remarked by Ruddiman that although the first abounds with errors, yet he has not made the least alteration. (*Answer to Logan*, p. 315.) Of this translation there are other five editions, each consisting of two volumes octavo. Lond. 1733. Edinb. 1751-2. Edinb. 1762. Edinb. 1766. Glasg. 1799. There is an Aberdeen edition, 1799, consisting of two volumes in one. An English version of several books of Buchanan's history was published as an original work, under the title of "An Impartial Account of the Affairs of Scotland, from the death of King James V. to the tragical Exit of the Earl of Murray: by an eminent hand." Lond. 1705, 8vo.

^k "Post viginti quatuor annorum peregrinationem." This reading must be erroneous. Buchanan left his native country in 1539; and he was at the Scottish court in the month of January 1562. Mr Love is inclined to suppose that he returned with the prior of St. Andrews in May 1561. (*Vindication of Buchanan*, p. 61.)

my papers, dispersed by the malignity of former times, and in many respects exposed to improper treatment. For partly by the undue partiality of my friends, by whom they were prematurely published, partly by the immoderate licence which printers, assuming the character of censors, exercise with respect to other men's works, I find many passages changed, chiefly according to their respective fancies, and some vilely corrupted.

“ While I was attempting to remedy these inconveniencies, the sudden entreaties of my friends disordered all my plans. For all of them, as if they had conspired with each other, exhorted me to relinquish those performances of a more trivial nature, which rather sooth the ear than inform the mind, and to occupy myself in writing the history of our nation. This occupation, they urged, was worthy of my age, and of the expectations concerning me which my countrymen had formed; and no other subject presented stronger incentives of praise, or promised to confer a more lasting reputation. To omit other considerations, as Britain is the most renowned island in the world, and its history involves transactions highly memorable in every respect, you will hardly discover in the course of ages an individual who has ventured to undertake so important a subject, and has evinced himself equal to the undertaking.

“ It was likewise no slight incentive to me,

that I concluded my labour would neither be undue nor unacceptable to you. For it appeared absurd and shameful that you, who at this early age have perused the histories of almost every nation, and have committed many of them to memory, should in some measure be a stranger at home. Besides as the incurable state of my health will not permit me to discharge the office intrusted to me of cultivating your genius,¹ I have deemed it my next duty to betake myself to that species of composition which is calculated for improving the mind. With the view of extenuating as far as lies in my power this fault of cessation, I have therefore determined to send you faithful monitors drawn from history, that you may adopt their counsel in your deliberations, and imitate their virtue in your actions. For there are among your ancestors men distinguished by every species of excellence, and of whom their posterity will never be ashamed. To omit other instances, the records of human affairs will not supply you with a character whom you can compare to our king David. If to him divine benignity has vouchsafed this preëminence, not only in most miserable, but even in most flagitious times, we may reasonably hope that you (as the royal prophet has expressed himself)^m may

¹ “*Partes ingenii tui excolendas.*” This passage is evidently inaccurate. The genuine reading, *excolendi*, is given in the edition of Finlater.

^m “*Ut ait vates regius.*” The purity of this phrase, as it is here applied, has been called in question; and perhaps with sufficient reason. (Ruddiman’s

likewise become to mothers the standard of their request whenever they pray for the prosperity of their offspring; that this commonwealth, now hastening to universal destruction and ruin, may even be regulated in its course, till it at length approach those times when human affairs having fulfilled the decree fixed from eternity, are to reach their destined close."

Between the original formation of his plan, and the publication of the history itself, nearly twenty years must have elapsed: but it is to be supposed that he long revolved the subject in his mind, and had proceeded to amass the greater part of his materials, before he applied himself to its composition; and during that interval, his attention had been distracted by various pursuits, political as well as literary. His progress seems also to have been interrupted by another accident which cannot easily be defined.ⁿ Notwithstanding

Anticrisis, p. 77.) *Vates regius* seems rather to denote a king's prophet, than a person who was at once a prophet and a king. To this very pure and correct writer, a few other improprieties have been imputed, but some of them without any competent foundation. Charges of solecism are more easily advanced than refuted; and many writers have advanced them with great temerity. Dr Johnson, for example, objects to Dryden's using a word of most unquestionable authority. "The *Threnodia*, which, by a term I am afraid neither authorized nor analogical, he calls *Augustalis*." (*Lives of English Poets*, vol. ii, p. 153.) The word *Augustalis* is used by Columella, Suetonius, Tacitus, and other ancient authors. It is sufficiently familiar to the ears of a civilian, for it repeatedly occurs in the Theodosian Code, and in the Code and Pandects of Justinian. "De Officio Præfecti *Augustalis*," is one of the rubrics in each of the two last collections.

ⁿ The following passage occurs in a letter from Sir Robert Bowes to Lord Burleigh, dated at Stirling on the eighteenth of September 1578.

ing the manifest disadvantages of divided attention, of infirm health, and of a languid old age, he has produced one of the most eloquent and masterly performances that have ever been submitted to the inspection of the learned world. It is very justly remarked by the excellent Thuanus, that although much of Buchanan's time had been spent in scholastic occupations, yet his history might be supposed the production of a man whose whole life had been exercised in the political transactions of the state; the felicity of his genius, and the greatness of his mind, having enabled him so completely to remove every impediment incident to an obscure and humble lot.^o

Buchanan has divided his history into twenty books. The first three ought rather to have been exhibited in the form of an introductory dissertation; for the historical narrative properly commences with the fourth book. His preliminary

“ Buchanan hath ended his story wrytten to the death of the Erle of Murrey. He proposith to commend it to print shortly; *but one thing of late hath been withdrawen from him*, which he trusteth to recover, or else to supply of new with soer travell. He accepteth your lordships commendations with great comfort, and returneth to your lordship his humble duty and thanks.” (Murdin's *Collection of State Papers*, p. 316. Lond. 1759, fol.)

° “ In senili otio patriam historiam aggressus est; quam tanta puritate, prudentia, et acumine scripsit, quamvis interdum libertate genti innata, contra regium fastigium acerbior, ut ea scriptio non hominem in pulvere literario versatum, sed in media hominum luce et in tractandis reipublicæ negotiis tota vita exercitatum redoleat: adeo ingenii felicitas et animi magnitudo omnia obscuræ et humilis fortunæ impedimenta ab eo removerant, ut propterea non minus recte de maximis rebus judicare et scribere prudenter posset.” (Thuani *Hist. sui Temporis*, vol. iv, p. 99.)

enquiries are directed to the geographical situation, the nature of the soil and climate, the ancient names and manners, and the primitive inhabitants of the British islands. The third book consists of a series of quotations from the Greek and Latin authors. The whole of this introductory part displays his usual erudition and sagacity; and, in the opinion of Archbishop Usher, no writer had investigated the antiquities of his country with superior diligence.^p In these disquisitions he evinces his knowledge of the Celtic as well as of the classical languages. He has manifested an unnecessary degree of solicitude and warmth in exposing some of the antiquarian reveries of Humphrey Lhuyd, a Cambro-Briton who published an historical fragment in the year 1572.^q This was only ten years before the ap-

^p Usserii Britannic. Eccles. Antiq. p. 733. Dublin. 1639, 4to.

^q Commentarioli Britannicæ Descriptionis Fragmentum, auctore Humfredo Lhuyd, Denbyghiense, Cambro-Britanno. Hujus auctoris diligentiam et judicium lector admirabitur. Col. Agrip. 1572, 8vo. Mr Herbert mentions an earlier edition; but I do not suppose that it ever existed. This fragment is dedicated to Abraham Ortelius, who in his *Theatrum Orbis Terrarum* has inserted Lhuyd's *Epistola de Mona Druidum Insula*. A correct edition of these two tracts of Lhuyd was published by his countryman Moses Williams, A. M. Lond. 1731, 4to. A translation of the fragment had formerly appeared under the title of "The Breuiary of Britayne, &c. by Thomas Twyne, Gentleman." Lond. 1573, 8vo. A third production of the same author is entitled *The Historie of Cambria, now called Wales*. Lond. 1584, 4to. This work was augmented, and published after his death, by David Powell, D. D. "It pleased God," says Powell, "to take him awaie in the floure of his time." He had been educated at Oxford, and his profession was that of physic. "Afterwards retiring to his own country, lived mostly within the walls of Denbigh castle, practised his faculty, and sometimes that of musick for diversion sake, being then

pearance of Buchanan's work: but the three books which first occur in the present arrangement do not seem to have been first composed;^r and it is only in those books that he refers to Lhuyd's production.

In the earlier part of his narration, he has reposed too much confidence on his predecessor Hector Boyce. Many of the fables of that romantic writer he has indeed rejected; but he was not sufficiently aware of the extreme hazard of relying on such an authority. Boyce had not yet begun to be generally regarded as a notable fabulist.^s "Hector," says Bishop Lloyd with

esteemed a well bred gentleman. He was a passing right antiquary, and a person of great skill and knowledge in British affairs." (Wood's *Athenæ Oxonienses*, vol. i, col. 129.) Mr Barrington, a more competent judge, acknowledges that Lhuyd "is generally very accurate in what relates to the history of Wales, or its antiquities." (*Observations on the Statutes*, p. 323.) But many of his notions are sufficiently absurd. Of his antiquarian theories, Languet did not entertain a more favourable opinion than Buchanan. (*Langueti Epistolæ ad Sydneium*, p. 29, 41.)

^r Ruddiman, *Anticrisis*, p. 6, Answer to Logan, p. 80.

^s Hector Boyce, D. D. was the first principal of King's College, Aberdeen, and was besides a canon of the cathedral. He was a native of Dundee, and completed his studies at Paris; where he was for some time a professor of philosophy. His first publication is entitled, "*Episcoporum Murthlacensium et Aberdonensium per Hectorem Boetium Vitæ*." Paris. 1522, 4to. He afterwards published "*Scotorum Historiæ a prima Gentis Origine, cum aliarum et Rerum et Gentium Illustratione non vulgari, [libri xvii]*." This work was printed in folio by Badius Ascensius, probably in the year 1527. The dedication to King James is dated in 1526; but the commendatory epistle by Alexander Lyon, in the following year. An edition, containing the eighteenth book and a fragment of the nineteenth, was published by Ferrerius; who added an appendix of thirty-five pages. Paris. 1574, fol. Though published at Paris, this edition appears from the colophon to have been printed at Lausanne. Gaddius styles the Scottish

some degree of pleasantry, “ put this monk’s tales into the form of an history; and pieced them out with a very good invention, that part in which he chiefly excelled. Buchanan put them into excellent Latin: he could have put them into as good verse, if he had pleased; and that perhaps had been better, for then they would have looked more like a poem.”^t Buchanan has appealed to several other Scottish historians; and he unquestionably had access to historical documents which are no longer extant. He has occasionally availed himself of the collateral aid of the English and French writers.^u His sketch of the earlier reigns is brief and rapid; nor has he attempted to establish any chronological notation till he descends to the four hundred and fourth year of the Christian æra. It must indeed be acknowledged that he has repeated the fabul-

historian “ magister Latino eloquio.” (*De Scriptoribus non Ecclesiasticis*, tom. i, p. 75.) And Buchanan describes him as “ non solum artium liberalium cognitione, supra quam illa ferebant tempora, insignem, sed et humanitate et comitate singulari præditum.” (*Rerum Scotic. Hist.* p. 44.) At the command of King James the fifth, a Scottish translation of Boyce’s history was executed by John Bellenden, archdeacon of Murray, and canon of Ross. It was published in folio and in black letter, with the following title: *Heir beginnis the Hystory and Croniklis of Scotland*. This edition, which seems to be the only one, has no date; but it was “ imprentit in Edinburgh be me Thomas Daidson, prenter to the kyngis nobyll grace.” In the library of the university of Edinburgh there is a splendid copy, printed on vellum.

^t Lloyd’s Historical Account of Church-Government in Great Britain and Ireland, pref.

^u In his history, Buchanan refers to Fordun, Winton, Mair, Boyce, Matthew Paris, William of Newbury, Thomas Walsingham, Polydore Virgil, Caxton, Hall, Grafton, Froissart, and Monstrellet.

ous line of our ancient kings ; but that continued till a much later period to be regarded as an article of national faith. The erudition and judgment of Lloyd and Stillingfleet, of Innes and Pinkerton, had not then been applied to the intricate investigation. Like most of the classical historians, Buchanan is too remiss in marking the chronology of the different facts which he records. His narrative, from the reign of the great King Robert, becomes much more copious and interesting ; but the history of his own times, which were pregnant with remarkable events, occupies far the largest proportion of his twenty books. In some of the transactions which he relates, his own affections and passions were deeply concerned, and might not unreasonably be expected to impart some tincture to his style. “ His bitterness in writing of the queen”, says Archbishop Spotswood, “ and troubles of the time, all wise men have disliked. But otherwise no man did merit better of his nation for learning, nor thereby did bring to it more glory.”^x This is the remark of a candid and enlightened man who enjoyed the particular favour of the queen’s son and grandson : he has not however hazarded the slightest insinuation of Buchanan’s having asserted what he did not himself believe. It was manifestly the interest as well as the inclination of this prelate, to exhibit the character of Mary in

^x Spotswood’s Hist. of the Church of Scotland, p. 325.

the most favourable point of view ; and yet his love of truth, and the force of contemporary evidence, have compelled him to sanction the general tenor of his predecessor's narrative. So great indeed is the archbishop's deference for his authority, that, in the opinion at least of a noble author, " he is every where a retailer of Buchanan's prejudices." ^y His indignation against that deluded princess, Buchanan shared with a very large proportion of his fellow subjects ; and many of her actions were such as could not fail of exciting the antipathy of every well-regulated mind. ^z He believed that the misdeeds of the ill-fated queen were such as dissolved every tie by which he might once be bound ; and that her conduct had not only superseded her hereditary claims of allegiance, but had even reflected disgrace on her country and sex. That some of the circumstances which he relates are not consistent with complete accuracy, is only what may be affirmed with respect to any other historian. He was not himself an eye-witness of every transaction of his own age ; and amidst the animosities of that turbulent period, he must chiefly have derived his information from the adherents of one party. That Bishop Lesley has exhibited a

^y Elibank's Letter to Lord Hailes, on his Remarks on the History of Scotland, p. 17. Edinb. 1773, 8vo.

^z Thuanus to Camden. " Acerbius hæc fortasse a Buchanano scripta, et audio discipulum præceptori ob id succensere ; et tamen quia gesta sunt, citra flagitium dissimulari non possunt." (Camdeni *Epistolæ*, p. 68. Lond. 1691, 4to.)

more faithful detail of the singular events of that crisis, is an assertion which has indeed been hazarded, but which it would be extremely easy to refute. Lesley has generally evinced as much candour as could have been expected from a writer placed in such circumstances; but he was a Papist, and one of the queen's chief agents; he had been deprived of a bishopric and other high preferments; and his work was printed at Rome.^a The veracity of Buchanan with respect to the most controverted facts recorded in his history, has been confirmed by a very recent examination of original documents: some of the darkest transactions of that period have been placed in a clear and steady light by the able disquisitions of Mr Laing, to whom Buchanan has many obligations.

The style of his history betrays no symptoms of the author's old age and infirmities: it is not

^a John Lesley, LL. D. bishop of Ross, and president of the court of session, was born on the 29th of September 1527. He took the degree of A.M. in King's College, Aberdeen, and afterwards prosecuted his juridical studies in several of the French universities. He was for some time professor of the canon law at Aberdeen. (Orem's *Description of King's College*, p. 156.) After a long exile, he died at Brussels on the 31st of May 1596. See an account of his life in Anderson's *Collections relating to the Hist. of Q. Mary*, vol. i. Lesley is the author of various works; most of which may be found in the collections of Anderson and Jebb. His history is entitled "De Origine, Moribus, et Rebus Gestis Scotorum libri decem." Romæ, 1578, 4to. His "temper and way of writing," says J. Crawford, "are excellent, only his style, though in the main strokes it be good, yet in many places wants finishing, and is not altogether free from errors." (*Hist. of the House of Esté*, pref. Lond. 1681, 8vo.) To Lesley's history Muretus has prefixed some complimentary verses.

merely distinguished by its correctness and elegance, it breathes all the fervent animation of youthful genius. The noble ideas which so frequently rise in his mind, he always expresses in language of correspondent dignity. His narrative is extremely perspicuous, variegated, and interesting: it is seldom deficient, and never redundant. Notwithstanding his long habits of poetical composition, he has carefully refrained from interspersing this work with phraseologies unsuitable to the diction of prose; and in the whole course of his narrative he has only introduced a single quotation from a poet. His moral and political reflections are profound and masterly. It is with the utmost propriety that he has been characterized as a man of exquisite judgment.^b He is ready upon all occasions to vindicate the unalienable rights of mankind; and he uniformly delivers his sentiments with a noble freedom and energy. His zeal in branding vice is only equalled by his zeal in commending virtue. The martial exploits of his valiant countrymen he has often recited with all the enthusiasm of a young warrior.

To some of his principal characters he has assigned formal speeches. This was the common practice of the ancient historians, and it has likewise been adopted by several of the moderns.

^b Conringius de Antiquitatibus Academicis, p. 79, edit. Heumanni. Göttingæ, 1739, 4to.

But it has at length been generally exploded ; and however it may contribute to diversity or interest, it may safely be stigmatized as unsuitable in a composition which professes to record events and circumstances as they actually occurred.^c Buchanan's orators are uncommonly eloquent. The most admired of his harangues is that which he imputes to Archbishop Kennedy after the death of James the second. Its principal position is, that the sovereign power ought not to be intrusted to the hands of a woman ; a position which had been maintained with equal strenuousness by John Knox, and with equal zeal controverted by Bishop Lesley.^d Some of the speeches which he ascribes to contemporary characters,

^c " I hold," says Lord Monboddo, " that in every history well composed, there ought to be *speeches*, without which, I think, a history hardly deserves that name, but should be called a *chronicle* or *annals*." (*Origin and Progress of Language*, vol. v, p. 280.) That is to say, a history ought not to be called a history unless it assume the appearance of a romance. Vossius likewise contends for the introduction of orations (*Ars Historica*, p. 98, edit. Lugd. Bat. 1653, 4to.) ; but the opinion of Perizonius appears more solid and judicious : " Eas ego in veteribus, si non sint immodicæ aut nimis crebræ, ferre possum et excusare, at in nostris scriptoribus minus probaverim. Alia enim illorum, alia horum est ratio." (*Q. Curtius Vindictus*, p. 94. Lugd. Bat. 1703, 8vo.)

^d Knox published " The First Blast of the Trumpet against the Monstruous Regiment of Women." 1558, 8vo. Lesley is the author of a tract entitled " De Illustrium Foeminarum in Repub. administranda et ferendis Legibus Autoritate, Libellus." Rhemis, 1580, 4to. Montesquieu's general opinion coincides with that of Lesley. (*De l'Esprit des Loix*, liv. vii, chap. xvii.)

In the year 1567, the Scottish legislature thought it " expedient that in natiues cuning ony wemen salbe admittit to the publick autoritie of the realme, or function in publick gouernment within the same." (*Acts of the Parliaments of Scotland*, vol. iii, p. 38.)

are such as may be supposed to have been really delivered; for the author must have been present on the occasions to which they are referred. But it is one of the inconveniences attending factitious harangues, that their introduction renders it impossible to distinguish those which are genuine.

Buchanan may be compared to the ancient historians in another respect: with regard to prodigies, he has betrayed some degree of credulity. But this was a defect incident to the age, rather than the individual; nor must it be forgotten that he records some of those preternatural circumstances without professing to consider them as entitled to credit. The national rumour concerning them appears to have been strong; and he might deem it incumbent upon him to submit them to the discussion of his readers. During the age of Buchanan, even the most intelligent were credulous; and many of the opinions revered by the present age may possibly excite the pity or derision of the more enlightened ages which are yet to come. The intellectual slumber of a thousand years had recently been shaken off: but so extremely slow is the progress of good sense, that even now it can only be considered as proceeding towards a distant maturity. Every age is however disposed to rest satisfied with its own attainments; and this is at once the effect and the cause of ignorance.

What particular historian among the ancients Buchanan had selected as his model, is a question which some learned men have not been able to determine. As a preparation for his task, he is said to have perused all the remaining books of Livy not fewer than twenty times.^e Rapin the Jesuit represents him as a servile imitator of Livy;^f but this servile imitation is far from being evident to more candid critics. It was an opinion of the celebrated Andrew Fletcher that his diction bears a nearer resemblance to that of Cæsar.^g Buchanan, says Le Clerc, has united the brevity of Sallust with the elegance and terseness of Livy; for those are the two authors whom he proposed chiefly to imitate; as they who have perused them with attention, will easily recognize when they come to read the Scottish historian.^h These various assertions are manifestly irreconcilable with each other; nor do they serve to evince that Buchanan has selected any particular model, but rather that he has singly rivalled the characteristic excellencies of several historians of the greatest name. The style of his history is not a borrowed style: he had formed his diction by a long familiarity with the best writers of antiquity; and his manly and delicate taste enabled him to exhibit an admirable model of his own.

^e Fabricii Bibliotheca Latina, vol. ii, p. 205.

^f Rapin, Reflexions sur l'Histoire, p. 252.

^g Ruddimanni præf. in Buchananum, p. x.

^h Bibliotheque Choisie, tom. viii, p. 174.

It is not his chief praise that he writes like a diligent imitator of the ancients, but that he writes as if he himself were one of the ancients.

Bishop Burnet has remarked that "his stile is so natural and nervous, and his reflections on things are so solid, that he is justly reckoned the greatest and best of our modern authors."ⁱ Notwithstanding his political prejudices, Dryden likewise mentions him in terms of high commendation. "Buchanan indeed for the purity of his Latin, and for his learning, and for all other endowments belonging to an historian, might be plac'd amongst the greatest, if he had not too much lean'd to prejudice, and too manifestly declar'd himself a party of a cause, rather than an historian of it. Excepting only that, (which I desire not to urge too far on so great a man, but only to give caution to his readers concerning it,) our isle may justly boast in him, a writer comparable to any of the moderns, and excell'd by few of the ancients."^j Lord Monboddo, whose opi-

ⁱ Burnet's Hist. of the Reformation, vol. i, p. 311.

^j Dryden's Life of Plutarch, p. 56.—Buchanan's Latinity is highly commended by Sir William Temple, another very popular writer of that period. "Thus began the restoration of learning in these parts, with that of the Greek tongue; and soon after, Reuchlyn and Erasmus began that of the purer and ancient Latin. After them Buchanan carried it, I think, to the greatest heighth of any of the moderns before or since." (*Essay upon the Ancient and Modern Learning*, p. 161.) Reuchlin has found an industrious biographer in his countryman J. H. Maius; whose publication is entitled "Vita Jo. Reuchlini Phorcensis, primi in Germania Hebraicarum Græcarumque, et aliarum bonarum Literarum Instauratoris." Durlaci, 1687, 8vo.

nion on this subject coincides with Wicquefort's,^k prefers his history to that of Livy. "I will begin with my countryman Buchanan, who has written the history of his own country in Latin, and in such Latin, that I am not afraid to compare his stile with that of any Roman historian. He lived in an age when the Latin language was very much cultivated; and among the learned it was not only the only language in which they wrote, but a living language; for they spoke no other when they conversed together, at least upon learned subjects. . . . In such an age, and with all the advantages of a learned education, did George Buchanan write the history of Scotland from the earliest times down to his own time: and I hesitate not to pronounce that the stile of his narrative is better than that of Livy; for it is as pure and elegant, is better composed in periods not intricate and involved like those of Livy, and without that affected brevity which makes Livy's stile so obscure. Even in speeches, in which Livy is supposed to excel so much, I think his composition is better; and he has none of those short pointed sentences, the *vibrantes sententiolæ*, which Livy learned in the school of declamation."^l

The motives which impel men to arduous undertakings, may be scrutinized with too much

^k Wicquefort, *Memoires touchant les Ambassadeurs et les Ministres Publics*, p. 442. Haye, 1677, 8vo.

^l Monboddo's *Origin and Progress of Language*, vol. v, p. 229.

nicety. In his dedication, Buchanan has sufficiently revealed the motives which induced him to write the history of his native country: but some of his enemies persuade themselves that they have discovered another powerful motive, which he has excluded from his enumeration. The earl of Murray, they imagine, had formed a secret plan of usurping the crown; and the sole or at least the principal object of that history was, to prepare the nation for receiving him as their legitimate monarch. That Murray ever entertained such a project, is to be regarded as a mere fiction;^m nor must it be forgotten that he died twelve years before the history was published. If such therefore was the ambition of the one, and the obsequiousness of the other, they might certainly have embraced a more direct method of accomplishing their purpose. Buchanan is accused of having frequently employed, in his account of the regal succession, such terms as insinuate popular election, rather than hereditary right; with the oblique view of reminding the nation of its inherent power to elevate the good regent to the permanent dignity of a king. But,

^m “Nam demus,” says the impartial Thuanus, “quod ab diversa tradentibus jactatur, Moravium ambitione ardentem scelerate regnum appetiisse: quod tamen constanter negant omnes fide digni Scoti, quoscunque mihi alloqui contigit; etiam ii quibus alioqui Moravius ob religionis causam summe invisus erat; nam virum fuisse aiebant extra religionis causam ab omni ambitione, avaritia, et in quenquam injuria alienum; virtute, comitate, beneficentia vitæque innocentia præstantem; et qui nisi fuisset, eos qui tantopere mortuum exagitant, hodie minime rerum potituros fuisse.” (*Camdeni Epistolæ*, p. 73.)

unfortunately for this hypothesis, the very same phrases had been adopted by his predecessor Boyce, and even by Lesley, the faithful adherent of the exiled queen. This conduct is in Lesley ascribed to accident, but in Buchanan to treasonable intentions:ⁿ and the actions of the latter have generally been estimated by the same variable standard. The reason of such phrases being adopted by those authors is simple and obvious. Although they had undertaken to unfold the progress of an hereditary monarchy, they had formed their style by a long and careful perusal of the historians of an ancient republic. To the succession of the Scottish kings they applied the phrases by which Livy had described the succession of the Roman consuls. This practice of accommodating classical terms to modern subjects which they only explain by a faint analogy, is well known to every man of learning; and by some historians, particularly by Bembus, it has been carried to a ridiculous excess.

As Buchanan is supposed to have commenced his great undertaking from motives of treason, so he is charitably represented as having terminated it from motives of revenge. "His history," it has been remarked, "comes no farther than the end of the year 1572, in which the earl of Lennox was slain; and though he lived ten years af-

ⁿ "The other (Lesley) *inconsiderately*, and contrary to his own principle, following his leader Boece, sometimes *stumbles on that phrase*." (Ruddiman's *Answer to Logan*, p. 71.)

ter, yet, because he hated (as Sir James Melvil informs us) the earl of Morton, he would not continue the history through his regency."° To some men the motives of the living and of the dead are wonderfully transparent. To dislike the earl of Morton was not in itself reprehensible; for, according to Melvil's own account, he was haughty, avaricious, and cruel. Buchanan however has frequently mentioned him in his history, without any invidious insinuations; and this circumstance, if he actually hated him, must at least be regarded as a proof of his magnanimity.^p But it was not sufficient to remark that

• Ruddiman's Answer to Logan, p. 80.

^p "He was also religious," says Sir James Melvil, "but was easily abused, and so facile, that he was led by every company that he haunted, which made him factious in his old days, for he spoke and wrote as those who were about him informed him: for he was become careless, following in many things the vulgar opinion: for he was naturally popular, and extremely revengeful against any man who had offended him, which was his greatest fault. For he did write despightful invectives against the earl of Monteath, for some particulars that were between him and the laird of Buchuanan. He became the earl of Mortoun's great enemy for that a nagg of his chanced to be taken from his servant during the civil troubles, and was bought by the regent, who had no will to part with the said horse, he was so 'sure' footed and so easie, that albeit Mr George had oft-times required him again, he could not get him. And therefore though he had been the regent's great friend before, he became his mortal enemy, and from that time forth spoke evil of him in all places, and at all occasions." (*Memoires*, p. 125.) Here Melvil must have written "as those who were about him informed him;" nor must it be forgotten that his politics were in direct opposition to those of Buchanan. The best refutation of these assertions is that Buchanan's history, which was published after the earl's execution, contains not a single insinuation to his prejudice: on the contrary, he is repeatedly mentioned in very respectful terms; for it was not till after he became regent, that his conduct was so obnoxious.

Nisbet's story of Buchanan's enmity towards William Earl Marischal is

he survived the earl of Lennox ten years; it ought likewise to have been ascertained, whether he desisted from his task ten years before his own decease.⁹ Human actions were never estimated in a more perverse manner; for the completion of his history, and the termination of his life, took place about the very same period.

In the month of September 1581, some of his learned friends, namely Andrew Melvin, James Melvin, and his own cousin Thomas Buchanan, provost of the collegiate church of Kirkheugh, having heard that the work was in the press and the author indisposed, hastened to Edinburgh to pay him a visit. James, who was the nephew of Andrew Melvin, and professor of divinity at St Andrews, has in simple terms recorded the principal circumstances which occurred during their interview. On entering his apartment, they found one of the greatest characters of the age^r

of a similar complexion. "Buchanan being by the earl refused the purchase of a piece of land, said to have of old belonged to some of his relations, as is vulgarly reported in the family, threatened revenge, which he seems to have performed by his profound silence through all his history of this noble family, and their heroic actions." (*System of Heraldry*, vol. ii, app. p. 7.) In his history, Buchanan has frequently mentioned the noble family of Keith.

"Accessit eo historiæ scribendæ labor," said Buchanan on the ninth of November 1579, "in ætate integra permolestus, nunc vero in hac meditatione mortis, inter mortalitatis metum, et desinendi pudorem, non potest non lentus esse et ingratus, quando nec cessare licet, nec progredi lubet." (*Epistolæ*, p. 25.) He was then in the seventy-fourth year of his age.

^r Salmasius has characterized Buchanan as "summum ætatis suæ virum." (*Epistola ad Menagium*, p. 54.) Heinsius, who differed so widely from Salmasius on other subjects, denominates him "virum suo sæculo majorem." (*Burmanni Sylloge Epistolarum*, tom. ii, p. 451.)

employed in the humble though benevolent task of teaching the hornbook to a young man in his service. After the usual salutations, "I perceive, Sir," said Andrew Melvin, "you are not idle." "Better this," replied Buchanan, "than stealing sheep, or sitting idle, which is as bad." He afterwards shewed them his dedication to the young king; and Melvin having perused it, remarked that it seemed in some passages obscure, and required certain words to complete the sense. "I can do nothing more," said Buchanan, "for thinking of another matter." "What is that?" rejoined Melvin.—"To die. But I leave that, and many other things to your care." Melvin likewise alluded to the publication of Blackwood's answer to his treatise *De Jure Regni apud Scotos*. These visitors afterwards proceeded to Arbuthnot's printing-office, to inspect a work which had excited such high expectation. They found the impression had advanced so far as the passage relative to the interment of David Rizzio; and being alarmed at the unguarded boldness with which the historian had there expressed himself, they requested the printer to desist. Having returned to Buchanan's house, they found him in bed. In answer to their friendly enquiries, he informed them that he was "even going the way of welfare." His kinsman then proceeded to mention their fears respecting the consequence of publishing so unpalatable a statement, and to suggest the probability of its inducing the

king to prohibit the entire work. "Tell me, man," said Buchanan, "if I have told the truth." "Yes Sir," replied his cousin, "I think so." "Then," rejoined the dying historian, "I will abide his feud, and all his kin's. Pray to God for me, and let him direct all." So, subjoins the original narrative, "by the printing of his chronicle was ended, that most learned, wise, and godly man ended this mortal life."^s

Such is the substance, and nearly the form, of James Melvin's relation; which is sufficiently probable in itself, and is sanctioned by the authority of a clergyman and professor of divinity. It furnishes a complete refutation of a story recorded by Camden, that, upon the approach of death, Buchanan testified the utmost compunction for having wielded his pen against Queen Mary.^t This story could indeed have been ex-

^s Life of James Melvin, written by Himself, p. 107. MS. in the Library of the Writers to the Signet.—The visit to Buchanan is very distinctly placed among the occurrences of 1581. Love, Man, and other writers, have, without any hint of such a change, introduced the date of 1582. They may have supposed that Melvin intended to refer this visit to the same year with the publication of Buchanan's history.

^t *Camdeni Annales*, vol. i, p. 130, edit. Hearnii.—The story of Buchanan's repentance is repeated by Strada, *De Bello Belgico*, dec. ii, lib. viii, p. 481, and by Dr Robert Johnston, *Rerum Britannicarum Historia*, p. 81. Amst. 1655, fol. Strada, with more than Jesuitical impudence, asserts that he was "partim spe inductus a Moravio, si hic regnum potiretur, se in Scotiæ patriarcham assumendum;" that he hoped to be rewarded with the archbishopric of St Andrews. To the vague report of Camden, Mr Sage added an old woman's tale which sufficiently confutes itself. His letter is subjoined to Bishop Gillan's *Life of the Reverend and Learned Mr John Sage*, p. 70. Lond. 1714, 8vo. See also Hearne's preface to Camden,

ploded without the aid of such a document. Camden was undoubtedly a man of virtue; and although his Latinity is somewhat barbarous, he was possessed of no contemptible share of learning. But he wrote under the immediate control of King James; who was extremely anxious to prejudice his mind against the character of an historian, who had treated that of his royal mother with so little ceremony. He was employed, along with Casaubon, in transmitting to Thuanus such counterstatements as suited his majesty's views; but this enlightened and impartial foreigner preferred the authority of Buchanan to that of his royal pupil." Thuanus was one of the most valuable characters whom the world has yet seen. His testimony in favour of the Scottish historian was uniform; nor ought it to be overlooked by those who prefer truth to sophistry. If Buchanan had asserted what he knew to be false, it would be charitable to sup-

p. cv, Love's *Vindication of Buchanan*, p. 18, and Laing's *Hist. of Scotland*, vol. ii, p. 139.—A very false and absurd account of Buchanan's death may be found in Garasse's *Doctrine Curieuse des Beaux Esprits de ce Temps*, p. 748. Paris, 1623, 4to. This work is very justly represented by Ogier, a writer of his own communion, as "un cloaque d'impiété, une sentine de profanations, un ramas de boufonneries." (*Jugement et Censure du livre de la Doctrine Curieuse de François Garasse*. Paris, 1623, 8vo.)

"A curious collection of papers, "De Thuani Historiæ Successu apud Jacobum I. Magnæ Britannię Regem," may be found in Buckley's noble edition of the works of Thuanus, tom. vii.—"Rem," says Thuanus to Camden, "ut ex Scotorum qui interfuerant sermonibus didici, ita literis mandavi; et ad eorum fidem scripta a Buchanano expendi. De cætero, nigrum in candidum in cujusquam gratiam convertere, neque animus ab initio fuit, neque nunc esse debuit." (*Camdeni Epistolæ*, p. 74.)

pose his subsequent repentance ; but the simple and authentic narrative of Melvin leaves no room for suppositions.

Thuanus informs us that a short time before his death, Buchanan was required by his royal pupil to retract what he had written with so much freedom respecting the queen his mother, and to leave to posterity some formal testimony of his compunction. He at first returned an evasive answer ; but being afterwards importuned by repeated messages, he made this final declaration ; that he could not recall what he had written in the firm conviction of its truth ; but that after his decease, it would be in the king's power to adopt such measures with regard to his writings as he might judge expedient. He however admonished him to proceed with mature deliberation ; and to reflect that although God has intrusted supreme power to kings, yet that truth, which derives its strength from God, is as superior to their control as God is superior to man.^x About a month before Buchanan's death, the young monarch, who was then in the seventeenth year of his age, had been seized and forcibly detained by the earl of Gowrie and his accomplices. These conspirators were anxious to

^x Thuani Hist. sui Temporis, tom. iv, p. 100, var. lect.—Bayle, Mackenzie, and Wodrow, have each preserved a traditionary account of Buchanan's answer. Though these accounts vary the expression, they may all be considered as tending to confirm the essential particulars of Thuanus's narrative. See Mr Laing's *Hist. of Scotland*, vol. ii, p. 138.

obtain the countenance of his preceptor; but they could not prevail upon him to express any approbation of so violent a proceeding.^y

His usual vein of pleasantry did not entirely desert him on his death-bed; a circumstance which seemed to bespeak a mind free from agony or alarm. When visited by John Davidson, a clergyman, he devoutly expressed his reliance on the blood of Christ; but he could not refrain from introducing some facetious reflections on the absurdities of the mass.^z One of Buchanan's biographers relates that when he felt the approach of death, he questioned his servant Young respecting the state of his funds; and finding that all the money in his possession was not sufficient to defray the expenses of his funeral, he ordered it to be distributed among the poor. When the servant enquired who was to undertake the charge of his interment, he replied, that was a matter concerning which he was very indifferent; and if they did not chuse to bury him, they might either suffer him to lie where he was, or throw his corpse where they pleased. He was accordingly buried at the expense of the city of Edinburgh.^a This anecdote may seem to be ren-

^y Camdeni Annales, vol. ii, p. 386.

^z Wodrow's MS. Life of Buchanan.

^a Mackenzie's Lives of Scots Writers, vol. iii, p. 172.—This anecdote he professes to relate from the information of the old earl of Cromarty, who received it from his grandfather Lord Inverclyde; who had been placed under Buchanan's tuition along with the king. The two anecdotes introduced above, p. 159, Dr Mackenzie has stated on the same authority.

dered more probable by an authentic document, from which it appears that his only funds at the time of his decease consisted of an arrear of one hundred pounds, due upon his pension arising from the temporalities of Crossragwell abbey.^b He expired a short while after five o'clock in the morning of Friday the twenty-eighth of September 1582, at the age of seventy-six years and nearly eight months. His remains were interred in the cemetery of the Grey-friars: Calderwood informs us that the funeral took place on Saturday, and was attended by "a great company of the faithful." His ungrateful country never afforded his grave the common tribute of a monumental stone.^c

^b See Appendix, No. ix.

^c A late writer has bestowed heavy castigation on Mr Callender for asserting, in the book called "Lord Gardenstone's Miscellanies," p. 252, that Buchanan's grave was never distinguished by a tomb-stone. "Yet," he remarks, "is this positive assertion, of confident ignorance, contradicted by the following record: 'At Edinburgh, the 3d day of December 1701; the same day the council being informed, that the through stone [tomb-stone] of the deceast George Buchanan lyes sunk under the ground of the Grey-friars: therefore, they appoint the chamberlain to raise the same, and clear the inscription thereupon; so as the same may be legible.' The inscription, which was thus restored to the eye of the passenger, by the piety of Edinburgh, was written by John Adamson. From these facts, we may learn, what an easy task it is to write memoirs, without research; to praise, without knowledge; and to censure, without proof." (Chalmers, p. 349.) The record certainly proves that the town-council had been assured of the existence of such a stone; but, like other councils, it may often have been assured of what was absolutely false. The supposed tomb-stone, being sunk under ground, was confessedly invisible. Adamson's epigram, which is not of the monumental kind, unfortunately evinces that Buchanan's tomb was totally undecorated by the art of the sculptor.

Marmoreæ cur stant hic omni ex parte columnæ,

Signaque ab artificum dædala facta manu?

The museum at Edinburgh contains a skull which has long been supposed to be that of Buchanan, and which in its general aspect certainly corresponds with the best portraits. The traditionary history of this venerable relique is, that it was procured by the irregular zeal of John Adamson, and after his decease became the property of the university. To render this account more credible, it may be necessary to mention that Adamson was about six years of age at the period of Buchanan's death, and that he himself survived beyond the middle of the following century. Within little more than forty years after his decease, when the tradition may be supposed to have been sufficiently recent, we find it recorded by a public officer of the university. This skull, which is so thin as to be trans-

Ut spectent oculis monumenta insignia vivi,

Per quæ defunctis concilietur honos.

Talia nonne etiam debet Buchananus habere,

Doctius aut melius quo nihil orbis habet ?

Gloriolas vivus qui contemnebat inanes,

An cupiet divus se decorent lapides ?

Illis fas pulchro nomen debere sepulchro,

Qui nil quo melius nobilitentur habent.

Per te olim tellus est nobilitata Britanna,

Et decus es tumulo jam, Buchanane, tuo.

As an inscription actually engraved on Buchanan's tomb-stone, Mr Chalmers quotes an epigram which clearly proves that no such tomb-stone existed. For this epigram he refers to Sir Robert Sibbald's commentary, p. 61, where it is thus introduced : " Joannes Adamsonus de *cespitio Georgii Buchanani tumulo* in cœmeterio Edinensi, multorum aliorum marmoreis monumentis affabre exstructis septo et circumdato, cecinit." Ninian Paterson, who flourished at a later period than Adamson, has repeatedly upbraided the native country of Buchanan with neglecting to testify its gratitude by the erection of a funeral monument. (*Epigrammata*, p. 66, 142. Edinb. 1678, 8vo.)

parent, is commonly shewn in contrast with that of an idiot, which is of prodigious thickness.^d

The death of this illustrious man was less commemorated by the surviving poets than might reasonably have been expected. Some poetical tributes were however produced on the occasion. Melvin, who had frequently celebrated him while alive, did not fail to discharge the last debt of lettered friendship;^e and Scaliger composed his epitaph in terms of liberal and appropriate praise.

Postquam laude tua patriam, meritisque besti,
 Buchanane, tuis solis utrumque latus,
 Contemptis opibus, spretis popularibus auris,
 Ventosæque fugax ambitionis, obis ;
 Præmia quina quater Pisææ functus olivæ,
 Et linquens animi pignora rara tui :
 In quibus haud tibi se anteferent quos Itala vates
 Terra dedit: nec quos Gallia mater alit,

^d In a MS. catalogue drawn up about the year 1697, by Robert Henderson, A. M. librarian to the university, I find the subsequent entry. "A very thin skull (said to be Mr George Buchanan's) with a copy of Latine verses affixt, writ by Mr John Adamson, primare prof. of our colledge 1636. It's storied of him that being at Buchanan's burial, he bargained for the skull ; which having got from the graveman within the year or so, he carefully kept in his life-time ; and being found in his study so inscribed after his removal, was handed down to us." This tradition, like many others, seems to contain a mixture of truth and falsehood. From the dedication which Adamson has prefixed to the posthumous work of Charles Ferme, it appears that he was born in the year 1576. (*Fermæi Analysis Logica in Epistolam Apostoli Pauli ad Romanos*, Edinburgi, 1651, 8vo.) It cannot therefore be supposed that he attended Buchanan's funeral in 1582. He took the degree of A. M. in 1597, was appointed one of the regents in the following year, and was admitted principal on the 21st of November 1623. (Crawford's *Hist. of the University of Edinburgh*, p. 42, 97.) "Ejus cura," says Sibbald, p. 62, "Buchanani cranium de sepulchro erutum, in bibliotheca academice Edinburgenæ asservatur." The skull has lately been removed to the museum.

^e Melvini *Musæ*, p. 6. 1620, 4to,

Æquabunt genium felicitis carminis, et quæ

Orbis habet famæ conscia signa tuæ.

Namque ad supremum perducta poetica culmen

In te stat, nec quo progrediatur habet.

Imperii fuerat Romani Scotia limes :

Romani eloquii Scotia finis erit.^f

Buchanan had consecrated a monument of his own fame, composed of materials more permanent than brass or marble; but his country has at length afforded him one of those memorials which are of least value when most merited, and which contribute more to the honour of the living than of the dead. An obelisk, nineteen feet square at the base, and rising to the height of one hundred and three feet, was lately erected to his memory at the village of Killearn. The plan was suggested by the late Robert Dunmore, Esq. to a very numerous company assembled in the house of a gentleman in that vicinity. A subscription was immediately opened, and nearly completed; and one of their number, the late Mr Craig, a nephew of Thomson, furnished the architectural design as his contribution.

Buchanan had experienced many of the vicissitudes of human life, and had been tried by prosperity as well as adversity. His moral and his intellectual character procured him the same high respect from the most enlightened of his contemporaries; and it ought to excite some degree of surprize, that notwithstanding the rigid

^f Jos. Scaligeri Opuscula, p. 286. Paris. 1610, 4to.

scrutiny of a long succession of enemies, political and theological, his lengthened and variegated life has been found to betray so few of the frailties inseparable from our nature. His stern integrity, his love of his country and of mankind, cannot fail of endearing his memory to those who possess congenial qualities; and such errors as he actually committed, will not perhaps be deemed unpardonable by those who recollect the condition of humanity. The age in which he lived was rude and boisterous; nor did the high cultivation of his mind entirely defend him from the general contagion. He was subject to the nice and irritable feelings which frequently attend exalted genius, enthusiastic in his attachment, and violent in his resentment, equally sincere in his love and in his hatred. His friends, among whom he numbered some of the most distinguished characters of the age, regarded him with a warmth of affection which intellectual eminence cannot alone secure. The general voice had awarded him a preëminence in literature that seemed to preclude all hopes of rivalship: but his estimate of his own attainments was uniformly consistent with perfect modesty; and no man could evince himself more willing to acknowledge genuine merit in other candidates for fame. His open and generous disposition, united to the charms of a brilliant conversation, rendered his society highly acceptable to persons of the most opposite denominations. His countenance

was stern and austere, but his heart soft and humane. In his writings he inculcates the principles of patriotism and benevolence; and in his commerce with the world he did not forget his solitary speculations. His patriotism was of that unadulterated species which is connected with general philanthropy: his large soul embraced the common family of mankind, but his affections taught him that his first regards were due to the barren land from which he derived his birth. Though he was long habituated to an academic life, his manners betrayed none of the peculiarities of a mere pedagogue.^s His conversation was alternately facetious and instructive. George Buchanan's wit is still proverbial among his countrymen; and a motley account of his supposed repartees and adventures is one of the most common books in the libraries of the Scottish peasantry. His humour was however of a more

^s "Albeit, in his person, behaviour, and fashion, hee was rough-hewen, slovenly, and rude, seldome caring for a better outside than a rugge-gowne girt close about him, yet his inside and conceipt in poesie was most riche, and his sweetnesse and facilitie in a verse unimitably excellent." (Peacham's *Compleat Gentleman*, p. 91, edit. Lond. 1634, 4to.) "Erat austero supercilio," says David Buchanan, "et toto corporis habitu (imo moribus hic noster) subagrestis; sed stylo et sermone perurbanus, quum sæpissime, vel in seriis, multo cum sale jocaretur. Denique vir quem mirari facilius, quam digne prædicare possis." (*De Scriptoribus Scotis Illustribus*. MS. in Bib. Jurid.) Both these writers seem to have expressed themselves in too unqualified terms; and their observations, as must already have appeared, could hardly apply to Buchanan in his better days. In this last quotation, Love supposes the word *moribus* to signify morals. (*Vindication of Buchanan*, p. 30.) But from the rest of the sentence, as well as from the expression *subagrestis*, it is evident that we must understand it as signifying manners.

dignified denomination than it is there represented; nature seemed to have intended him for the ornament and reformation of a court.^h The superiority of his talents, and the splendour of his reputation, procured him the utmost respect and deference from such of his countrymen as were not separated from him by the rancour of political zeal: and although he even assumed considerable latitude in censuring the errors of exalted station, yet the manly simplicity of his manners prevented his liberties from exciting resentment. Conscious of personal worth and of intrinsic greatness, he did not fail to assert his own dignity; nor was mere superiority of rank capable of alluring him to a servile and degrading attachment. In the course of his chequered life, he found himself not unfrequently exposed to the miseries of poverty; but in his case, prudence never subsided into a sordid love of money. Although he at length enjoyed one of the great offices of the crown, and possessed other sources of emolument, yet his liberality seems to have increased in proportion to his opulence; he purchased no estates, and had no treasures to bequeath. Of the truth of the Christian religion, his conviction seems to have been complete and

^h “ Aiunt Buchananum,” says Daniel Heinsius, “ virum suo sæculo majorem . . . ad reginam suam, monstrum illud fœminæ, attulisse quod mirari satis ipsa non posset. Nam cum affectaret libertatem quamdam in censura morum, diluebat specie simplicitatis omnem protinus offensam. Ut non tantum aulæ natus videretur, sed et huic emendandæ.” (*Burmanni Sylloge Epistolarum*, tom. ii, p. 451.)

uniform. Such of his contemporaries as could best judge of his conduct and character, evidently regarded him as a man of sincere piety. The nature of his attachment to the reformation was consistent with his usual wisdom: he eagerly hailed the dawn of an æra which promised to relieve the world of enormous delusion, and of enormous profligacy; but he certainly could not approve the excesses of a party which evinced sufficient inclination, as soon as it possessed sufficient power, to tyrannize over the consciences of mankind. The extravagances of John Knox, with whom he appears to have been personally acquainted, and who was undoubtedly a most powerful champion in a cause of which they entertained the same general sentiments, have received no splendid encomiums from the historical pen of Buchanan. He was too enlightened to applaud the fierce spirit of intoleration in men who had themselves tasted the bitterness of persecution.

Nor was the genius of Buchanan less variegated than his life. In his numerous writings, he discovers a vigorous and mature combination of talents which have seldom been found united in equal perfection. To an imagination excursive and brilliant, he unites an undeviating rectitude of judgment. His learning was at once elegant, various, and profound: Turnebus, who was associated with him in the same college, and whose opinion is entitled to the greatest deference, has

characterized him as a man of consummate erudition. Most of the ancient writers had limited their aspiring hopes to one department of literature; and even to excel in one, demands the happy perseverance of a cultivated genius. Plato despaired of securing a reputation by his poetry; the poetical attempts of Cicero, though less contemptible perhaps than they are commonly represented, would not have been sufficient to transmit an illustrious name to future ages. Buchanan has not only attained to excellence in each species of composition, but in each species has displayed a variety of excellence: in philosophical dialogue and historical narrative, in lyric and didactic poetry, in elegy, epigram, and satire, he has hardly been surpassed either in ancient or modern times. A few Roman poets of the purest age have excelled him in their several provinces: but none of them has evinced the same capability of universal attainment. Horace and Livy wrote in the language which they had learned from their mothers; but its very acquisition was to Buchanan the result of much youthful labour. Yet he writes with the purity, the elegance, and freedom of an ancient Roman. Unfettered by the classical restraints which shrivel the powers of an ordinary mind, he expatiates with all the characteristic energy of strong and original sentiment; he produces new combinations of fancy, and invests them with language equally polished and appropriate. His diction uniformly displays

a happy vein of elegant and masculine simplicity ; and is distinguished by that propriety and perspicuity, which can only be attained by a man perfectly master of his own ideas, and of the language in which he writes. The variety of his poetical measures is immense, and to each species he imparts its peculiar grace and harmony. The style of his prose exhibits correspondent beauties ; nor is it chequered by phraseologies unsuitable in that mode of composition. His diction, whether in prose or verse, is not a tissue of centos ; he imitates the ancients as the ancients imitated each other. No Latin poet of modern times has united the same originality and elegance ; no historian has so completely imbibed the spirit of antiquity, without being betrayed into servile and pedantic imitation. But his works may legitimately claim a higher order of merit ; they have added no inconsiderable influx to the general stream of human knowledge. The wit, the pungency, the vehemence, of his ecclesiastical satires, must have tended to foment the genial flame of reformation ; and his political speculations are evidently those of a man who had nobly soared beyond the narrow limits of his age.

...and in the simplicity
 ...by that property and property
 ...of the man a by constant of the man
 ...of his own ideas and of the lan-
 ...of which he writes. The variety of his
 ...of his sentences is immense, and to each spe-
 ...he imparts his peculiar grace and harmony.
 ...The style of his prose exhibits correspond-
 ...by his periods; it is not, however,
 ...in that mode of composition. His
 ...is not a result
 ...the audience of the an-
 ...of his period. No part of
 ...and
 ...completely im-
 ...without being
 ...But
 ...his works may legitimately claim a higher order
 ...in-
 ...to the general state of human knowledge.
 ...of his
 ...to torment
 ...the general state of human knowledge
 ...of a man who
 ...of his

APPENDIX

APPENDIX.

No. I.

*Georgii Buchanani Vita, ab ipso scripta biennio
ante mortem.*

GEORGIUS BUCHANANUS in Levinia Scotiæ provincia natus est, ad Blatum amnem, anno salutis Christianæ millesimo quingentesimo sexto, circa Kalendas Februarias, in villa rustica, familia magis vetusta quam opulenta. Patre in juventæ robore ex dolore calculi extincto, avoque adhuc vivo decoctore, familia ante tenuis pene ad extremam inopiam est redacta. Matris tamen Agnetis Heriotæ diligentia liberi quinque mares & tres puellæ ad maturam ætatem pervenerunt. Ex iis Georgium avunculus Jacobus Heriotus, cum in scholis patriis spem de ingenio ejus concepisset, Lutetiam amandavit. Ibi cum studiis literarum, maxime carminibus scribendis, operam dedisset, partim naturæ impulsu, partim necessitate (quod hoc unum studiorum genus adolescentiæ proponebatur) intra biennium avunculo mortuo, & ipse gravi morbo correptus, ac undique inopia circumventus, redire ad suos est coactus.

Cum in patria valetudini curandæ prope annum dedisset, cum auxiliis Gallorum, qui tum in Scotiam appulerant, studio rei militaris cognoscendæ in castra est profectus. Sed cum ea expeditione prope inutili, hieme asperrima per altissimas nives reduceretur exercitus, rursus in valetudinem ad-

versam incidit, quæ tota illa hieme lecto affixum tenuit. Primo vere ad Fanum Andreae missus est, ad Joannem Majorem audiendum, qui tum ibi dialecticen, aut verius sophisticen, in extrema senectute docebat. Hunc in Galliam æstate proxima sequutus, in flammam Lutheranae sectæ, jam late se spargentem, incidit: ac biennium fere cum iniquitate fortunæ colluctatus, tandem in Collegium Barbaranum accitus, prope triennium classi grammaticam discensum præfuit. Interea cum Gilbertus Cassilissæ comes, adolescens nobilis, in ea vicinia diversaretur, atque ingenio & consuetudine ejus oblectaretur, eum quinquennium secum retinuit, atque in Scotiam una reduxit.

Inde cum in Galliam ad pristina studia redire cogitaret, a rege est retentus, ac Jacobo filio notho erudiendo præpositus. Interea pervenit ad Franciscanos elegidion per otium ab eo fusum, in quo se scribit per somnium a D. Francisco sollicitari, ut ejus ordini se adjungat. In eo cum unum aut alterum verbum liberius in eos emissum esset, tulerunt id homines mansuetudinem professi, aliquanto asperius, quam patres, tam vulgi opinione pios, ob leviculam culpam decere videbatur: & cum non satis justas iræ suæ immodicæ causas invenirent, ad commune religionis crimen, quod omnibus quibus male propitii erant intentabant, decurrunt: & dum impotentia suæ indulgent, illum sponte sua sacerdotum licentiæ infensum acrius incendunt, & Lutheranae causæ minus iniquum reddunt.

Interea rex e Gallia cum Magdalena uxore venit, nec sine metu sacrificulorum, qui timebant, ne puella regia, sub amitæ reginæ Navarræ disciplina educata, nonnihil in religione mutaret. Sed hic timor brevi secuto ejus decessu evanuit. Subsecutæ sunt in aula suspensiones adversus quosdam e nobilitate, qui contra regem conjurasse dicebantur. In ea causa cum regi fuisset persuasum, non satis sincere versatos Franciscanos, rex Buchananum, forte tum in aula agentem, ad se advocat, & ignarus offensionis, quæ ei cum

Franciscanis esset, jubet adversus eos carmen scribere. Ille utrosque juxta metuens offendere, carmen quidem scripsit, & breve, & quod ambiguam interpretationem susciperet. Sed nec regi satisfacit, qui acre & aculeatum poscebat; & illis capitale visum est, quenquam ipsos nisi honorifice ausum attingere. Igitur acrius in eos jussus scribere, eam Silvam, quæ nunc sub titulo *Franciscani* est edita, inchoatam regi tradidit. At brevi post per amicos ex aula certior factus se peti, & Cardinalem Betonium a rege pecunia vitam ejus mercari, elusis custodibus in Angliam contendit.

Sed ibi tum omnia adeo erant incerta, ut eodem die ac eodem igne utriusque factionis homines cremarentur, Henrico VIII. jam seniore suæ magis securitati quam religionis puritati intento. Hæc rerum Anglicarum incertitudo, & vetus cum Gallis consuetudo, & summa gentis humanitas, Buchananum ad se traxerunt. Ut Lutetiam venit, Cardinalem Betonium pessime erga se animatum ibi legatione fungi comperit. Itaque ejus iræ se subtraxit, Burdegalam invitante Andrea Goveano profectus.

Ibi in scholis, quæ tum sumptu publico erigebantur, triennium docuit: quo tempore scripsit quatuor tragædias, quæ postea per occasiones fuerunt evulgatæ. Sed quæ prima omnium fuerat conscripta (cui nomen est Baptista) ultima fuit edita; ac deinde Medea Euripidis. Eas enim ut consuetudini scholæ satisfaceret, quæ per annos singulos singulas poscebat fabulas, conscripserat: ut earum actione juventutem ab allegoriis, quibus tum Gallia vehementer se oblectabat, ad imitationem veterum, qua posset, retraheret. Id cum ei prope ultra spem successisset, reliquas Jephthen & Alcestin paulo diligentius, tanquam lucem & hominum conspectum laturas, elaboravit. Sed nec id temporis omnino ei fuit expers sollicitudinis, inter cardinalis & Franciscanorum minas. Cardinalis etiam do eo comprehendendo ad archiepiscopum Burdegalensem literas misit: sed eas forte fortuna Buchanani amantissimis dederat. Sed hunc metum

regis Scotorum mors, & pestis per Aquitaniam sævissime grassata sedavit.

Interea literæ a rege Lusitaniæ supervenerunt, quæ Goveanum juberent, ut homines Græcis & Latinis literis eruditos secum adduceret, qui in scholis, quas ille tum magna cura & impensis moliebatur, literas humaniores & philosophiæ Aristotelicæ rudimenta interpretarentur. Ea de re conventus Buchananus facile est assensus. Nam cum totam jam Europam bellis domesticis & externis, aut jam flagrantem, aut mox conflagraturam videret, illum unum videbat angulum a tumultibus liberum futurum, & in eo cœtu qui eam profectionem susceperant, non tam peregrinari, quam inter propinquos & familiares agere existimaretur. Erant enim plerique per multos annos summa benevolentia conjuncti, ut qui ex suis monumentis orbi claruerunt, Nicolaus Gruchius, Gulielmus Garentæus, Jacobus Tevius, & Elias Vinetus. Itaque non solum se comitem libenter dedit, sed & Patricio fratri persuasit, ut se tam præclaro cœtui conjungeret. Et principio quidem res præclare successit, donec in medio velut cursu Andreas Goveanus morte, ipsi quidem non immatura, comitibus ejus acerba, præreptus est. Omnes enim inimici & æmuli in eos primum ex insidiis, deinde palam animo plane gladiatorio incurrerunt: & cum per homines reis inimicissimos questionem clam exercuissent, tres arripuerunt, quos, post longum carceris squalorem, in judicium productos, multis per eos dies conviciis exagitatos, rursus in custodiam abdiderunt. Accusatores autem ne adhuc quidem nominarunt.

In Buchananum certe acerbissime insultabant, ut qui peregrinus esset, & qui minime multos illic haberet qui incolumitate gauderent, aut dolori ingemiscerent, aut injuriam ulcisci conarentur. Objiciebatur ei carmen in Franciscanos scriptum, quod ipse, antequam e Galliis exisset, apud Lusitaniæ regem excusandum curavit, nec accusatores quale esset sciebant; unum enim ejus exemplum regi Scotorum,

qui scribendi auctor fuerat, erat datum. Crimini dabatur carniū esus in Quadragesima, a qua nemo in tota Hispania est qui absterneat; dicta quædam oblique in monachos objecta, quæ apud neminem nisi monachum criminosa videri poterant. Item gravissime acceptum, quod in quodam sermone familiari inter aliquot adolescentes Lusitanos, cum fuisset orta mentio de eucharistia, dixisset, sibi videri Augustinum in partem ab ecclesia Romana damnatam multo esse proniorem. Alii duo testes, Joannes Tolpinus Normannus, & Joannes Ferrerius e Subalpina Liguria, (ut post aliquot annos comperit) pro testimonio dixerunt, se ex pluribus hominibus fide dignis audivisse, Buchananum de Romana religione perperam sentire. Ut ad rem redeam, cum quæstores prope sesquiannum & se & illum fatigassent, tandem ne frustra hominem non ignotum vexasse crederentur, eum in monasterium ad aliquot menses recludunt, ut exactius erudiretur a monachis, hominibus quidem alioqui nec inhumanis, nec malis, sed omnis religionis ignaris. Hoc maxime tempore psalmorum Davidicorum complures vario carminum genere in numeros redegit.

Tandem libertati redditus, cum a rege commeatum redeundi in Gallias peteret, ab eo rogatus ut illic maneret, pecuniola interim accepta in sumptum quotidianum, donec de conditione aliqua honesta prospiceretur. Sed cum procrastinationis, nec in certam spem, nec certum tempus, tæderet, navem Cretensem in portu Olisipponensi nactus, in ea in Angliam navigavit. Nec hic tamen substitit, quamvis honestis conditionibus invitaretur. Erant enim illic omnia adhuc turbida sub rege adolescente, proceribus discordibus, & populi adhuc animis tumescentibus ab recenti motu civili. Igitur in Galliam transmisit, iisdem fere diebus, quibus urbis Mediomatricum obsidio fuit soluta. Coactus est ibi per amicos ea de obsidione carmen scribere, idque eo magis invitatus, quod non libenter in contentionem veniret cum aliis plerisque necessariis, & in primis cum Mellino

Sangelasio, cujus carmen eruditum & elegans ea de re circumferebatur.

Inde evocatus in Italiam a Carolo Cossæo Brixiacensi, qui tum secunda fama res in Ligustico & Gallico circa Padum agro gerebat, nunc in Italia, nunc in Gallia, cum filio ejus Timoleonte quinquennium hæsit, usque ad annum millesimum quingentesimum sexagesimum. Quod tempus maxima ex parte dedit sacrarum literarum studio, ut de controversiis, quæ tum majorem hominum partem exercebant, exactius dijudicare posset; quæ tum domi conquiescere cœperant, Scotis a tyrannide Guisiana liberatis. Eo reversus nomen ecclesiæ Scotorum dedit. E superiorum autem temporum scriptis quædam velut e naufragio recollecta edidit. Cætera vero quæ adhuc apud amicos peregrinantur, fortunæ arbitrio committit. In præsentia septuagesimum quartum annum agens, apud Jacobum Sextum Scotorum regem, cui erudiendo erat præfectus, senectutis suæ malis fractæ portum exoptans agit.

Hæc de se Buchananus, amicorum rogatu.

Obiit Edinburgi, paulo post horam quintam matutinam, die Veneris
xxviii Septembris, anno M.D.LXXXII.



ANE ADMONI

TIOVN DIRECT TO THE

trew Lordis maintainaris of Iustice, and
obedience to the Kingis Grace.

M. G. B.



IMPRENTIT AT

STRIVILING BE ROBERT
LEKPREVIK.

ANNO. DO. M. D. LXXI.

No. II.—See p. 154.

1.—*Ane Admonitioun direct to the Trew Lordis maintenaris of Iustice, and obedience to the Kingis Grace. M. G. B.^a*

IT may seme to zour lordschippis, yat I melling with heich materis of gouernīg of cōmoun welthis, do pas myne estait, being of sa meane qualitie, & forzettis my dewtie, geuing counsal to ye wysest of yis realme. Not the les, seing the miserie sa greit appeiring, and the calamitie sa neir approching, I thocht it les fault, to incur the cryme of surmounting my priuate estait, then the blame of neglecting the publict danger. Thairfoir I chesit rather to vnderly the opinioun of presumptioun in speiking, then of tresoun in silence: and specially of sic thingis, as euin seme presently to redound to the perpetuall schame of zour lordschippis, distructioun of this royall estate, and ruyne of the haill commoun-welth of Scotland. On this consideratioun I haue takin in hand at this tyme to aduerteis zour honouris of sic thīgis as I thocht to appertene, baith to zour lordschippis in speciall, and in generall to the haill commoditie of this realme, in punitioun of tratouris, pacificatioun of troublis amangis zour selfis, and continuatioun of peice with our nichtbouris. Of the quhilk I haue takin the trauell to wryte, and do remit the iudgement to zour discretioun: hoiping at leist, that althocht my wit and foirsicht sall not

^a Lekprevick, as I have already observed, published two editions of the *Admonitioun* during the same year. That which I have followed appears to have been the second; as it exhibits various corrections in the orthography and punctuation, and contains an additional paragraph, beginning, "The thrid conspiracie." Of the title of this edition, the preceding page presents a tolerably exact imitation. The *Chamæleon* is here reprinted from Ruddiman's edition.

satisfie zow, zit my gude wil sall not displeis zow: of the quhilk aduertisment ye soume is this.

¶ First, to considder how godly the actioun is quhilk ze haue in hand: to wit, The defence of zour king, ane innocent pupill, the establisching of religioun, punitioun of theifis and tratouris, and maintenance of peice and quyetnes amangis zour selfis, and with forane natiounis.

¶ Item remember how ze haue vindicat this realme from the thraldome of strangeris, out of domestik tyrannie, and out of a publik dishonor in the sicht of all forane natiounis, we being altogidder estemit a pepill murtherar of kingis, & impatient of lawis and magistratis, in respect of the murther of the lait King Henry, within the wallis of the principall towne, the greitest of the nobilitie being present with the quene for the tyme, and be zour power ane part of the cheif tratouris tryit from amangis the trew subiectis: quhairby strangeris wer constranit efterwart as mekle to praise zour iustice, as of befoir thay wrangfully condempnit zour iniustice.

¶ Item remember how far in doing the same ze haue oblist zour selfis befoir the haill warld, to continew in the same vertew of iustice, and quhat blame ze sall incur, gif ze be inconstant. For all men can beleif na vtherwyse, gif ye tyme following be nocht conforme to the tyme past, yat nouthur honour, nor commoū-welth steirit zow vp then, bot rather sum particular tending to zour priuate commodities.

Also remember how mony gentill and honest meanis ze haue socht in tymes past, to caus the king be acknawledgit, and the countrie put at rest, and how vnprofitabill hes bene zour honestie in treitting, zour vailzeand curage in weir, zour mercyfulnes in victorie, zour clemencie in punisching, and facilitie in reconciliatioun.

Quhilk thingis witnessis sufficientlie, that ze estemit na manemie that wald leif in peice vnder the kingis authoritie: that ze wer neuer desyrous of blude, geir, nor honour

of sic as wald not, rather in making of troubill and seditioun, declair thame selfis enemeis to God & ye kingis maiestie, nor leif in concord and amitie with thair nichtbouris vnder the correctioun of iustice.

¶ And sen ze can nouthir bow thair obstinat hicht with paciencie, nor mease thair stubburne hartis with gentilnes, nor satisfie thair inordinat desyris vtherwyse then with the kingis blude and zouris, the distructioun of religioun, banishing of iustice, and fre permissioun of crueltie and misordour: zour wisdomes may easely considder what kynd of medicine, is not only meit, bot alswa necessair for mending of sic ane maladie.

¶ And to the effect that ze may the better cōsider this necessitie of medicine, remember quhat kynd of pepil thay ar, that professis yame selfis in deid, and dissemblis in word to be enemeis to God, to iustice, and to zow, becaus ze maintene the kingis actioun.

Sum of thame ar consellaris of the king his fatheris slauchter, sum conuoyaris of him to the schambles, that slew his grandschir, banischit his father, and not satisfyit to haue slane him self, murtherit the kingis regent, and now seikis his awin blude, that thay may fulfill thair crueltie and auarice being kingis, quhilk thay begouth to exercise the tyme of thair gouerning.

Vtheris ar, that being alliat or neir of kyn to ye Hamiltounis, thinkis to be participāt of all thair prosperitie and succes.

Vtheris being gyltie of King Henryis deith, in the first parliamēt haldin in the kingis regne that now is, could weill accord, that the quene sould haue bene put to deith alswa.

And seing thay could not obtene that point, the nixt schift of thair impietie was to put down the king, that he sould not rest to reuenge his fatheris deith: quhilk thay thocht could not be mair easie done, then be bringing hame the Q. with sic a husband, yat outhir for auld haitrent, or

for new couatice, wald desyre the first degre of successioun to be of his awin blude.

Sum vtheris ar practisit in casting of courtis, & reuoluing of estatis, be raissing of ciuile weir, and ar becum richer then euer thay hoipit. And becaus thay haue found the practise sa gude in tyme past, now thay seik all wayis to continew it, and hauing anis gustit how gude fisching it is in drumly watteris, thay can be na maner leif the craft.

Vtheris of that factioun, ar, sum papistis, sum feynit protestantis, that hes na God bot geir, & desyris agane the papistrie, not for luif thay beir to it (for thay ar scorneris of all religioun) bot hoiping to haue promotioun of idill bel-leis to benefices, and lamentis the present estait, quhair (as thay say) ministeris gettis all, and leifis na thing to gude fellowis: and to this intent thay wald set vp the quenis authoritie, say thay.

Sum thair be also yat vnder cullour of seiking the quenis authoritie, thinkis to eschaip ye punischement of auld faultis, and haue licence in tyme to cum to oppres thair nichtbouris, yat be febiller nor thay.

Now haue I to schaw zow be cōiecture, quhat frute is to be hoipit of ane assembly of sic men as for ye maist part ar of insatiabill gredynes, intollerabill arrogance, without faith in pomeis, measure in couatice, pietie to the inferiour, obedience to the superiour, in peice desyrus of troubill, in weir thristie of blude, nurysseris of thift, raiseris of rebel-lioun, conceleris of tratouris, inuenteris of tressou: with hand reddy to murther, mynd to dissaif, hart voyde of treuth and full of fellonie, toung trampit in dissait, and wordis tending to fals practise without veritie, be quhilk properteis, and mony vtheris thairunto ioynit, as is knawin to all men, ze that vnderstandis thair beginning, progres, and haill lyfe, may easilie remember to quhome this generall speiking appertenis in speciall: and als it is not vn-knawin to sic as knawis the personis, how thay ar mellit

with godles papistis, harlot protestantis, commoun brybouris, haly in word, hypocreitis in hart, proude contempneris or Machiauell mockeris of all religioun and vertew, bludie bouchouris, and oppin oppressouris, fortifiaris of theifis, and mainteneris of tratouris.

It is alsua necessarie to zour lordschippis to vnderstand thair pretence, that gif it be a thing quhilk may stand with the tranquillitie of the commoun-welth, zour lordschippis may in sū part, rather condescend to thair inordinate lust, nor put the haill estait in ieopardie of battell.

First, it is not honour, riches, nor authoritie yat thay desyre : for thay haue had, and als hes presently, and may haue in tyme to cum sic part of all thay thingis, as a priuat man may haue in this realme, not being chargeabill to the countrie, or not suspectit to ane king, and vnassurit of his awin estait.

It is not the delyuerance of the quene that thay seik (as thair doingis contrair to thair word testifyis manifestlie) for gif thay wald haue hir delyuerit, thay wald haue procurit be all meanis possibill, the quene of Inglandis fauour & support, in quhais power ye haill recouerance stuid only, and not offendit hir sa heichlie as yai haue done, and daylie dois in participatioun of the cōspyrit tressou, to put hir maiestie not only out of hir stait, bot out of this lyfe present : nor in resetting and maintening of hir rebellis contrair to prōmeis and solempne contract of pacificatioun betuix thir twa realmes : nouthir zit haue houndit furth, proude and vncircumspect zoung mē, to hery, burne, and slay, & tak presoneris in hir realme, and vse all misordour and crueltie, not onelie vsit in weir, bot detestabill to all barbar & wyld Tartaris, in slaying of presoneris, & cōtrair to all humanitie & iustice, keiping na prōmeis to miserabill catiues resauit anis to yair mercy. And all this was done be cōmandement of sic as sayis thay seik the quenis delyuerance, and reprochit to thame be the doaris of thay mis-

cheifis, saying that thay enterit thame in danger, and supportit thame not in mister, sa mekle as to cum to Lawder, & luik frō thame: in quhilk deserting of yair collegis, thay schew crueltie ioynt with falsheid, and maist heich tressoun aganis ye quene, pretending in word hir delyuerance, and stopping in wark hir recouerance, the quhilk (as euerie man may cleirly se) thay socht, as he that socht his wyfe drownit in the riuer aganis the streime.

It is not the quenis authoritie that they wald set vp in hir absence: for gif that wer thair intētoun, quhome can thay place in it mair freindly to hir then hir onlie sone? or quhat gouernour may thay put to him les suspect, nor sic men as hes na pretens of successioun to the crowne, or ony hoip of proffeit to cum to thame efter his deith? or thay that euer hes bene trew seruandis to kingis befor him, sould thay not be preferrit to his paternall enemeis, zea, and slayeris of his father, and sollicitaris of strangeris to seik his innocent blude?

Quhat then sall we think that thir men seikis vnder pretence of the quenis authoritie, seing thay can not bring hame the quene to set hir vp in authoritie, nor will not suffer the king lauchfully inaugurat and confirmit be decreit of parliament, to bruik it, with sa mony of his tutouris chosin be his mother, as ar not to be suspectit to will him harme? I traist it is not vneasie to persaif be thair hail progres now presently, and in tyme bypast, yat thay desyre na vther thing bot the deith of the king and quene of Scotland, to set vp the Hammiltounis in authoritie, to the quhilk thay haue aspyrit be craftie meanis thir fyftie zeiris ago. And seing thair purpos succedit not be craftie and secreit meanis, now thay follow the same traide conioyning to falsheid, oppin wickitnes.

¶ And that ze may se quhat meanis thay haue vsit, thir fyftie zeiris bypast, to set vp be craft this authoritie, quhilk now thay seik be violēce, force, and tressoun, I will call to

zour memorie sum of thair practisis, quhilk mony of zow may remember asweill as I.

First efter the deith of King Iames the fourt, Iohne duke of Albany chosin be the nobilitie to gouerne in the kingis les-age, the Hammiltounis thinking that he had bene als wickit as thay, and sould to his awin aduancement put doun ye king, being of tender age for ye tyme, and be the deceis of his brother left alone, and that thay wald easilie get thair hand bezond ye duke, being ane stranger and without successioun of his body, held thame quyet for a season, thinking that vther mēis actioun sould be yair promotioun. Bot seing yat ye duke, as a prince baith wyse & vertuous, to bring him self out of sic suspitioun, put four lordis estemit of ye maist trew and vertuous in Scotland in that tyme, to attend on the kingis grace (to wit, the Erle Merchell, the Lordis Erskyn, Ruthuen, & Borthick) the Hammiltounis being out of hoip of the kingis putting doun be the duke of Albany, and out of credeit to do him ony harme be thame selfis, maid ane conspiracie with certane lordis, to put the said duke out of authoritie, & tak it on thame selfis: that all thingis put in thair power, thay micht vse the king, and the realme at yair awin plesure. To that effect thay tuik the castell of Glasgow, and thair maid ane assemblie of thair factioun, the quhilk was dissoluit be the haistie cūming of the duke of Albany with ane armie: for feir of the quhilk, the erle of Arrane cheif of that cumpany, fled to his wyfis brother the Lord Hume, being then out of court.

The secund conspiracie was efter the dukis last departing (the foirsaidis lordis separat from attending on the king) deuysit be Schir Iames Hammiltoun, bastard sone to the said erle of Arrane, quha conspyrit the kingis deith, then being in his hous in the abbay of Halyruidhous: quhilk conspiracie efter mony zeiris reueillit, ye said Schir Iames sufferit deith for it.

The third conspiracie (yat come to our knowledge) was, that the kingis grace ryding oft tymes betuix Striuling and the Downe of Menteith, to veseit ane gentill womā of his motheris, making residence in the Downe: and cōmounly accompanyit with ane, or twa hors be nicht, the said Schir Iames proponit to certane gentil men ye slauchter of him, and assayit it not, becaus ye executaris wald tak na thing on hand without him self had bene present.

Thir conspiracies not being execute, Schir Iames perseuerit in his euill intentioun, and be secreit meanis in court socht alwayis yat ye king sould not mary, that for laik of his successioun, the Hammiltounis nicht cum to thair intentis. For ye king was zoung, lusty, & reddy to auenture his persoun to all hazardis, baith be sey and land, in doun-putting of theifis, and vpsetting of iustice. The Hammiltounis luikit on quhen seiknes, throw excesse of trauell, or sum vther rakles auenture sould cut him of without children: and destitute of this hoip, first he stoppit the kingis meting with his vnclē ye king of England, quha at that tyme hauing bot ane douchter, was willing to haue maryit hir with the king of Scotland, and maid him king of the haill ile efter him, & to haue enterit him at that present tyme in possessioun of the duchy of Zorke. Bot the said Schir Iames euer hauing eye to his awin soope, hinderit this purpois be sum of the kingis familiaris, yat he had practisit with, be giftis, and specially be the bischop of Sanctandrois Iames Betoun, vnclē to the erle of Arranes mother, & greit vnclē to Schir Iames wyfe, and raisit sie suspitioun betuix the twa kingis, that brocht baith the realmes in greit besynes.

This purpois, as said is, put abak, the king seing yat his ambassadouris furtherit not at his plesure, thocht meit him self in persoun to ga be sey in France: and Schir Iames Hammiltoun perseuering in his former intentioun went with him, to hinder his mariage, be al meanis yat he nicht:

and to that effect, the king sleiping in the schip without ony necessitie of wynde and wedder, Schir Iames causit the marineris to turne saill of the west coist of Inghland bakwart, and land in Galloway, quhair the king was verray miscontent with Schir Iames, & Maister Daud Panter, principall causeris of his returning, as diueris yat was in the schip zit living cā report. And fra yat tyme furth, the king hauing tryit out his pretence, and persauing his vnfaithfull deiling euer disfauourit him, & to his greit displeasure fauourit oppinlie the erle of Lennox, and his freindis in his absence: the quhilk erle pretendit a richt & tytill to the haill erldome of Arrane, ye present erle for that tyme being knawin to be bastard: as also it was in mēis recent memorie, how Schir Iames Hammiltoun had cruellie slane ye erle of Lennox at Linlythgow, euin to the greit displeasure of ye erle of Arrane, father to Schir Iames, and vnkle to the erle of Lennox, cūming be the kingis commandement to Linlythgow. Sa the king, as said is, vnderstanding the priuate practick of Schir Iames, in keiping him vnmaryit, haistit him the mair eirnestlie to mary, to the effect, that his successioun might put the Hammiltounis out of hoip of yair intent, and him out of danger be the Hammiltounis. And albeit yat Schir Iames, to mak him self clene of that suspitioun, socht mony diuers wayis to ye destructiō of the erle of Arrane his brother, zit he could neuer conqueis the kingis fauour, vntill finallie he was executit for tressoun, and tuik ane miserabill end conforme to his vngodly lyfe.

The king at last deceissit, & leuing ane douchter of sex dayis auld, the Hammiltounis thocht all to be thairis. For then ye erle of Arrane, ane zoung man of small wit and greit inconstancie, was set vp be sum of the nobilitie, and sum familiar seruandis of ye kingis laitly deceissit. for thay thocht him mair tollerabill than the Cardinall Betoun,

quha be ane fals instrument had takin the supreme authoritie to him self.

The erle of Arrane namit gouvernour be ane priuate faction, and fauourit be sa mony as professit the trew religioun of Christ, becaus he was beleifit then to be of the same, howbeit he was gentill of nature, zit his freindis for ye maist part, wer gredie baith of geir and blude, and geuin to iniustice quhair gayne followit. Thair was in his tyme nathing ellis, bot weir, oppressioun, and brybing of his callit brother the bischop of Sanctandrois, sa that all the estatis wer wery of him, and dischargit him of his office, & chargit with it ane woman stranger.

In the beginning of his gouernment ye quene and hir mother wer keipit be him, rather lyke presoneris nor princessis: bot zit that incōmoditie was caus of preseruing of the quenis lyfe, he beleifing to mary hir on his sone. Bot efter the erle of Lennox had delyuerit thame out of his handis, and the nobilitie had refusit to mary hir on his sone, howbeit he left his former freindis, & come to ye quene, abiurit his religioun in ye gray freiris of Striuling, zit he could neuer cum agane to his pretendit cleaming to ye crowne, quhilk he had lang socht, partly be fauour of sic of the nobilitie as wer alliat with him, & partlie be distructioun of the ancient housis that micht haue put impediment to his vnressonabill ambition. For hauing banischit the erle of Lennox, he thocht the erle of Angus to be ye principal that micht resist him, & hauing enterit in waird Schir George Dowglas, to be mair assurit he sēd for ye said erle of Angus in freindly maner, & put him in presoun without ony iust occasioun, and wald haue beheidit yame baith, gif the arrayuing of the Inglis armie had not stayit his purpois: be the quhilk, and feir of the murmour of the pepill, he was constrainit to delyuer thame. And seing he durst not at sic a tyme put thame down be tyrannie, he offerit thame to the sword of the enemye, to be

slane be thame. And to the effect, that thay and thair freindis, hauing put abak ye Inglis horsmen, and ressauing ane vther charge, micht be the mair easilie slaine thay stāding in battell & fechting for him, he in ye battell behind fled to tyne thame: and sa thir nobill men, sa far as lay in him, was slaine, and preseruit be the prouidence of God.

The zoung quene quhilk being in hir motheris keiping, he micht not put down, nor mary at his plesure, he cōsentit to offer hir to the stormis of ye sey, and danger of enemeis, and sauld hir as a slaue in Frāce, for ye duchy of Chastellerault, ye quilk he bruikis in name only, as ye crowne of Scotland in fātasie: & resauit sic pryce for hir as tressoun, periurie, and the selling of fre persounis sould be recompensit with. Bot zit ye couatice of ye crowne yat he had sauld, ceissit not heir. for befor hir returning hame out of Frāce, at the troublis quhilkis began anent the repressing of the Frenchemen, and tyrannie aganis the religioun, how mony meanis socht the Hammiltounis to haue depyuit hir of all richt, and trāslatit the crowne to thame selfis, is knawin baith to Scotland and Ingland.

✱ Also efter the quenis arryuing in Scotland, scho seiking a querrell aganis the said duke and sum vther lordis, vnder pretence yat yai had cōspyrit against hir, for ye religiounis caus, ye dukis freindis left him all, becaus that the rest of ye lordis wald not consent to distroy the quene, or derogat hir authoritie be ony maner of way. A lytill befor the quhilk tyme, the occasioun of the dukis cōspiracie with ye Erle Bothwell, to slay ye erle of Murray in Falklād, was na vther, bot becaus the said erle of Murray liuing, thay could nouthir do the said Q. harme in hir persoun, nor diminische hir authoritie, nor cōstrane hir to mary at thair plesure, and to hir vtter displesure.

¶ Efter that the quene had maryit with him quhome thay estemit thair auld enemye, & was with chylde, the gude bischop of Sanctandrois first callit Cuninghame, estemit

Cowane, and at last awowit Hāmiltoun, not only conspyrit with the Erle Bothwell, bot come with the quene to Glasgow, and conuoyit the king to ye place of his murther, the bischop being ludgeit as he was seildoū or neuer of befoir whair he micht persaif the plesure of that crueltie with all his sensis, & help ye murtheraris, gif mister had bene, & send four of his familiar seruandis to the executiō of the murther, watching all ye nicht, and thinking lang to haue ye ioy of ye cūming of ye crowne a degre neirer to the hous of Hāmiltoun. And sa greit hoip, mellit with ambitioun, inflammit his hart, for the kingis deceis, that within schort tyme he beleuit firmlie his callit brother to be king, and he (the said bischop) to be to him as curatour during the haill tyme of his nonwit: quhilk had bene a langer terme yan Witsonday or Martymes. For he thocht vn doutitly, yat the erle Bothwell sould distroy ye zoung prince, & nocht suffer him prosper to reuenge his fatheris deith, and preceid the erlis children in successioun of the crowne: and the zoung prince anis cut of, the bischop maid his rekning, yat the Q. & ye Erle Bothwell hatit alreddy for ye slauchter of the king hir husband, and mair for the innocent, wer easie to be distroyit with consent of all estatis, and the cryme easie to the bischop to be prouin, quha knew all ye secreitis of the haill disseigne. Or gif thay wald slay the Erle Bothwell, and spair the quene, thay wer in hoip sho sould mary Iohne Hāmiltoun the duikis sone, quhome with merie luikis, & gentill countenāce (as scho could weill do) scho had enterit in the pastyme of the glaikis, and causit the rest of the Hammiltounis to fon for fainnes. Bot efter that ye Erle Bothwell had refusit battell at Carbarry hill, the and quene befoir the cumming of the Hammiltounis, come to the lordis, the Hammiltounis as that tyme disappointit, fosterit yair vaine hoip with a merie dreame, that the quene sould be punischit efter hir demeritis, and wer a tyme in dowbill ioy: the ane, that

being red of the quene, scho sould not beir ma children to debar thame from ye crowne: and ye vther, yat thay micht haue ane easie way to calumniat the regent for distroying of the quene. Bot seing hir keipit, thay blamit oppinlie ye regent, quha keipit hir in stoir in dispyte of yame (as yai said, schawing in vnreuerend speiking quhat fauour thay bure to thair prince) to be a stude to cast ma follis, to hinder thame of the successioun of the crowne. Zit for all that, thair wald nane of thame cum to parliament to further thair desyre with ane anerlie vote, bot lay bak to keip thame selfis at libertie, to repruif all that sould be done in that conuentioun, & to fenze fauour towardis the quene quhome thay haittit sa, as gif be consent of the lordis, or vtherwyse scho wer delyuerit, yai micht help hir to put doun ye lordis, yat wald not put hir doun in fauour of yame.

This thair intentioun was oppinlie schawin, quhen the quene being keipit in Lochleuin, be cōmandement of the haill parliament, was delyuerit be cōspiracie of sum priuat men, especiallie of the Hammiltounis. For thay assemblit all yair forces to put doun the zoung king, and lordis obedient to him. Quhilk euill will thay schew towardis the lordis at the Langsyde, bringing with thame greit stoir of cordis, to murther and hang thame (gif thay had bene takin presoneris, and the victorie fallin to the Hammiltounis) and the same euill will towardis the king in keiping the watter of Forthe, that he sould not eschaip yair cruel handis, being assurit gif he come in ye quene of Inglandis power, that scho of hir accustomat clemencie and kyndnes of blude, wald not abandoun him to yair vnmercyfull crueltie experimēt it alreddy in his father. And seing yat the prouidence of God had cloisit ye dure to all yair wickednes at yat tyme, yai haue neuer ceissit since to seik enemeis to his grace in all strāge natiounis. And persauing yat thay had fair wordis of all vtheris, except of the quenis maiestie

of Ingland, quha vnderstude thair fals and tressonabill deling, thay turnit thair haitrent aganis hir, and enterit in conspiracie with sum tratouris of Ingland, that wer als euill myndit towardis ye quenis maiestie yair souerane, as the Hammiltounis wer to ye kingis hienes of Scotland. This is nouthre dremit in wardrop, nor hard throw a boir, bot a trew narratiue, of quhilk ye memorie is ludgeit in mennis hartis, baith Scottis and strangeris, and the veritie knawin. be the quhilk ze may vnderstād the Hammiltounis pretence thir fyftie zeiris and mair.

Efter sa mony wayis socht be yame to distroy the richt successioun, & place yame in ye kingly rowme, seing all thair practisis could not auail, and thair forces wer not sufficient, thay socht to augment thair factioun, adioyning to thame all that wer participant of the kingis slauchter, and had aspyrit to slay ye quene of Ingland. And to the effect thay micht cū to thair wickit purpois, thay in a maner displayit a baner, to assembl togidder all kynd of wickit men, as papistis, renegat protestantis, theifis, tratouris, murtheraris, & oppin oppressouris. As for yair adherētis in Scotland I neid not to expreme thair namis, nor the qualiteis of ye conspiratouris of Ingland, for yai ar weill aneuch knawin to zour lordschippis. Zit ane I can not ouerpas, being ye cheif conspiratour chosin be thame to be king of Scotland and Ingland, I mene the duke of Norfolk, in quhilk acte ze may se how y^e thirst of zour blude blindit thame agains thair awin vtilitie. First yai cheisit the principall enemye of the religioun of Christ in this ile, accompanyit with vther fylthie idolateris, to change the stait of the kirk in baith realmes be cutting of the twa princes: seing that thair authoritie standing, ye conspiratouris could not cum to thair intent. Nixt thay respectit in that proude tyrane, the vertewis that wer commoun to him and thame, as arrogancie, crueltie, dissimulatioun and tressoun. For euin as yai had this lang tyme in Scotland,

socht the deith of thair richteous prince: sa he in Ingland following the trade of his antecessouris, diuers tymes attempting tressoun, wald haue put downe the quene of Ingland. Heir alswa apperis the Hammiltounis crueltie agains the nobilitie of thair awin natioun, in seiking thair professit and perpetuall enemye of Scotland (as his badge beiris witnes) quha sould haue spilt, the rest of the noble blude of Scotland in peice, yat his antecessouris could not spill in weir: be quhilk electioun, being assurit that na Scottis hart can luif thame, sa can thay luif nane of zow, agains quhome, yai haue vsit sa mony tressonabill actis.

Thay do sehaw alswa, how crueltie & auarice hes blyndit yame, that thay can not se, in bringing a tyrane to haue power ouer yame, seing yai pretending neirest cleame to the crowne, sould be neirest the danger.

And zit for all this, could thir men be weill cōtentit, gif be ony meanis thay could attene to thair intent, be spoyle and rubberie, as thay did quhen as thay wer placit in supreme authoritie, or be making of zow slaues, as thay did in selling of yair quene begin yat practise: quhairin howbeit the inhumanitie was greit, zit wes it not in supreme degre of crueltie. Bot it is na moderat, tollerabill, nor accustomat thing that thay seik: It is ye blude first of our innocent king, euin sic as hes bene preseruit be wyld beistis: nixt the blude of all his trew seruandis and trew subiectis indifferentlie. For quhat defence can be in nobilitie, or quhat suretie agains yame yat hes murtherit ane king, & seikis strangeris to murther ane vther king? quhome sall thay spair for vertew and innocencie, that laitlie executit, and zit defendis the murther of the regent? or quha will be ouersene for law degre, or base estait, in respect of yai yat conductit boucheouris out of Teuidaill to slay Maister Iohne Wod, for na vther caus, bot for being ane gude seruand to the crowne and to the regent his maister, and had espyit out sum of thair practisis.

✱ Gif this thrist of blude of thay lochlehis, micht be impute to haistie honger, or ony suddane motioun, quhilk causis men sum tymes to forzet thair dewtie, thair micht zit be sum hope yat sic a passioun ouerpast, thay wald with tyme remember thame selfis, and efter power amend faultis past, or at leist abstene in tyme to cum. Bot thair is na sic humanitie in thair nature, nor na sic pietie in thair hartis. For not content with ane kingis blude, thay gaip for his sonnis murther: not satisfyit to haue slane the regent, thay keipit ye murtherar in ye dukis hous in Arrane: maist lyke thinking as gif thay honourit not the doar, thay sould not be knawin as counsallouris of the deid, & wald tyne the gloir of that nobill act. And besydes all yis yai ar not only cōtentit to maintene Scottis tratouris, bot alsua ressaifis Inglis tratouris, and settis vp a sanctuarie of tressoun, a refuge of idolatrie, a receptacle of theifis and murtheraris.

And howbeit the bullerant blude of a king & a regēt about yair hartis, quhairof ye lust in yair appetite geuis thame lytill rest, daylie & hourlie making new prouocatioun, zit yat small space of rest, quhilk yai haue besyde ye executiō of yair crueltie, thay spend in deuysing of generall vnquyetnes throw the haill cōtrie. for not cōtent of it yat yai yame selfis may steill, brybe, & reif, thay set out ratches on euerie syde, to gnaw the pepillis banis, efter that thay haue consumit the flesche, and hountis out, ane of thame the Clangregour, ane vther ye Grantie and Clanchattan, ane vther Bakcleuch and Fairnyherst, ane vther the Iohnstounis and Armestrangis: & sic as wald be haldin the halyest amāgis yame, schew plainlie ye affectioun yai had to baneis peice and steir vp troublis, quhē thay bendit all thair fyue wittis, to stop the regent to ga first north, and syne south, to puneis thift and oppressioun: and quhē thay saw, that thair counsall wes not authorisit, in geuing impunitie to all misordour, thay spend it in putting downe of him that wald haue put all in gude ordour.

Thair is a kynd of thair theifis euin odious to mair gentill theifis, quhilk calling thame selfis greit gentilmen spoyllis trauellaris, cadgeris, and chapmen be the way, and ransounis pure men about Edinburgh for xx. schilling ye heid, quhilk vyce cā not proceed of vengeāce of enemeis, bot rather of lufe & plesure in wickidnes. This kynd of men dois not only dishonour to nobilitie in steilling, & to theifis in purspyking, bot alsua to the haill natioun of Scotland, geuing opinioun to strangeris, that sum of the Scottis be of sa law courage, that men amangis thame aspyring to ye hiest estait of a kingdome, hes crouchit thame selfis in the maist law ordour of knaifis.

¶ Now, my lordis, ze may considder, how yai that slayis sa cruellie kingis and thair lieutennentis, will be mercyfull to zow: and quhen thay sall haue put zow down, yat craifis reuenge of ye kingis blude, ze may vnderstand how few dar craif iustice of zour slauchter. Ze may se how cruell yai will be in oppressioun of the pure, hauing cut of zow, quhilk being of maist nobill and potent housis of this realme, sufferis throw zour sleuthfulnes euerie part of this countrie, to be maid worse nor Liddisdaill, or Annanderdaill, & not only sufferis ye puspykeris of Cliddisdaill, to exercise thift and reif as a craft, bot nuryssis and authorisis amangis zow, the cheif counsellaris of all misordour, as ane edder in zour bosum.

¶ Of all this ze may lay the wyte on na vther bot vpon zour selfis, that hes sufficient power to repres yair insolēcie & proudnes, hauing in zour hand the same wand that ze haue chaistisit yame with of befoir. For ze haue zour protectour the same God this zeir, yat was the zeiris bypast, vnchangeabill in his eternall counsellis, constant in promiseis, potent in punishing, and liberall in rewarding: ze haif zour trew freindis yat wer with zow of befoir: ze ar delyuerit of dissimulat brether, yat had yair bodyis with zow, & yair hartis with zour enemeis: that subscriuit with zow, & tuik

remissiō of zour aduersaries: yat stuid with zow in battell, luiking for occasioun to betray zow, had not God bene zour protectour. Ze haif a greit nūber of new freindis alienat from yame, for thair manifest iniquitie in deid, wickidnes in worde, and tressoun in hart. Ze haue of the same enemeis that ze had then sa mony, as hes thair hartis herdinnit, & yair myndis bent agains God and lawfull magistratis. ze haue the same actioun yat ze had then, accumulat with recent murther and tressoun, to prouoke the ire of the Eternall agains thame. How far God hes blindit thame, blind men may se, yat hauing sa euill ane actiō, & sa mony enemeis at hame, zit be hounding out of small tratouris of yair awin wickit conspiracie (men execrabil to thair awin parentis, quhome amangis vtheris thay haue diueris tymes spoylit) be hounding out, I say, of sic persounis, to burne, murther, reif, and steill, thay prouoik the quenis maiestie of Ingland to seik vengeance of yair oppressioun agains hir realme and subiectis, quhilk vengeance iustice & honour craifis of hir sa instantlie, yat scho can not ceis bot persew thame, thair ressettaris & maintenaris, vntill sho gif sic exempill to vtheris, that althocht thay will not respect vertew, zit for feir of punitioun thay sall be content to leif in peice with nichtbouris, quhairin hir heichnes hes alreddy renewit the memorie of hir experimēt libralitie & tender lufe to this natioun, seiking on hir proper charges, and trauel of hir subiectis, ye punitiō of sic, as we on our charges sould haue punisit: I mene not onlie of our traitouris, bot alswa ressettaris of hir maiesties tratouris: and in doing of yis, seikis pacificatioun amangis thame thrt violatit peice with hir without prouocatioun, seuering the punischment of sic as ar gyltie in offending, frō the subiectis that hes not violatit peice. And as sho keipis peice and iustice amangis hir awin subiectis in Inglād, sa vnrequyrit sho offerit support to the same end in Scotland: and not only geuis remedie to our present calamiteis, bot cuttis the ruit of

troublis to cum, & preuenis ye wickit coūsall of sic, as prouoikis Inglismen, & solistis Frēchmen to cum in this realme: to the end, that thir twa natiounis enterit in barres ye ane agains the vther, yai may saciat thair cruell hartis of blude, yair obstinat will of vengeance, thair bothumles couatice of spoyle and thift.

Thairfoir seing God hes sa blindit zour enemies wittis, my lordis, be in gude hope that he sall alswa cast the spreit of feir and disperatioun in thair indurat hartis, & prosper zour gude actioun, to the quhilk he confortis zow with his reddie help, exhortis zow be his word, & constranis zow be the dewtie of zour estait, & necessitie of preseruing of zour lyfis and honouris. For promeis being neglectit, aith violatit, subscription set at nocht, thair is na meane way left bot outhir to do or suffer. And seing that baith ar miserabill amangis sic as sould be freindis, zit better it is to slay iustlie, nor to be slane wrāgfullie. For ye executioun of iustice in punissing ye wickit is approuit be God and mā, & sleuthfulnes in defēce of iustice can not be excusit of tressou. And besydes that, God schewis him sa mercyfull and liberall to zow, in sending zow freindis be procuring of zour enemeis. Alswa the persounis maist commendit of God craifis the same: for saikles blude, oppressioun of the pure, and of ye fatherles, cryis continually to ye heuin for a vengeāce, quhilk God cōmittis to zour handis as his lieutennentis and speciall officiaris in that part. And euin as he rewardis faith and diligence in obedience of his eternall will, sa he will not neglect to punische sleuthfulnes in iust executioun of his commandimentis.

¶ Thairfoir, my lordis, as ze wald that God sould remēber on zow and zour posteritie, quhē thay sall call on him in thair necessitie, remēber on zour king our souerane, & on my lord regentis pupillis, cōmittit to zow in tutorie be the deuoir of zour office & estait anēt persounis yat ar not in age nor power to help thame selfis, and ar re-cōmēdit specially to all Christianis be God in his holy

scripture : and defend sic innocent creaturis, as may nouth-
 do nor speik for yame selfis, from the crueltie of vnmercy-
 full wolfis. Neglect not the occasioun, nor refuse not help
 send to zow be God, bot recognosce thankfullie his fauour
 towardis zow, yat causis zour enemeis to procure zour help,
 neglect not the offer of freindis, in caice gif ze lat slip this
 occasioun, ze sal craif it in vaine in zour necessitie. Think it
 na les prouidence of zour heuinlie father, than gif he had send
 zow ane legioun of angellis in zour defence, and remember
 that he schew him self neuer mair freindfull and succurabill
 to na pepill, than he hes done to zow, and traist weill gif
 ze will perseueir in obedience and recog-
 noscence of his grace, he will multiplie
 his benefitis to zow and zour po-
 steritie, and sall neuer leif
 zow, vntill ze forzet
 him first.

FINIS.

2.—*Chamæleon, written by Mr George Buchanan against the Laird of Lidington.*

THAIR is a certane kynd of beist callit Chamæleon, en-
 genderit in sic countreis as the sone hes mair strenth in than
 in this yle of Brettane, the quhilk, albeit it be small of cor-
 porance, noghttheless it is of ane strange nature, the quhilk
 makis it to be na less celebrat and spoken of than sum
 beastis of greittar quantitie. The proprietie is marvalous,
 for quhat thing evir it be applicat to, it semis to be of the
 samyn cullour, and imitatis all hewis, excepte onelie the

quhyte and reid; and for this caus anciene writtaris commonlie comparis it to ane flatterare, quhilk imitatis all the haill maneris of quhome he fenzeis him self to be freind to, except quhyte, quhilk is taken to be the symbol and token gevin commonlie in devise of colouris to signifie sempilnes and loyaltie, and reid signifying manliness and heroyicall courage. This applicatioun being so usit, zit peradventure mony that hes nowther sene the said beist, nor na perfyte portraict of it, wald beleif sick thing not to be trew. I will thairfore set furth schortlie the descriptioun of sick an monsture not lang ago engendrit in Scotland, in the cuntre of Lowthiane, not far frome Hadingtoun, to that effect that the forme knawin, the moist pestiferus nature of the said monsture may be moir easelie evitit: for this monsture being under coverture of a manis figure, may easeliar endommage and wers be eschapit than gif it wer moir deforme and strange of face, behaviour, schap, and membris. Praying the reidar to apardoun the febilnes of my waike spreit and engyne, gif it can not expreme perfytelie ane strange creature, maid by nature, other willing to schaw hir greit strenth, or be sum accident turnit be force frome the common trade and course. This monstre being engenderit under the figure of a man chyld, first had ane proprietie of nature, flattering all manis ee and sensis that beheld it, so that the common peiple wes in gude hoip of greit vertuus to prosper with the time in it; other ferdar seing of greit harmes and dampnage to cum to all that sould be familiarlie acquentit with it. This monsture promovit to sic maturitie of aige, as it could easelie flatter and imitat every manis countenance, speche, and fashions, and subtill to draw out the secreittis of every manis mynd, and depravat the counsellis to his awin proper gayne, enterit in the court of Scotland the , and having espyit out not onelie factiouns bot singular personis, addressit the self in the begyning to Iames efter erll of Murray, and Gilbert than

erll of Cassillis, men excellent in the tyme, in all vertuus pertaining to ane nobill man, and speciall in lufe of the common welth of thair cuntre: and seing that his nature could not bow to imitat in veritie, but onely to contrafat fenzeitlie the gudnes of thir two personis, nor zit change thame to his nature, thocht expedient to leane to thame for a tyme, and clym up be thair branches to hiear degre, as the wod bind clymeth on the oik, and syne with tyme distroyis the tre that it wes supported be. So he having cum to sum estimatioun throw hanting of thir nobill lordis (quha wer than estemit of every man as thair vertuus meritit) wes sone be gud report of thame, and ane fenzeit gudness in him self, put in credeit with the quene regent, verelie an nobill lady and of greit prudence, bot zit could not espy the gilt vyces under cullour of vertew hid in the said monster, speciallie being clockit be favour of the two forsaid lordis, in quhais company hir grace wald nevir have belevit that sic ane pestilent verm could have bene hyd. The first experience the said quene had of him wes in sending him to France for certane bissines occurrent for the tyme, quhair he did his commissioun sa weill to his awin intention, and sa far from the quenis mynd, that he dissavit the cardinall of Lorayne; quha, untill that day, thocht him self not only auld practicien, bot als maister, zea doctour *subtilis*, in sic matters of negociatioun. His fals dealing being sone persavit, and he greitlie hatit, zit sche being ane lady of greit prudence, could not defend hir self frome subilltie, bot within schort tyme, be meanis of sic as belevit him to be thair freind, he crap in credence agane be ane other dur, and under ane other cullour: bot zit could not sa weill as he wald, invent new falshead, becaus of the auld suspitioun, and being of auld suspectit, sone persavit, and in dangerie to be taken reid hand and puneist efter his meritis, he fled out of Leyth, and coverit himself with the cloik of religioun sa lang as it could serve, bot nevir sa close bot he keepit ane refuge to

sum sanctuarie of the Papistis, gif the court had changeit ; as to the bishoppis of Santandrois and Glasgow, and utheris diverse, quhais causis wer in his protectioun : and thairfore the haly Doctour Cranstoun deplit to him largelie of the spoyle of Sanct Salvatoris College, and wes mantenit be Chamæleon aganis all law and ressoun ; beside that he wes ane man contaminat in all kynd of vycis. How far afoir the cumming hame of the quene the kingis moder, he wes contrary to all hir actiouns, and favourabill to hir adversaries, and inclynit to hir deprivation, it is notourlie knawin bayth in Ingland and Scotland, be sic as mellit than with the affairis of the estait in bayth the realms. Efter the quenis cumming hame he enterit schortlie be changeing of cullouris, and turning out the other syde of his cloik, and halding him be the branches of the erll of Murray, and for ane tyme applying him to the quenis G. heir, that he allone wes hard in all secreit matteris, casting of lytill and lytill the erll of Murray, and thinking that he wes strang anewch to stand by himself, on leaning to the erll of Murray. And becaus the erll of Murray plesit not mony interprysis of marriage than attemptit, as with the princes of Spayne, with the duke of Anjou, with the empriaris brother, the said Chamæleon applyit himself to all thir partiis, and changeing hew as the quene sweyit the ballance of hir mynd, and followit the appetyte of hir lust. And at lang the quene, be avyis of hir oncles, devysit to destroy the erll of Murray, thinking him to be ane greit brydill to refrane hir appetitis, and impediment to leif at libertie of hir plessoure ; not that evir he usit ony violence anentis hir, bot that his honestie wes sa greit that sche wes eschamit to attempt ony thing indecent in his presence. Sche than being deliberat to distroy him be the erll of Huntlie, went to the north, and he in hir company ; and howbeit the tressoun wes oppynnit planelie, and Johnne Gordoun lying not far of the town [Aberdeen] with an

greit powar, and the erll of Murray expresslie ludgeit in an hous separate frae all uther habitatioun, and his deid be diverse wayis socht; this Chamæleon, quhether of sem-pilnes, or for layk of foirsicht, or for bauldness of courage, I refer to every manis conscience that doith knaw him, he alone could se no tressoun, could feare no dangear, and wald nevir beleif that the erll of Huntlie wald take on hand sic ane interpryis; howbeit thair wes gevin advertisement of it out of Ingland and France, letteres taken declarand it, and the mater manifest before all menis ene. It wer to lang to reherse, and not verie necessar for the present, it being knawin to sa mony, quhat diverse purposis wer tane, quhat dangearis eschapit all the tyme of that voyage, untill the quene come to Abirdene again, and how miraculous wes the victorie: bot ane thing is not to be pretermittit, that the said Chamæleon wes ane of the reddiest to gnaw the bainis of the deid, to spoyle the qwyck, and mak his proffeit at that marcat. Efter this the oursey trafficque of mariage growing cald, the said Chamæleon going in Ingland, delt sa betuix the Protestantis and Papistes, that he changeit dalie colouris, sumtyme flattering the ane, sumtyme the other, and making every ane of thame beleif that he labourit onelie for thame; and amangis other thingis, be ane prevy intelligence with the quene and verre few of the nobilitie, practizit the marriage of the quene and Henry Lord Dernlie, of the quhilk he mad nevir the erll of Murray prevy, untill all wes endit. Howbeit the erll of Murray did nevir thinge, nor tuke nevir propose without his advise and counsale. Heir the mater quhilk he had raschellie brocht on, wes neir the point. Seing that the quene of Ingland dissagreit with it for certane respectis, and the lordis of Scotland for the cause of the religioun, to the manteinance of the quhilk thay dessyrit an promise of the quene and the said Lord Dernlie, the Chamæleon in secret flatterit the quene, and openlie tuke the colour of religioun:

and at the lang (seing my lord of Murray, for being precise and plane in all doingis, cast out of court) cled himself onelie in the quenis colouris, untill that David prevalit agains him, and had in a manner the haill credeit of all wechtie materis. At this poynt thinking himself in werse caise than he beleivit, socht to make an other change of court, and set up new play again, awaytit on the court sum-part disgracit, louking for sum new cullour to apply himself to. In this mene tyme the quene seking to move sum thing in the religioun, made ane querrell agains certane lordis of the principallis of Scotland, the quhilkis, albeit that ane ressonabill power faillit thame not, and that the favour of the cuntre wes for thame, zit to schaw thair innocency, quhen thai could not breke the quenis obstinat mynd of thair destruction be prayer and sollicitacioun of freindis, thay left the cuntre and went in England, zit Chamaeleon held the small grip that he had in court, secunding to David. In this mene tyme the parliament set to forfait sick lordis as had fled in England, except the duke, quha did be intercessioun of silver by his remissioun fra David. The rest of the lordis quhilk were of wisdom or estimatioun, partlie requirit be the king, quha wes in na credit in respect of David, partlie for thair awin libertie, conspyrit the deid of the said David, and executit the same. Chamaeleon, cheifest enemy to David, eftir the kingis grace, zit not being advertisit be the lordis of thair enterprise, and suspectit of the quene, knawing his dowbilness, quhyther for verie feare, or preparing ane entre to the quenis favour, fled as uthers did; and eftir lang fetchis brocht agane to the court, kest clene frae him all colouris of the kingis, and cled him agane in the quenis colouris, and wes ane of the principal instrumentis that incressit dissensioun betwix hir and the king: the quhilk practize, howbeit he wald have dissimulatit, sum tyme brake out with him; as to ane nobill woman praying *God to gif the king and the quene grace to agrie*, he answerit, *God let thame nevir agre*: for thay

leving in dissensioun, he thocht that his dowbilnes could not be espyit out. And than seing the Erll Bothuile cum in credeit, he flatterit him; and evin as thay agreit in all pointis to put down the king, seing that he prospering thay could have na lyff, sa eftir the king deid, the Erll Boithuile, having in that practize knawin his falset, and fearing his inconstancy, and desyring to be deliverit of sic an witnes, socht his deid: and he having na refuge to the quene for the samyn cause, tuke for a tyme the erll of Mortonis colouris, and being borne furth be him agains the erll of Boithuiles power and hatrent, sa lang as he wes in feare lurkit under the erll of Mortonis wingis, and the feir past schew himself the said earls enemye: and having no sufficient cause, nor appearand indice of separatioun of company and kyndnes, he fenzeit that the said erll of Mortun had conspyrit his deid, to be execute by some of the erllis friendis; and to prove the said conspiracy, alledgit an famous witnes (*majorem omni exceptione*) the nobill and virtuous Lady Gyltoun. Now to retorne agane to our propose, eftir the deid of the king devysit be him, executit be the Erll Bothuile, for feir of the said erll he lurkit a quhile out of court, untill the tyme the quene at Carberrie-Hill come to the lordis, and the Erll Boithuile fled to Dunbar. Than he come to parliament, and with sume otheris participant of the kingis slaughter, wald have had the quene slane be act of parliament; and not finding mony consenting thairto, and speciallie the erll of Murray, than chosen regent, being in the contrair, he sollicitat some previe men to gar hang hir on hir bed with hir awin belt, that be that way he and his partinaris in the kingis murthoure mycht be deliverit of an witnesse; knawing weill the quenis nature, that quhen she wes misscontent of ony man, sche wald tell all sic secreittis as sche did knaw of him. This propose not proceeding as he desyrit, he turnit him first in flattering with the quene, and send to hir, being in Lochlevin, ane picture

of the deliverance of the lyoun by the mouse; and nist turnit his haill wit to the distruction of the erll of Murray, thinking that the wickit could not proffeit greitly, so just a man having the supreme power; and als seing that the quenis craftiness wes abill at the lang to overthrow the erll of Murrays sempilnes. So he bendit all his wittis to the said erllis eversioun, and the quenis restitution, and procedit in this cause, partlie be making an factioun of the counsalleris, and partakeris of the kingis murthoure, of men lycht of fantasie and covatous of geir; partlie be correpting of my lord of Murrayis freindis and servandis, and travellit principallie with the laird of Grange, thinking that it sould be an greit strenth to the factioun, to have the castell of Edinburgh at thair command. The regent being divers tymes advertiset of thir practizis, wes of so upright nature, that he wald beleif na thing of ony that he had takin in freindschip, quhilk he wald not have done himself; and als mony of the factioun in the begynning thocht it had bene bot ane ligue defensive againis the power of the great, that is accustimat to overthrow the small in tyme of troubill.

In this mene tyme come the deliverance of the quene out of Lochlevin, the quhilk he wes not ignorant of, and specially be the meanis of his cousing Johne Hamiltoun of the Cochnoch [al. Coheugh]: zit he tareit with the regent to keip ane cullour of honestie, and that with the quenis consent, quha had given him, and divers otheris that were in my lord of Murrayis company, fre remissioun for all by past.

Bot the battel chansing utherwaies than he desyrit and belevit, zit he persistit in his propose to destroy the regent, not opinlie, bot be secreit meanis: as being sent divers tymes to commune with the Lord Flemyng, evir did the contrair of the propose that he wes send for, and evir tendit to hald the cuntre in unquietnes; and in all assembleis for appointment, tendit to have all by past remittit, to keip ay thevis and revaris in courage, and to abase the hartis of

trew subjectis, that sould haif na hoip of redresse of wrangis done to thame be the kingis rebellis. Efter that, be the dilligence and wisdome of the regent, the cuntre wes brocht to sum stay, and justice lyke to haif the over hand, the kingis rebellis purchassit at the quene of Inglandis handis, that sche sould consider the greit wrangis (as thai said) done to hir nixt nychtbour, and being nixt of blude to hir, and other be hir requeist or puissance caus hir be restorit agane to her formar authoritie. The quenis majestie of Ingland having zit no less regaird to justice nor to consanguinitie, desyrit some of the principallis of the nobilitie to repair to hir or hir deputties for thir requeistis and complayntis, and my lord deliberat to go in persoun wes in doubt, having ellis enterit in sum suspicionis of this Chamæleon, quethir he sould tak him with him self, or leif him beheind: for taking him he doubtit not bot he wald hinder the actioun in all manner possibill, and leaving him behind, that following his natural complexioun he wald trubill the cuntre, in sick maner that it sould not be easelie in lang tyme brocht to rest agane. At lang having deliberat to take him with him, and perswadit him bayth be giftis of landis and money, he fand to be trew in deid all that he suspectit afore; for everie nycht in a manner he communicat all that wes amangis us with sum of our adversaris, and armit thame sa far as he could agains the said regent. Bot the force of the ressonis, and cleirnes of the haill deduction of the caus that my lord regent usit, wes sa perswasive to the auditouris, that be Chamæleons advertisement, the kingis mother disschargeit hir commissiouners to proceed forther, and differrit to ane mair commodious tyme for hir: for it wes weill knawin to hir that the quenis majestie of Ingland and hir counsall had allowit the said regentis proceedingis; and the ambassadour of Spayne seing the horribill cryme sa abhominabill to all honest men, refused to speik ane word in the mater, and the Frensche am-

bassadour excusit himself that he spake be command of [his] maister.

In this mene tyme the said Chamæleonis secreit practize with the duke of Norffolk, suspectit afore, begouth to brek out be sum letters of Maister Johne Lessleis callit bischope of Ross, and als be the duke himself, put in hoip of mariage of the Scottis quene be thame, the quhilk practize wes handillit: sumpart putting feir to the regent, that he could not returne in Scotland with his lyff without the dukis favour, be reassoun of greit preparationis that wes maid aganis the regent on bayth the bordoris of Scotland and Ingland: partlie be tempting of the said regentis mynd, quha answerit to the duke of Norffolk, *That he wald be glad that the quene recognoscing hir falt, and repenting, sould marry ony gude Christian man of nobill house.* The rumour of this dealing wes sa openelie spoken in the court of Ingland, that the quenis majestie wes constraynit to wryte to hir lieutenantis to mak the regent be put sauf in Scotland; and so he wes without any recounter, bot of the erll of Westmureland not far frome Durame, quho seing the regentis company, and ryding throw thame, thocht not best to matche with thame. The next assemble wes at Glasgow, quhair the Hamiltonis bragging, bot could not be party, be meanis of him and otheris thair favoureris with the regent, wer ressavit to sic an appointment as wes greitlie to thair advantage, and the said regentis dissadvantage. And quhen thai sould haif gevin pledgis to performe the said appointment, as wes compromittit, thay did bot seke delay; and so the principallis of thame [wer] committit to ward in the castell of Edinburgh. The haill mater wes secreitlie handillit be the Chamæleon. The quhilk handilling apperit more planelie at an conventioun at Sanctandrois; quhair thair wes twa headis principallie disputit: first, *Gif the erll of Huntlie sould haif general remissioun for all reif and oppressioun done be him and his friendis in all tymes bypast;*

or, *gif the kingis actioun pardonit, prevat men sould have actioun to crave thair awin geir: nixt, Gif the erll of Huntleis haill assisstaris sould be comprehendit in ane remission with him.* The quhilk twa headis the Chamæleon and his complices preassi ternistly be all meanis to be ressavit, as said is, and that not without boisting of Franche men and Spanzaris, and mony uther inconvenientis; and all this done to dissourage the kingis trew liegis, and lychtning the hartis of rebellis in hoip of impunitie of all wrongis that thai sould do in tyme to cume, and to hald the haill cuntre in rebelloun and inquietnes, to consume at the lang the regent, quhilk thai knew to be puir of substance and liberall of courage. And zit wer not thir thingis mair subtilly devysed, nor thai wer constantlie resistit be the regent and his trew counsall. And seing that thai could not come to thair propose this way, thay causit new articles to be devisit in England, towching the quenis cuming hame: to the quhilk albeit thair wes sufficient answer mad in London, zit for the samyne caus wes devysit ane assemble of lordis in Sanct Johnestoun, with ane additioun of ane commissioun of divorcement of the Erll Boithuile and the quene, and to the effect of the haill, mony writingis [wer] purchassit of boith wise men and greit men of England, schawing planelie that it wes ane foly to Scotland to presse to resist the marriage betwix the quene and duke of Norffolk; for it wes devysit be sic wisdom, and to be executit with sic force, as Scotland wes not abill to resist; and not without consent of the quenis majestie of England. Heir, albeit Chamæleon and all his quhelpis ragit nevir sa fast, the contrair wes concludit, and schawen to the quenis grace of England be Alexander Hume, gentleman of my lord regentis hous. And becaus the quenis majestie wes not fully satisfet at that tyme, ane uther convocation wes hald at Stirling, to the quhilk the Chamæleon, assurit of my lord regentis clemencie, and proude in his awin consait, bot feiring for

his demeritis, efter sum dubitatioun come to Stirling; and wes in doubt not without caus. For about this tyme, my lord regent, advertisit that the Hamiltonis had decreitit to murthoure him, he schew bayth the taill and the authour to the Chamæleon, of the quhilk the Chamæleon reprovit vehemently the Hamiltonis that could not keip thair counsall mair secret; and this advertising being schawin be sum of the Hamiltonis to my lord regent, zit he sufferit pacientlie. At Stirling the articles being declarit at greiter length, the Chamæleon wes attecheit be justice, and chargit of the kingis murthoure, the quhilk greivit him havelie, and pressit at my lord regentis hand the cryme to be changeit, and he to be accusit of the troubles lyke to ryis in Ingland and Scotland throuch the forspoken mariage; for he thocht that mater to be consavit and devysit sa substantiallie, that nouthur force nor wit could maik impediment to the performance of it, and belevit suirlye that sic ane cryme sould redound to his greit prayse and opinioun of wisdom. This not obteinit, he obteinit aganis all the said regentis friendis will, to be send to ward in the castell of Edinburghe; quahir he wrocht againis the nature of the Chamæleon, for he changeit the greitar part of thame of the castell to his collour sa weill, that the conspiracy of the regentis deid, lang afoir consavit, wes than brocht to effect. Eftir the quhilk he wrocht be sic meanis, that he perswadit the haill lordis than present in Edinburgh to be enlargit of his ward, under promise to compeir and answer to the cryme of the kingis murthoure layd to his charge, at sic day as he sould be callit, and under hoip to be an gude instrument of concord amangis the lordis; and ane day prolongit to sick of the Hamiltonis as wald purge thame sellfis of the murthoure of the regent unto the first day of Maii: bot sone being adjoinit with uthers of his factioun, he changeit that collour, and perswadit utheris complices of the murthoure for feir, and sum sempill persones be ane fals collour of proffeit, to

convene to an schorter day, viz. the tent day of Apryle, assuring thame that the castell (as it wes) being thairs, and the town als, (for the capitane of the castell wes proveist) that this rumour sould caus mony to convene to thame, and thair adversaris dissgracit sould haif na place to convene togidder; and that the quene of England, troublit alreddy with civile warre at hame, mycht the mair eselie condescend to that syde, wrait to hir letteres partlie flattering, partlie threatning; and to schaw hir thair greit power, send ane roll of the lordis of thair syde, quhairin wes comprehendit sum lordis neutrallis, and mony of the adversaries, beleving that lewis maid in Scotland could not be tryit in Londoun. And seing that the town of Edinburgh could not be perswadit to rebell with thame, and that the cuntre convenit not as thai hoipit, and the breking of the bordouris succedit not to thair proffeit, the most part flittit camp, and went to Lynlythquow, and thair set furth thair proclamatioun dytit be Chamæleon (as wes afore the erll of Westmuirlandis second proclamatioun) and thairby set up the quenis authoritie, quhairof he (tarreing in the castell of Edinburgh) kepit himself clene, as Pilat wesching his handis of the deid of Chryst. And sens that tyme, as afore, this gud subjecte and servand to the kingis grace confortit with counsale, and conveyit out of the cuntre the rebellis of England, the samyne being enemies to the king of Scotland; and prattit proudlie, vantage that his pen sould be worth ten thousand men; and threitnit schamefullie (gif he had reservit any schame) the quenis majestie of England with wordis of quhilk the memory sould be rather abolishit be punitioun of him, than rehersit for thair impudencie; and feirit not to maik sa opin a leye to nobillmen of England, as that the kingis trew subjectis acknawledging his authoritie wer not able to assembl togidder fyve hundred horse, quhair thai saw, within few days, moir than fyve thousand assemblit out of ane cornar of Scotland. And ay sensyne he hes bene

at all convocations of the kingis professit enemies in Scotland, in Dunkeld, in Athol, in Strabogie, in Braidalbine, and ellis quhair, and kepis contrebank to Mr Johne Lesslie of Kingusce, in all directionis to put the king out of his estait, his realme, and at lenth out of this erdlie lyffe.

Now, I pray you, espy out quhat proffeit the quene, our kingis modder, sall gadder of him that hes bene (as sche knawis) sa oftentyme traitour to hir modder, to hir selfe, to hir sone, to hir brother, and to hir cuntre. Sche will be exemplis considder, that how mony collouris that evir this Chamæleon change, that it can nevir, againis the nature of it, turne perfytelie quhyte.

Respice finem,
1570. *Respice funem.*

No. III.—See p. 178.

*Buchanan's Opinion anent the Reformation of the
Universitie of St Androis.*

(From a MS. in the Advocates' Library.)

The ordinar Expenses of the Colledge of Humanitie.

Persons.

The Principall.

Ane Lector publick.

Sex Regents.

Servants.

The Principall, 2 servants.

The Lector publick, j servant.

The Cook.

The Porter.

The Steuart.

The Pantriman.

For the principall and tuo servants, tuo quarts of ale, tuo bread of 16 unce the bread, ane quarter of mutton, or equivalent in silver on the fish day, tuo shilling.

Summa.

Of malt fiftein bolls and ane half, at twelve gallons the boll.

It. in bread of uheat sex bolls.

For kitchin meatt threttie sex pundis.

For the publick lector a quart of ale, ane bread and ane halfe. It. half ane quarter of mutton at the principalls table. If he be married, or had house out of the college, that it shall befall to him to have ane boarder in the college at the principalls table in his place, or els the price of the boarding above writtten.

Summa.

Of mault, seven bolls and three furlitts.

In bread, four bolls and tuo furlitts.

In silver, eightein pundis.

The sex regents, every man three chopins of ale, and tuentie unce of bread daylie; and amongst them ane quarter of mutton and ane halfe, or equivalent; that is, for fish or flesh on the day, five shilling; viz. on the fish day, tuo courss of fish, and every man ane egg at the melteth, aftir the
and opportunitie.

Summa.

Of malt, threttie five bolls.

Of wheat, tuentie tuo bolls, and ane furlitt.

Of silver, nintie one pund, seven shilling.

The cook, steuart, porter, and pantriman, ilke ane of them ane bread, ane pint of ale, in the day, and halfe ane quarter of mutton, or equivalent among them, ane courss of fish att melteth; sextein pennies the day.

Summa.

Of malt, eleven bolls, tuo furlitts, tuo pecks.

Of oatmeall, fiftein bolls.

Of silver, tuentie four pundis, sex shilling, eight pennies.

Wadges of the persons.

The principall, ane hundreth pundis.

The publick lector, ane hundreth merks.

The sex regents, sex score of pundis to be divydit at the principalls discretion, and paction made uith them.

The cook and porter, twelve merks.

The steuart to be payed be the principall off the professor of the portionists.

For coalls, naperie, and vessells, and other extraordinars, and concerning the hall and kitchin, fourtie pundis yeirly.

For reparation of the place, fourtie pundes yeirly. Of the whilk reparations the principall shall give compt yeirly to the censors and rector for the tyme.

The hail soume.

In drink of malt, sextie nine bolls, four furlitts, tuo pecks.

In wheatt, threttie one bolls.

In silver, five hundreth fourtie seven pund, ten shilling, ten pennies.

It. for ilk bussar, so many as shall be thought necessary to be in the College of Humanitie, one bread and ane pint of ale in the day, the sixth part of ane quarter of mutton, or the value therof.

The Order of the Colledge of Humanitie.

The scholars that comes of neu shall adress him to the principall, who shall cause them to compeir, and examin them, and, eftir ther capacitie, send them to ane regent with his signet: and the regent shall writt them in his roll, and assigne them place in his class, divydit in decurys.

The bairns of this colledge shall hear no other lessons

but ther regents ; and the lector publict in humanitie, so many as shall be found able by the principall : and that which is read in the college shall not be read in others.

The bairnes of this college shall not goe furth by them selvs, nor yet uith ane regent without the principalls leave.

All other things pertaineing to discipline scholestick, to be done as comoditie and time occurs.

The number of the classes at the least sex.

The louest class is for those that should declin ther nouns, and the verbs, actives, passives and anomales, and aftir that learn Terence and the rudiments of grammer, as folloues. They shall bring to ther class peaper and ink ; and ther regent shall cause them to writt tuo or three lines of Terence, telling not only to them the letters and the word, but also the accent, in such leasure that the bairnes may easilie writt aftir his pronounciation. And aftir that, he shall give the interpretation in Scotts correspondant to the Latine, garring them all writt : syne he shall decline every word, and cause them to writt severally all the nouns and the verbs that be in ther lesson, give comand to learn them against the next lessone, and also bring that lesson whilk was made in the class, without any fault written. The nomeclators to have charge to gather the lessons written, every one in his oun decurie, and bring them to the regent, and schou him who hes faults. And if the regent find fault wherof the nomeclator hes not advertised him, then he shall punish both the witter and the nomeclator to make them more dilligent in tyme to come ; and no man shall mend others faults whill they come to the regent. In this class they shall be constrained to speak Latine, and daylie to compon some small thing eftir ther capacitie.

The fifth Class.

This class shall read Terence and some of the most

facil epistles of Cicero alternarim [alternatim], and also the rules of grammar assigned to them, without commentair bot only the express words and sentence of the rule: and they shall writt both Terence and Cicero, every man with his oun hand.

The fourth Class.

This class shall read of Terence and Cicero some thing maire then the classes under them; and also *de constructione octo partium*, and the latter half of the year shall read some epistles of Ovid or other of his elegies; and also writt all ther lessons except the grammar, and compone larger thames than the other classes. And all ther classis shall be visit every quarter of a year, and promovit them aftir ther merits.

The thrid Class.

This class shall read the grammar in Greek, the epistles of Cicero, and some of the most facil orations, with some bookes of Ovid, and the qualities [quantities] of syllabs, and some introduction of rhetorick, and some of the books of Linecesters [Linacre's] grammar, and shall be maire exercised in composition then the others louver.

The second and first Class.

Ther classis shall read the rhetorick of Cicero and his orations, and for part Virgill, Horace, Ovid, and some of Homer or . The auditors shall be dilligently exercised in verss, and oration, and declamation, every month, ilk ane ther cours about. Item, general disputationes to be had every Saturday frae on aftirnoon to four houres, ane class against another, fixing thames alternatim; and syne componeing, or thames dited by regents of other classis, or other maisters.

At the end of the year in the month of August or therby, all the haill classis shall propon thames openlie, and affix them upon the college walls, or in the great schooll, or halls. The principall shall chuse ane certane of the best

of the first classe and second, and send thames to some of the honest men of other colleges, or some other learned man being present for the tyme, and desyre that he propon them ane thame in prose and ane other in versse. Ther shall be tuo bonnetts proponed to be given solemnly to the tuo that makes best composition, with honorable word to encourage others in tyme to come to emulation; and that the honest and principall persons in the universitie asisstand, and exhorting the students to be dilligent, and raise ther courage.

Hereftir, because the maist part of the countrey will be glad to see ther bairns, and make them cloathes, and provide to ther necessities the rest of the year, ther may be given some vaccans unto the first day of October; on the whilk day, all lessons begins again in all colleges. At the whilk day, nane shall be promovit to no class without he be examined by the principall and regents comittit thereto.

The principall shall be dilligent that every regent doe his dutie, and that the bairnes be obedient; and to that effect make some particular rules, sick as shall be found gud be the rector and the censors for peaceable governing of the college; and at the begining of October the principall shall present before them the said report. And gief any inlak be sickness or other necessitie, he shall present ane qualified person to them: and geif the principall inlake, the universitie and conservator or his deput shall convey, and chuse of the haill universitie four of the best qualified persons to that office, and writt ther names; and eftir prayer made that God of his gudness would send the sort upon some that were ablest to exerce that estat to his glory and comon weill, ane barn shall drau of the foure one, the whilk shall be principall; and this to putt auay all deception and ambition.

The principall shall support the defects of absence of the public reader, and repeat. And sicklyke, in the prin-

cipalls absence, every man in his order shall have his jurisdictione and correction of the students.

The porter shall abide continually at the zeatt and receive the principalls signet of them that desires to pass furth. Item, in Summer he shall ring daylie at five houres to the riseing; at sex to the lesson public; befor eight tuice to the ordinar lection; at ten he shall knell ane halfe houre; to eleven knell; at eleven ring to the dinner; at grace knell; to repetition aftir grace ring; or three houres ring tuice; at halfe houre to five knell; at five ring.

All the students remaineing in the colledge shall be distribut be chalmers, and under care of the principall or some regent or padagoge, learned and of judgement, who shall have care of ther studie and dilligence; but not to read any parlant lection to them, but to cause them to give count of it that they read in the classe: nor yet shall it be lafull to the said pedagoge to ding ther disciples, but only to declare the fault to the principall or to ther regent, and refer the punishment to them.

In this college nane shall persever regents in humanitie above the space of seven or eight years.

The three lou classis shall not be subject to come to preachings or exercise publict except on the Sondag. The other preaching and exercise dayes, ane regent shall be comissionat to see that they be deullie exercised, and specially in learning to writt.

The College of Philosophie.

Persons.

Ane Principall.

Ane in Medicine.

And Regents four.

Servants.

The Principall, tuo servants.

The Medicine, one servant.

The Cook.

The Portar.

The Steuart.

The Pantriman.

The principalls portion and sallarie as in the College of Humanitie.

The medicins as in the lector public of humanitie.

The rest ut supra proportionally.

Summa.

In bread

In drink

In silver

The bursars tuelve ut supra; each one sextin pund the year, or ut supra.

For coalls, candle, naperie, and vessells, fourty punds yeirly.

For reparation of the place, fourty pund yeirly.

The haill subject to compt ut supra.

The principall to be ane man of honour, and sufficient doctrin to supplie the regents absence in reding in ther sickness or lauffull bussines. Item, to have all sick autoritie over regents, and students, and servants, in the college, and to give compt to the rector and censors, as foirsaid is in the College of Humanitie, at every visitation.

The first regent read the dialect, analiter [analytics], and moralis, in the first year and halfe; and the other year and halfe, the naturall philosophie, metaphisick, and principis of mathematick. Sua in the year ther regents shall pass by degrees the haill courss of dialect, logick, phisick, and metaphisick: the rest of the tyme to repeat, and pass ther acts. They shall read such books of Aristotle or other philosophers as the principall shall prescribe to them.

No man shall be admitted at the begining of the year to the philosophie, that hes not past by the first or second class of humanitie; or, geif he be ane stranger, be inputt

worthie of the first and second class, be triall of composition in versse and prose.

The Order of Reading.

All the regents shall begin, both Summer and Winter, at sex hours in the morneing to ther ordinar lessons; and at the begining, shall make ane short prayer for promotion of learning and the estate of the comon well. They shall read unto eight hours; and uhilk being strokin, the bell shall ring to the medicinis lesson; quha shall read on to nine hours: and frae nine to ten shall be intermission. In the rest of the hours they shall be exercised in disputeing and reading, as the College of Humanitie; and the regents in every class shall cause the one part to disput against the other. On Saturnday, every class shall propon certan propositiones, whilk afoir noon shall be examinat and disput again by the regents betuixt eight and eleven houres; and aftir noon the disciples of the superior class shall disput against the inferior betuixt ane and three hours.

The Promotion of ther Degrees.

At the end of the first tuo years, they shall be made batchelors, wher not only they shall declare publicly what they have profited by ther industrie and labours, but alsua they shall ansuer privatly to four examinatours deputt by the universitie, of the dialect, logie, and moralis: and uha beis not found able, shall be deposed to ane louver class. And so sicklyk, at the end of the year and halfe following, to be examinat of the naturall philosophie, metaphysicks, and mathematicks. The examinatours shall be graduat, and [ane] in theologie, ane that hes read in philosophie, ane of profession of medicine passed master, and ane regent in humanitie: quha on ther conscience shall declare to the rector and censors quha are worthie of promotion or not. Eftir the whilk declaration, the rector shall decern the unworthie to be deposit for time convenient to ane inferior class: sua

that na man be admitted to receave degree except that he have promovit in letters.

To the banquet of acts of bachelor, and liscence, the rich shall not pay above fourtie shilling, the paur ten shilling, to augment the comon portion of the college; sua that the convention of honest men of the universitie be with modestie and temperance. Item, so many of the asisstands to this act as be graduat in divinity, laus, or medicine, or presently regents in philosophie or humanitie, shall have for ther presence and decreeing of the act, ane pair of gloves. And the principall of the said colledge shall take hoad [heid] that thir things be performed as he will ansuer to the judgement of the rector and censors.

The number of bursars sustained, tuentie four, as is prescrivit in the College of Humanitie.

Naine shall persever regent in this colledge longer then the space of tuo coursses.

The medicin shall read four dayes in the week, ane houre every day, in medicine: and if he inlake, the principall shall deduce so meikle of his wage, to be used to the comon profit of the college.

The College of Divinitie.

Personis.

Ane Principall, to be Reader in Hebreu.

Ane Lauer.

Servants.

The Principall, tuo servants.

The Lauer, one servant.

Cook.

Pantriman.

Steuart.

Porter.

Ther expensis ut supra: viz. the principall as other principalls. The lauer fourty punds. The cook, porter, steuart,

and pantriman, ut supra. Bursars, eightein of them; sex in lau, and tuelve in theologie: ther expensis ut supra.

In this college, becaus that the students are in number feuer, and of greater age then in the others, the principall and lector in Hebrew may be ane person. The uhilk shall read four dayes every week.

The Thursday, ane student in divinitie shall expone ane peice of the scripture the space of ane hour; and this being done, shall ansuer to the objections of every man that pleases to disput against him, the space of ane hour and ane halfe. The principall shall see that good order be keepit in disputing, without superfluitie of words, nothing pertaineing to the purpose, without dinrie or pertinacitie in contention; and that every auditor in divinitie ansuer his courss about, as shall be ordained by the principall. To speak in the public exercise and expone the scriptur, shall entice not only the auditors of divinitie, sick as shall be thought expedient, but also the regents in other faculties.

The lauer shall read daylie ane hour in lau, except on the Thursday.

Ther shall be eightein bursars in this college: viz. sex in lau, and tuelve auditors in divinitie.

The Comon Magistrats and Officiars of the Universitie.

Ane Rector.

The rector most be ane discreit and grave person, doctor or bachelar in the higher faculties, principall of ane college or presently regent in divinitie, lau, or medicine, of age above threttie years; and shall be chosen by the haill graduats of the universitie, within ane of the three colleges, the conservator or his deputt being present: quha shall require the convention in ther conscience, that out of every college ther be ane chosen, quha shall declair the votes of the college faithfullie gathered; and declare him rector who hes maniast votes, sua that he have not been rector within tuo yeares befor.

The rectors office is principally in keeping of the disciplin scholastick; as in visitation of the colleges twice or thrice in the year, so that the order be keepit in teaching; in uisitations of classis; in disputations als uell privat as publick: item, that no idle man be holden on the wage or expensis of the universitie, nor unworthie promovit to degree. The rectors time to be ane year, without continuation: and if by ambition or otherwayes, the most part of the votes continou him, all ther votes that tends to continuation, to be null. And make ane register of all that enters in the number of the universitie, and shall enjoy the previledges therof.

Conservator.

The conservator of priviledge most have autoritie to call befor him all actiones or questiones moved by them of the universitie against any persons in maitters tuitching students as being students: and his decreit shall have ready execution, notuithstanding any appellations, without delay or appellation out of the universitie. His wage to be payed to him, or his deputt, of the archdeanrie; becaus in tymes bypast the archdean or bishop uas conservators, or some deputt for them; and nou is reassonable that they sustain the samen charge.

The thesaurer shall be chosen once in the year, the samen day that the censor beis chosen; and shall give compt at the yeares end to the censors, the day aftir the choiceing of the neu censor.

The sellary of the rector, thesaurer, and censor, to be payed off the casualities of the universitie, as it that comes of the entries of the students in the rectors book, and of the degrees. Also the beddell to be payed of the samen. The wages of the rector, censor, thesaurer, and beddell, and als all ther casualities, to be so moderat that they be not excessive in no qualitie.

Item, that the Queens Grace and Lords of the Parlia-

ment be required to pass ane act, that three years after the performing of this reformation, na man be provydit to sustaine office of preacher or lector in the kirke, except they have been deullie graduat in the schoolls.

The Rentall of St Leonards College.

In silver	-	-	132 lib. 2 sh. 4 d.
In wheat	-	-	2 chal. 12 bolls.
In bear	-	-	13 chal. 11 bolls, 2 fur. 2 pecks.
In meall	-	-	8 chal. 8 bolls.

Sanct Salvators, all being free.

In silver	-	-	-	-	642 lib. 00 00
Wheatt	-	-	-	-	3 chal. 13 bolls.
Bear	-	-	-	-	8 chal. 2 bolls.
Aits	-	-	-	-	19 chal. 3 bolls.

The Neu College, all being free.

In silver, besides	when it shall vaick,	110 lib.
Wheatt	-	3 chal. 8 bolls.
Bear	-	6 chal.
Aits	-	5 chal.

The hail Soumes.

In silver	-	1284 [884] lib. 2 sh. 4
Wheatt	-	10 chal. 1 boll.
Bear	-	27 chal. 13 bolls, 2 furl. 2 pecks.
Aite meall	-	8 chal.
Aits	-	24 chal. 3 bolls.

No. IV.

*Notices of George Buchanan; communicated by the
Rev. John Lee, M.D. Professor of Ecclesiastical
History in New College, and Rector of the Uni-
versity of St Andrews.*

GEORGE BUCHANAN was not, as is commonly imagined, a student in St Salvator's College. In the year 1525, the names Patricius Balquhannan and Georgius Balquhannan both stand in the list *Incorporatorum in Pædagogio*, that is, of those who were matriculated in the seminary afterwards distinguished by the name of St Mary's, or New College; which seems to have been for the first time designed *New* in 1538, when its foundation was new-modelled by Archbishop James Beaton, its greatest benefactor. John Mair was one of the masters in the Pedagogy when Buchanan entered this college. Mair had become a member of the university only a year or two before, as appears from the following entry in one of the records of the university: "Die nono mensis Junii anno Dni Im. V^c. XXIII. incorporatus erat venerabilis vir Mg^r nr. Mg^r Johannes Major, Doctor Theologus Parisiensis, et Thesaur^{ius} Capellæ Regiæ. Eodem die incorporati sunt Mg^r Patricius Hamilton et Mg^r Ro^{tus} Lauder in nra. universitate." [This Patricius Hamilton was the abbot of Fearn, who was burnt as a heretic four years afterwards.] That Mair was not at this time a member of St Salvator's College (as has been generally believed) is evident from his appointment in 1523 and 1524 as one of the deputies who assisted the rector in the annual visitation of that college. The following minute mentions his nomination in 1523. "Congregatione Univ^{er}sitatis Sancti Andr. in eccl^{ia} S^{ti} Johannis E-

vang^{tas} Pædagogii intra civitatem Sancti Andr. die XVII. Mensis Ja^{rii} anno dni Im. V^e. XXIII. in qua congrega. pro electione deputatorum ad visitandum Collegium S^{ti} Salvatoris, de mandato egregii viri Mg^{ri} Georgii Lockhart, Rectoris dicti Univ^{tis} electi fuerunt per Univer^{tem} congregatam venerabiles et egregii viri Mg^{ri} Mg^r Johannes Mayr, Thesaur^{ius} Capellæ Regiæ Strevilens. venerabilis vir Mg^r Georgius Fern, Præcentor Brechinens. Mg^r Johannes Lockhart, Rector de Innerkeithe, una cum D^{no} Rectore Universitatis, pro visitatione antedicta, per nationes Laudoniae, Albaniae, Angusiae et Britanniae, ad visitand. præfatum collegium hoc anno instante vigesimo tertio, ut moris est."—The name of John Mair disappears from the registers of the university in 1525, and does not occur again till the year 1532, when he is mentioned as one of the rector's assessors, without any other official designation. An instrument of seisin still extant of the date 21 Jan. 1532, styles him "Vicarius de Dunloppie Glasg. dioces." In 1533 he became provost of St Salvator's (Ecclesiae Collegiatae Divi Salvatoris præpositus), having succeeded Hugh Spens, who had possessed that dignity nearly thirty years. Mair continued to hold his office till 1549, about which time he was succeeded by Martin Balfour.

While Mair was provost of St Salvator's, the college of St. Mary had for its principals Robert Bannerman, Archibald Hay, and John Douglas, all secular priests; and St Leonard's College was under the superintendence of a succession of learned men, all of them regular clergy; namely, Thomas Cunningham, Alexander Young, John Annan, and John Law. This last was succeeded by John Duncanson, one of the brethren of the Augustinian priory, who became principal of St Leonard's College in 1556, and who having been converted to the reformed religion, retained his office in the college as well as his share of the rents of the convent. Whether he acted as minister of St Leo-

nard's church is not certain; but as he was in orders, and as St Leonard's was a parish long before the reformation, it might be presumed that he did, if it did not appear from the minutes of the kirk session of St Andrews, that immediately after the reformation the inhabitants of St Leonard's were in the habit of attending the Trinity Church of St Andrews. When Duncanson retired from the principality in 1566, he gave to the college a great cup or maizer, double gilt, and other articles to the value of 30 pounds; also 20 pounds to purchase coals, and 100 pounds to the new work of the college, with 50 pounds of his yearly pension from the abbey of St. Andrews. In addition to these donations, amounting to 200 pounds, he gave two tin flagons for the use of the college, and (what appears to have been much more valuable) all his books, both great and small.

The office of principal of St. Leonard's College is thus described in the original statutes enacted by the prior John Hepburn, and confirmed in the year 1544 by James commendator of the priory, and Alexander (Mylne) abbot of Cambuskenneth, administrator, with the approbation and consent of John Winram, subprior, John Annand, principal, Thomas Fyff, sacrist, Jo. Lamont, provisor, and Jas. Wylkie, David Guild, John Scheill, and David Gardyn, regents. "*Volumus ex fratrum nostrorum Collegio, viz. ex Capitulo Sancti Andreæ per priorem ejusdem, perpetuis futuris temporibus, unum aliquem canonicum, virum gravem, prudentem et doctum, in sacris literis doctorem, licentiatum aut bachalarium, seu alium quemvis eruditum ex Capitulo Sancti Andreæ canonicum, eligi et nominari, ac dicto nostro pauperum Collegio præfici, locique magistrum principalem nuncupari, cui omnes alii presbyteri, regentes et discipuli humiliter obediant, ad ejus monita et directiones diligenter auscultent, correctiones pro delictis ab ipso benigne suscipiant, eumque in ea quæ decet reverentia semper et ubique tueantur et habeant. Singuli etiam loci officarii sibi quo-*

tiescunque voluerit de bonis collegii rationem reddant. Ipse vero semel in anno domino priori computum de rebus ipsis exhibere teneatur. Et in festis majoribus vesperas cum missa, et collectas post salve cantabit, omnibusque feriis quartis et sextis presbyteris, regentibus, et aliis quibuscunque interesse volentibus, lectionem in sacris literis aut in speculativa theologia scite et mature docebit. . . . Ipse etiam principalis, presbyteri et regentes pro suis laboribus habebunt intra locum cameras et victum quotidianum honeste ut decet. . . . Et præter victum ac ea quæ ei debentur ex monasterio, principalis habebit pro stipendio annuo decem libras, una cum juvene servitore qui scyphario in magna mensa adjumento sit," &c.

The original statutes continued in force after the reformation (till the year 1579) in so far as they were consistent with the purity of religion.

George Buchanan succeeded John Duncanson as principal of St Leonard's in the year 1566. The following is the earliest notice of him which occurs in the rector's books.

"Septimus decimus Rectoratus Magistri Johannis Douglasii, præpositi Novi Collegii Mariani, 1566.

"Electores hoc anno fuerunt viri praeclari, ex Laudonia Magister Jacobus Vilkie, Regens Collegii Leonardini, ex Albania Magister Johannes Lamond, ejusdem Collegii Provisor, ex Angusia Magister Guilielmus Skein, juris licentiatum, ex Britannia Magister Georgius Buchananus, Collegii Leonardini Gymnasiarcha, hujus seculi Poetarum facile princeps. Assessores ex Laudonia Magister Johannes Vinram, superintendens Fyffiae, Magister Jacobus Vilkie, et Magister Alexander Hammyltoun, junior, Regentes, ex Albania Magister Gulielmus Ramsay,^a secundus princi-

^a John Rutherford and William Ramsay, both of whom are named in the first edition of the *Memoirs of Buchanan*, p. 81. are frequently mentioned in the university books. The one was minister of Culds, as well as provost of St Salvator's College, and the other was minister of Kemback.

palis magister Collegii Salvatoriani, et Magister Joannes Brown, Causarum procurator, ex Angusia Mg^r Gulielmus Skein, juris licentiatus, Magister David Guild, tertius magister principalis Collegii Salvatoriani, et Magister Johannes Carnegie, Novi Collegii regens, ex Britannia Magister Georgius Buchananus, Magister Johannes Rutherford, Collegii Salvatoriani praepositus, et Magister Robertus Hammyltoun, minister verbi Dei, &c.

“ Deputati per Universitatem designati, qui vicem Rectoris absentis gerant hoc anno, fuerunt viri praestantissimi Magister Johannes Vinram, superintendens, &c. Mg^r Georgius Buchananus, gymnasiarcha, &c. Magister Joannes Rethurfurd, praepositus, &c. et Magister Robertus Hammyltoun, minister,” &c.

The minute on occasion of the next election of the rector in 1567 is exactly the same as in 1566. It is remarkable that no students are enrolled as belonging to St Leonard's College either of those years, though the numbers both in St Mary's and St Salvator's are considerable. In 1568 more students entered St Leonard's than even St Mary's, which had generally been the most numerously attended of all the colleges; and in 1569, the numbers enrolled for the first time in St Leonard's were 24, while those entering at St Mary's were only 11, and those at St Salvator's only 8. Buchanan's name appears in this book for the last time in 1568. His name is mentioned, as before, among the electors, the assessors, and the deputies of the rector; and each of these three times he is called “ Poetarum nostrae memoriae facile princeps.” Buchanan's colleagues in St Leonard's College were James Wilkie, eldest regent, and vicar of Eglisgreg, Nicol Dalgliesh, Robert Wilkie, and William Collace.

The masters in St Mary's College in 1569 were Jo. Douglas, principal, Robert Hamilton, licentiate in divinity, second master, Archibald Hamilton, bachelor in divinity,

third master, William Skene, professor of law, and commissary of St Andrews, (brother of Sir John Skene, the lawyer, who had himself been a regent in 1564 and 5,) Alexander Hamilton, John Hamilton, James Hamilton, George Gillespie, and John Carnegie.

The principal, professors, and regents of St Salvator's were John Rutherford, William Ramsay, David Guild, James Martyn, John Ker, Thomas Brown, and John Arthur.

In the dean of faculty's register, the name Magister Georgius Buchananus occurs three times, viz. 2d Nov. 1567, 3d Nov. 1568, and 2d Nov. 1569, always as one of the dean's assessors. He was never either rector, or dean of the faculty of arts.

In the register of the faculty quaestor's accounts from Nov. 1566 to Nov. 1567, he signs the discharge as one of the auditors. Thomas Buchanan,^b one of the regents of St Salvator's College, had been elected quaestor for that year: but as he left the college in the course of the year, James Martyn, another regent, and afterwards provost of the same college, was appointed to act for him. The other auditors of accounts, besides Buchanan, are John Douglas, rector, (afterwards archbishop of St Andrews,) John Rutherford,

^b Two persons of this name were enrolled in St Mary's College in 1556, Thomas Buchanan senior, and Thomas Buchanan junior. The one, who was George Buchanan's relation, was afterwards a distinguished minister and professor. Was the other Buchanan of Ibbert, keeper of the privy seal? [The former is thus mentioned by J. Melvin: "Mr Tho. Buchanan, first schoolmaster in Stirling, and syne provest of Kirk-haugh in St Andrews, and minister of Syres, a man of notable gifts and learning, natural witt, and uprightness in the cause of the kirk against the bishops, but had his own imperfections, namely of extreme partiality in the cause of his friends and dependars." (*MS. Life of James Melvin*, p. 110.) This writer describes him as the cousin of G. Buchanan. D. I.] Rollock, in the dedication of his commentary on the epistles to the Thessalonians "Thomæ Buchanano, Siresensis ecclesiæ pastori," says, "cum in schola tua educarer, quam tum Sterlini magno reipublicæ nostræ bono aperuisti, non sine auspiciis Georgii Buchanani patruī tui, viri omnium quos tulit hæc natio literatissimi."

dean of faculty, provost of St Salvator's College, James Wilkie, Buchanan's successor as principal of St Leonard's, Robert Hamilton, minister of St Andrews, and a professor of divinity, afterwards principal of St Mary's College. The signature of George Buchanan is remarkably neat (more so than in the report of the commissioners of visitation); and he does not prefix Mr to his name, as was the general practice at that period. There are more deviations from this mode of subscription before than after Buchanan's time. In 1558 the accounts are signed by Jo. Douglas, Jo. Rutherford, James Wilkie, Alexander Arbuthnot, and Thomas Smeton, all afterwards principals of colleges; and the only one who writes Magister before his name is Wilkie, the least considerable person of the whole number. From the year 1566 to 1617, when doctors of divinity were again introduced into Scotland by James VI, I have not observed one instance in which any subscriber of the quaestor's accounts omits the Mr before his name, except that of Andrew Melvin, principal of St Mary's College in 1581. I find him however conforming to the usual mode in 1588. But his signature wherever else I have seen it, forms an exception from the rule to which masters of arts in this country generally adhered.

I have not been able to discover the name of George Buchanan in any of the other records of this city. The books of the kirk session contain the names of most of the professors and other persons of education, who were generally elected elders every year, at least from October 1569 to October 1597. All the men of learning were required by the first book of discipline to attend the weekly exercise of expounding the scriptures, in which all ministers and expectants within six Scottish miles of every principal town were obliged to take their turn, on pain of subjecting themselves to discipline in case of refusal. At this exercise all masters and students in the three colleges of St Andrews were

required to be present, by a statute of the university dated 7th January 1561.

George Buchanan's predecessor as principal was a minister, as was also his immediate successor James Wilkie; and indeed all his successors have been ministers. It may be presumed, therefore, that Buchanan was as much in orders as any of the other ministers admitted into the Scottish church, about the time of the reformation, none of whom was set apart by the imposition of hands. On account of the omission of this ceremony, Archbishop Adamson chose to say in 1586, that Robert Wilkie, moderator of the synod of Fife, was a layman: but the synod said that he had been upon the exercise for sixteen years before, and been ordained by the presbytery of St Andrews at its first erection in 1581; and besides they declared that it was heresy to maintain that the office of *doctor* is no ordinary ecclesiastical function. When Maxwell, bishop of Ross, in the year 1646 asserted that laymen had sometimes presided in presbyteries and general assemblies, he did not repeat this instance; probably because Wilkie had afterwards submitted to episcopacy, without however being reordained: but he referred to the cases of Robert Yule, Andrew Melvin, and George Buchanan. Principal Baillie, in his *Historical Vindication*, p. 21, after animadverting on the two first assertions, adds, "George Buchanan had sometimes, as I have heard, been a preacher in St Andrews: the eminency of this person was so great that no society of men need be ashamed to have been moderated by his wisdom." Baillie having been born in 1599, only 17 years after Buchanan's death, might have enjoyed many opportunities of ascertaining the fact from some of his contemporaries; but his information concerning this and several other topics introduced in that tract is less satisfactory than might have been expected. Whether George Buchanan was a parochial minister or not, it is certain that he was at least a *doctor* or professor of di-

vinity, and in this capacity was entitled to a seat in all church courts, as a teaching elder or presbyter.^c

The chamber which George Buchanan is said to have occupied, as principal of St Leonard's College, is now part of a private dwelling-house, and is supposed to have undergone scarcely any transformation. It is about 18 feet long by 16 in breadth, having a window to the south and another to the east, which last commands a view of the bay of St Andrews and the rocks of Kinkell. It is on the second floor of the building, and was formerly entered by an outer stair, having no communication with any other apartment. All the rooms, I believe, were constructed on a similar principle, being separated from one another by thick stone walls, and each having a door to the front; but there were no stairs or passages within the walls.

As a specimen of the comfort of living in colleges about this period, I shall insert the inventory of the most splendidly furnished chamber in St Leonard's College in the year 1544, the very chamber I believe which was allotted to the principal.

“ In camera quae est prima versus orientem proximior templi in parte australi, fuerunt hæc bona communia pertinentia ad locum collegii. In the first, twa standard beds, the foreside of aik, and the northside and the fuits of fir. Item, ane feather bed, and ane white plaid of four ells, and ane covering woven o'er with images. It. another auld bed of harden, filled with straw, with an covering of green. It.

^c If Buchanan had ever performed the ordinary functions of a clergyman, it may, I think, be presumed that such a fact would not have been left doubtful by the ecclesiastical writers of his own age.—It appears from the accurate researches of Dr Lee, that he was not appointed principal of St Leonard's College till 1566; but he is known to have been a member of the general assembly in each of the three preceding years. When I formerly described him as a *lay* elder, I ought to have added that the term was not recognized in that age. D. I.

ane cod. Item, an inrower of buckram of five breds, part green, part red to zailow. Item, ane Flanders counter of the middling kind. It. ane little buird for the studie. It. ane furm of fir, and ane little letterin of aik on the side of the bed, with an image of St Jerome. It. an stool of elm, with an other chair of little price. It. an chimney weighing . Item an chandler weighing .

In the year 1599, the furniture of the college is as follows.

Impr. In the hall four fixed boards. The hale beds almaist fixt. In every chamber ane board and ane furme pertainand thereto, w^t glassen windows, and the maist part of all the chambers ciellered aboue, and the floors beneath laid with buirdis.

Compt of Vessels.

2 Silver pieces, ane maizer w^t common cups and stoups.

3 Doz. silver spoons, ane silver saltfat, a water basin, an iron chimney fixed in the hall.

In the kitchen, an iron chimney, w^t sic vessels as is necessar therein, with fixed boards and almeries.

With respect to the books which Buchanan is said to have presented to the library of St Leonard's College, ^d I have been able to lay my hands only upon nine.

1. Hieronymi Osorii de Gloria libri V. Conimbr. a Francisco Correa, A. D. MDXLIX. This volume has this inscription at the bottom of the title: "Ex libris communis bibliothecæ Collegii Leonardini, ex dono doctissimi Magistri Georgii Buchanani, principalis ejusdem." The inscription is repeated at the end of the volume in the same handwriting, not Buchanan's own, it is almost unnecessary to add.

^d "Est etiam in eo collegio librorum, eidem a Buchanano donatorum, catalogus: qui omnes adhuc in bibliotheca extant." (Sibbaldi *Comment. in Vitam Buchanani*, p. 66. Edinb. 1702, 8vo.)

2. Παυλου 'Αιγινητου 'Ιατρου ἀριςτου βιβλια ἑπτα. Venetiis, in ædibus Aldi et Andreae Asulani soceri, M.D.XXVIII. fol. This is a very beautiful copy of the *editio princeps*.

3. Homeri Poetarum Supremi Ilias per Laurentium Vallensem in Latinum Sermonem traducta: accuratissime ac solerti cura impressum ac emendatum hoc opus per venerabilem d. presbyt. Baptistam Farfengum, impensa vero d. Francisci Laurini civis Brixiani, MCCCCLXXXVII. With regard to the accuracy of the impression, the following specimens taken from fol. 1. may suffice. Agros for Argos, gratia for grata, fasta for festa, orgis for rogis, innuet for juvet. These errors are corrected on the margin, in Buchanan's handwriting I think. I see many others corrected in the handwriting of Professor Francis Pringle.

4. Marci Antonii Sabellici Annotationes veteres et recentes, ex Plinio, Livio, et pluribus Authoribus. Philippi Beroaldi Annotationes centum. Angeli Politiani Miscellaneorum centuria, &c. (8 other tracts). Impressit volumen hoc Jacobus Pentius de Leuco, Impressorum omnium accuratissimus M.D.II.—Many marginal notes in this volume seem to be in our poet's handwriting.

5. Augustini Steuchi Eugubini Bibliothecarii contra Laurentium Vallam de falsa Donatione Constantini libri duo. Ejusdem de Restituenda Navigatione Tiberis. Ejusdem de Aqua Virgine in Urbem Revocanda. Lugd. ap. Seb. Gryphium, M.D.XLVII. These three last are in folio.

6. Arithmetica Integra, authore Michaelis Stifelio, cum præfatione Philippi Melanchthonis. Norimbergæ, ap. Johan. Petreium, anno Christi M.D.XLIII. A quarto of 640 pages.

7. Terentiani Mauri venustissimus de Literis, Syllabis, et Metris Horatii Liber. (Johan. Petit.) Venundantur Parisiis in vico Divi Jacobi sub leone argenteo, apud Joannem Parvum. Bound up with this is Probi Grammatici Instituta Artium. Parisiis, 1.5.1.0.

8. *Ephemerides Nicolai Simi, Mathematici Bononiensis, ad annos xv. incipientes ab anno Christi MD.LIIII. usque ad annum MDLXVIII. cum meridiano inclytæ civitatis Bononiæ diligentissime collatæ, &c. Venetiis, ex officina Eras-
miana Vincentii Valgrisii, MDLIIII.*

9. *Le Epistole Familiari di Cicerone, tradotte secondo i veri sensi dell' autore, et con figure proprie, della lingua volgare. Con privilegio del sommo Pontifice et della illustrissima signoria di Venezia. M.D.LII. (8vo.)*—All these books are marked in the same manner as No. 1, both on the first and the last page.

There is also a copy of Buchanan's translation of Linacre's rudiments, printed at Paris in 1540, with a great number of interlineations and marginal notes, written in a very small hand, whether Buchanan's or not, I am not able to ascertain.

I cannot take upon me to say that the above are all the books presented by Buchanan; but I have reason to believe that not many more are now in the university library. There is still preserved in some of our registers a catalogue of books, subscribed by Robert Wilkie, principal, and laid before a commission of visitation in the year 1599. The number of titles is not quite 300; but Wilkie says that there was not time to take a complete list. I have looked carefully at all of them which can now be found, and I perceive that a considerable number had belonged to the Augustinian convent; many of them had been given by the regent Murray, when commendator of that priory; some of the oldest were a legacy from John Hepburn, prior of the convent, and founder of the college; some had once been the property of William Shivez, archbishop of St Andrews; many had been given by Thomas Cunningham, principal of the college about the year 1537; and several more by John Duncanson, who was principal from 1556 to 1566. Some of the books are classics, and not

a few relate to the school divinity. The name of *Joannes Major* occurs very often in the list, but most of the copies of his works have been lost. The only Scottish authors whose names I have noticed are, Hector Boyce, Alexander Aless, John Hamilton, archbishop of St Andrews, Archibald Hamilton, John Mair, and John Winram. The work of this last author, entitled *Catechismus D. Joannis Winram supprioris*, is not known to exist. It is possible that all the copies may have been studiously destroyed after the reformation. Some of the finest copies of the classics in the library of St Leonard's College were the gift of Robert Wilkie, by whom the catalogue is subscribed. He was principal from 1589 to 1611.

No. V.

1.—*Writ of Privy Seal for Buchanan's Pension.*

See p. 123.

(From Ruddiman's *Animadversions*, p. 86.)

ANE Lettre maid to Maister George Buchquhannane, for all the dayis of his liffe, of the gift of an zeirlie pensionne of the sowme of five hundred pundis usuale money of this realme, to be zeirlie uptakin be him, his factoris and servitouris in his name, at twa termes in the zeir, Whitsunday, and Martimes in winter, be equale portionis, of the reddiest fruittis and emolimentis of the abbay of Corsragwell, now vacand and being in hir Majestie's handis throw the deceis of umquhile Master Quintene Kennedie,

last abbot thairof. And, for payment of the said zeirlic pensoun, assignis to him the haill temporalitie of the said abbay, with the place, manss, orchardis, mains, woodis, coilheuchis, and pertinentis quhatsumevir pertaining thairto: with power to him to set and rais the said temporalitie, outputt and imputt the tennentis thairof, and uthewise to use the samyn als frelie in all sortis as the said umquhile abbote mycht have in his lifyme. And gife the samyn sall not be fundin sufficient and aneuch for zeirlic payment of the said soume of five hundredth poundis, in that case her Majestie assignis to him sa mekle as he sall inlaik of the said temporalitie, of the reddiest teyndis and fruitis of the spiritualitie of the said abbaye, viz. of the kirkis of Girvane and Kirk-Oswald belangand thairto. And that the said Lettre, &c.

At Halirudhous the nynt daye of October, the zeir of God. M.Vc.LXIV. zeiris.

2.—*Act of Privy Council relating to Buchanan.*—

See p. 156.

(From Ruddiman's edition of Buchanan's Life, p. 9.)

The Lords of Secret Council and others of the Nobility and Estates, being conveyened for taking order in the affairs of this common-wealth, among other matters being carefull of the King's Majestie's preservation and good education, and considering how necessary the attendance of Mr George Buchanan, Master of St Leonard's Colledge within the University of St Andrews, upon his Highness shall be, and that it behoves the said Mr George to withdraw himself from his charge of the said colledge, if he makes continual residence with his Majesty, no ways willing that the said colledge, and youth being therein, shall be destitute

of regiment and good instruction, through the said Mr George's absence : he therefore compearing personally in presence of the said Lords of the Council, Nobility, and others of the Estates above-written, at their desire, and of his own free will and proper motive, dimitted and gave over his charge and place of master of the said colledge in the favours of his well beloved Master Patrick Adamson, and no otherwise : of whose honesty, qualification, literature, and sufficiency to administrate the said charge and place, not only the said Mr George, but the said Lords, Nobility, and Estates, have good opinion and certain experience. And albeit the presentation, nomination, and admission of the master of the said colledge pertained of old to the prior of St Andrews, yet the same right and patronage presently appertains to our sovereign lord, as well by reason of the laws of the realm, as because the priory of St Andrews presently vaiks destitute of a prior or commendatar : and seeing it tends to God's glory, and the advancement of the common-wealth, that good letters flourish and increase, and that delay in not placing or admitting of a qualified and sufficient master to the said colledge may greatly prejudice and hinder the same, and if [gif] the students occasion to skail and separate themselves ; the said Lords, Nobility, and Estates, therefore, in respect of the present necessity, in our sovereign lord's name received the said Mr George's dimission, and thereupon received and admitted the said Mr Patrick to the said charge and regiment of the said colledge of St Andrews, as principal master thereof, with all immunities, priviledges, commodities, and duties belonging to the same, sicklike as the said Mr George, or any other masters of the said colledge, used and brooked the same of before ; and ordain him to be answered and obeyed therein in time coming, and none other, &c.

3.—*A Circular Letter.*

(From Wodrow's MS. Life of Buchanan.)

Trustie Freind, we greet you well. We have, upon knouledge had by us of the conveening of some of our nobility and others in armes, appearendly to trouble the present estate, taken occasion to write to you and others our trustie subjects, desiring you effectuously, that you fail not wt your freinds, houshold servants, and dependants, well boden in feir of warr, to be at us with all possible diligence, provided to remain and serve us, as ye shall be comanded, for 15 dayes, as ye will declare your good affection to our service, and do us pleasure. Thus we committ you to God. From our castle of Stirling, July 29, 1578.

JAMES REX.

GEORGE BUCHANAN.

No. VI.—See p. 70.

Candido Lectori Joannes Retorfortis S. P. D.(Preface to the second edition of Rutherford *De Arte Disserendi*.

Edinb. 1577, 4to.)

SEPE amici et familiares qui mecum in Galliis et alibi philosophati sunt, a me contenderunt, ut aliquando me,

commentariosque de arte disserendi a me conscriptos, ab injuria typographi qui me inscio et inconsulto eos innume-
ris erratis fœdissime conspurcatis, et turpissime depravatos
typis excussit, liberarem. Sed quoniam id perquam labo-
riosum videbam, et alia graviora urgentioraque negotia me
totum occupabant, amicorum postulationi parere antehac
nec licuit nec placuit. Verum cum hisce diebus superiori-
bus me mea quædam negotia Edinburgum pertraxissent,
vir integerrimus, jurisque consultissimus, et bonarum om-
nium artium et literarum genere ornatissimus, *Eduardus*
Henrisonus, mecum graviter expostulando (pro suo erga
nos amore, et studiorum conjunctione) eandem causam
egit: hortatusque est, ut et mei nominis, et studiosorum
commodi rationem ut decet haberem, ipsosque commenta-
rios diligenter repurgarem et restituerem. Ego vero, cum
eruditissimi viri et nostri studiosissimi opinionem a senten-
tia et judicio amicorum non dissentire animadverterem, de-
crevi pro viribus utrisque satisfacere. Itaque (candide lec-
tor) multo limatiores, et majori diligentia et perspicuitate
illustratos accipies. Et si quid fructus ad te posterosve
ex illis pervenerit, id omne bonarum literarum literato-
rumque hominum studiosissimo *Eduardo Henrisono* ac-
ceptum feres. Nam illius solius suasu et consilio calamum
ad eos perpurgandos converti: quos si censero a doctis ap-
probari, alios ad Aristotelem de rebus physicis intelligen-
dum, non minus utiles et accommodatos (Deo favente)
mox parabo. Vale, ex Servatoriano nostro gymnasio 5 Ka-
lendas Maias. 1576.

No. VII.—See p. 102.

Henricus Stephanus Georgio Buchanano S. D.

(Dedication of *Davidis Psalmi aliquot.* Ex officina H. Stephani, 1556, 4to.)

Nimium diu latuisti, mi Buchanane : jam tibi, ut vides, in publicum prodeundum est : ego te, velis nolis, ex istis educam latebris. Tun' mihi succenses hoc nomine ? atqui, aut ego fallor, aut mea efficietur opera ut posthac Georgius Buchananus, vir Scotus, supra Gallos omnes atque Italos nostri seculi poetas *Laudetur, vigeat, placeat, relegatur, ametur.* Utor enim libenter Augusti versu in tam augusto poeta celebrando : ac nisi vererer laudare te in os, quiddam etiam non paulo augustius proferrem. Quanquam quid meo tibi opus est præconio, qui quot scripsisti versus, tot fere habeas summi ingenii tui præcones ? Hoc igitur unum hic dicam : quum nihil præclarius, nihil splendidius sit, quam, aliis omnibus superatis, seipsum tandem superare : te in exprimendis his psalmis hunc laudis gradum felicissime, meo quidem judicio, assequutum esse. In transferendis enim reliquis hujus sacrosancti poetæ odis, Buchananus fuisti, id est, inter reliquos omnes interpretes tantum quantum luna inter minora sidera, enituisti : at postquam ad psalmum CIII ventum est, quem tu ita inchoas, *Te Deus alme canam rerum dominumque patremque,* Buchananum vicisti : ut non jam inter cæteros interpretes, tanquam luna inter minora sidera, fulgere : sed tanquam sol, coruscis radiis stellas omnes obscurare videaris. Quod si dicebat poeta profanus in opere profano, *Est Deus in nobis, agitante calescimus illo :* & Callimachus hymno decoraturus Apollinem, ita præfatur, Οἷον ὁ τῷ πολλῶνος εἰσίστατο δάφνινος ὄρπηξ, Οἷα δ' ὅλον τὸ μέλαθρον (ἐκὰς ἐκὰς ὅστις ἀλιτρεῖς) Καὶ δῆπου τὰ θύρετρα καλῶ ποδὶ Φοῖβος ἀράσσει. si inquam illi talia jactare audebant, quis Buchanani poetæ Christiani pectus in opere sacro non existimet Dei Optimi

Maximi motu & agitatione vere incaluisse? Euge ergo euge, huic tam insigni operi, in cujus primordiis tantum Dei favorem expertus es, extremam manum tandem impone. Jure enim scilicet Christianorum nomen sibi vendicant poetæ illi, quibus magis arridet in carmine Deus ales, aut volucris, aut penniger, quam Deus exercituum. Equidem non possum continere me quin exclamem, *Illi sub terris fiant mala multa poetæ*, qui primus (de Christianis loquor) ejus nomen a suo versu arcere ausus est, cujus beneficio et mentem ad cogitandum, et manum ad ea quæ cogitaverat, scribenda, accepisset. Itane vero? Nonnus poeta non ignobilis quum antea Dionysiaca, materiam idololatriæ suæ convenientem, delegisset, simulatque abjecto idolorum cultu, Evangelio nomen dedit, nulli alii quam vero Deo cui servire cœperat, venam etiam suam servire voluit: nos, qui Christiani ex Christianis nascimur, iis ipsis idolis, quibus jam inde a matris aluo renuntiavimus, poesim nostram dicabimus? Quod si quis objiciat, literas sacras ejusmodi esse quæ ornatum non admittant: idem ego quod abhinc quadriennium Romæ homini Italo respondi, nunc quoque respondebo. Quum enim orto de Flaminii versibus sermone, ejus ego laborem atque operam quam in transferendis psalmis posuisset, laudarem: Imo, inquit ille (verbis quidem Italicis, sed in hunc sensum) ô factum male: ex quo enim istis sacris se addixit, multum illius carmini de solita elegantia et lepore decessit. nam quum omne *μυροθήκιον* respuant illa, nescio quomodo ita descivit ab illis quibus antea uti solebat ornamentis, ut quum, sacris omissis, profana repetere vellet, in Flaminio Flaminius non agnosceretur, utpote qui a seipso prorsus degenerasset. Tunc ego, Si, inquam, in transferendis sacris, muliebri cuidam vel potius meretricio ornatui locum esse negas: tibi assentior: (nihil enim magis ab illis alienum) sin virilem quandam ornatum et majestatis plenum mihi narras, toto te, quod aiunt, cœlo errare existimo: hunc enim illa ornatum

postulant. ideoque ego contra, male factum dico quod Flaminius, antequam ad psalmorum interpretationem venisset, jam illis amatoriae poeseos lenociniis nimium effœminatis Musam suam ita fregisset & enervasset: ut quum altius attolere se deberet, videlicet in gravi argumento, ad sublimis carminis genus aditus ei non pateret. Hæc ego tum de Flaminio, mi Buchanane: quibus hæc addo in præsentia: si ille se antea in componendis aut vertendis de Græco tragœdiis (quo ex labore magnum tibi jampridem nomen quæsitum est) multum diuque exercuisset: non idem tibi fortasse quod nunc, contingeret, ut tu victoriam adeo facile & propemodum sine pulvere reportares. Sed qualis qualis est Flaminius, hoc ego ingenue fateor, quum a Buchanano discessi, me, quem illi comparem, non reperire. Nam Salmonius noster (amica enim patria, sed amica magis veritas) Flaminio non solum sermonis puritate longe est inferior, sed versus etiam elegantia ei cedit: & ut minus cultum, quam ille, versum facit, ita multo magis humi serpit. Nec vero hæc eo dicuntur a me, ut animum lectoris (siquis teretes afferens aures, & acri ingenio, acriori etiam judicio fretus, judex esse velit) quodam veluti præjudicio occupem: sed quoniam stultus tuæ poeseos prædicator & admirator haberi possim, nisi quid potissimum admiratione dignum in ea mihi videatur, ex aliorum comparatione ostendam. Verum dixerit aliquis fortasse, Quæ tu in Buchanano admiraris, in Italo autem Flaminio & Salmonio Gallo desideras, ea in Eobano Germano, aut in Rapicio, Italo & ipso, reperiri poterunt. πολλὰ γὰρ καὶ δεῖ. nam (ut de Eobano taceam, cujus versuum bona pars, siquis metrum detrahare velit, nihil commune cum poesi habere comperietur) Rapicius certe dicenda tacenda loquitur. fere enim unicuique non omnino malo versui pessimum subjungit, dum copiam ostentat: & verba quidem affert multa, sed in quibus nihil præter verba multa invenias. Ejus tamen lyricos versus tuis, (quum nec Flaminii nec Salmonii ullos habebam) opposui:

nec enim te in arenam sine adversario producere volebam. Quem vero alium tibi dare potuissem? an eum qui ex theologo factus typographus, ex typographo poeta: malus theologus, peior typographus, pessimus poeta: in extremo illo suæ ἐγκυκλομαρείας vel potius ἐγκυκλομανίας curriculo, sacrum poetam pessime profanavit? dum invita Minerva, iratis Musis, adverso Apolline carmina, non sublimia illa quidem, qualia narrat Flaccus, sed puerilia & quidvis potius quam Davidem exprimentia, ructatur? Ructatur autem dico, quia—*nunquam nisi potus ad illa Prosiluit scribenda.* Sed de his hactenus. *Nanque animus meminisse horret.* Audies præterea hic (& hoc velut corollarium accipies) Henricum tuum Davidis aliquot hymnos Græca lingua modulantem: siqua tamen modulatio in tam inconditis versibus inveniri potest. Nam quanvis illos ad regulam poeseos quam potui maxime severam exegerim, & in ea multorum satisfecerim non rusticis auribus: ipse tamen meis, ut ingenue fatear, non satisfacio. quare de illis tuum quoque expectabo iudicium: quod si cum reliquis consentire intellexero, fortasse experiar an aliquid ætas attulerit: & utrum paulo meliora iis quæ dedi adhuc pene puer, nunc, pene vir factus, dare Græcæ Musæ studiosis possim. Vale, Ex Musurgeo nostro.

No. VIII.—See p. 181.

A List of Books which Buchanan presented to the University of Glasgow.^b

(From the Annales Collegii Glasguensis, tom. i, f. 166-7.)

Eustathii Commentarii in Homerum, quatuor voluminibus, Græce, in folio, Romæ, 1549.

^b This list was transcribed from the records of the university by John Riddell, Esq. by whom it was politely communicated through Sir William

Plutarchi Opera,^c Græce, duobus voluminibus, fol. Basil. Frobenius, 1542.

Platonis Opera, Græce, fol. Basil. 1534, Valderus.

Procli in Platonis Tym. [Timæum] Commentarii, Græce, fol. Basil.

Demosthenis Opera, cum Commentariis Ulpiani, Græce, fol. Basil. 1532, Hervagius.

Lycophronis Cassandra, Græce, cum Commentariis Tzetzae, fol. Basil. 1546, Oporinus.

Commentarii Græci in Aristotelis Rhetoricam anonymi, fol. Parisiis, Neobar. 1539.

Apollonii Argonautica, Græce, in quarto, Florentiæ, 1496.

Aristophanes cum Commentariis, Græce.

Basilii Opera, Græce, fol. Basil. 1532, Froben.

Euclides cum Commentariis, Græce.

Stephanus Byzantinus de Urbibus, Græce.

Omnes ex dono viri optimi et doctissimi Georgii Buchanani, regii magistri.

Strabo, Græce, fol.

Athenæus, Græce, fol. Basil. 1535.

Suidas, Græce, fol. Venetiis, Aldus, 1514.

Manuelis Moschopuli de Ratione Examinandæ Orationis Libellus, Græce.

Ex dono pariter Georgii Buchanani, regii magistri.

Hamilton. For some additional information I am indebted to my learned friend Lockhart Muirhead, A.M. professor of natural history, and librarian to the university of Glasgow. "Thus, I have no doubt that every book which that eminent poet and historian gave to our library, is still preserved. In none of them can I discover the donor's name in his own handwriting; but on the title-page of Strabo, *Patricius Buchanan* appears in very legible characters. In a few instances, short manuscript running titles or various readings occur on the margin; but whether from the pen of Buchanan, I have no means of ascertaining."

^c This book, says Mr Muirhead, ought to have been entitled *Moralia Opuscula*.

No. IX.—See p. 309.

Buchanan's Testament Dative.

(From the Records of the Commissary Court.)

The Testament Dative, & Inuentar of
 Maister ye gudis, geir, soumes of money, &
 George Buchannane dettis, pertening to vmquhile ane
 Vigesimo Febrⁱⁱ rycht venerabill man, Maister George
 1582. Buchannane, preceptour to ye kingis
 majestie the tyme of his deceis,
 quha deceist vpoun ye xxix day of Sep-
 tember,^g the zeir of God j^m v^c lxxxii
 zeris, faithfullie maid & gevin vp be
 Jonet Buchannane, relict of vmquhile
 Mr Thomas Buchannane of Ibert, his
 bruyer sone, executrix datiuie, decernit
 to him be decreit of ye commissaris of
 Ed^r as ye same decreit of ye dait ye
 xix day of December, the zeir of God
 foirsaid, at lenth proportis.

In the first, ye said vmquhile Maister George Buchannane,
 preceptour to ye kingis majestie, had na uyer gudis nor geir
 (except ye dett vndirwritin) pertening to him as his awin
 proper dett ye tyme of his deceis foirsaid: viz. Item, yair
 wes awand to ye said vmquhile Mr George be Robert

* The notice subjoined to Buchanan's sketch of his own life, refers his death to the 28th of September; and some of the early editions mention not only the day of the month, but also the day of the week, and even the very hour of the day. I have thought it more safe to follow this account; especially as the old register of testaments not unfrequently exhibits erroneous dates. In this very document we find another error; namely, *gratus* instead of *gratis*. It is also to be considered that in a record of this nature, it is of no importance to ascertain the particular day of the person's death.

Gourlaw, custumar burges of Ed^r for ye defunctis pensioun of Corsraguell, restand of ye Witsonday terme in anno j^m v^e lxxxii zeris, the soume of ane hundreth pundis.

Summa of ye inuentar j^c l.

No diuisioun.

Quotta
gratus.

Quhair of ye quot is gevin gratis.

We, Maisteris Eduard Henrysoun, Alex^r Sym, & Johne Prestoun, commissaris of Ed^r specialie constitut for confirmation of testamentis, &c. vnderstanding yat efter dew summonding & lauchfull warning maid be forme of editt oppenlie, as efferis, of ye executouris intromettouris with ye gudis & geir of vmquhile Mr George Buchannane, & of uyeris hafand entreis, to compeir judicialie befor us at ane certane day bypast, to heir & sie executouris datiuis decernit to be gevin, admittit, & confermit be us in & to ye gudis & geir quhilk justlie pertene to him ye tyme of his deceis, or ellis to schaw ane caus quhy, &c. we decernit yairintill as our decreit gevin yairupoun beris; conforme to ye quhilk we in our soverane lordis name & autoritie makis, constitutis, ordanis, & confermes ye said Jonet Buchannane in executorie datiuie to ye said Mr George, with power to hir to intromet, vptak, follow & persew, as law will, ye dett & soume of money abone specifeit, & yairwith outred dettis to creditouris, and generalie all & sindrie vyer thingis to do, exerce, & vse yat to ye office of executorie datiuie is knawin to pertene; prouiding yat ye said Jonet, executrix foirsaid, sall ansuer & render compt vpoun hir intromissioun quhan and quhair ye samin salbe requirit of hir, & yat ye said dett & soume salbe be furthcumand to all parteis haifand entres, as law will; quhairvpoun scho hes fundin caution, as ane act maid yairvpoun beris.

No. X.—See p. 240.

Notices of James Crichton.

Crichton appears to have been born in 1560, and he was killed at Mantua in 1582.^h The unrivalled fame of this young scholar is certainly allied to romance: but, on the other hand, it is very difficult to imagine that it was not originally founded on some qualities, which eminently distinguished him from other forward and aspiring youths, who at that period were extremely numerous in the more learned countries of Europe. A reputation so splendid, and so uniformly maintained, cannot reasonably be ascribed to a mere concurrence of accidental circumstances. The specimens of his Latin poetry which have been preserved, do not indeed contain any thing remarkable: but these are very inadequate specimens; nor does his reputation depend on one species of excellence.ⁱ He is celebrated for the wonderful facility with which he composed verses; for his knowledge of ten or twelve different languages; for his acquaintance with the writings of the fathers; for his uncommon powers of memory; for his promptitude and acuteness in public disputation; and for his superior proficiency in every manly exercise suitable to his rank. We

^h The will of Robert Crichton of Eliok, king's advocate, which is dated at Edinburgh on the 18th of June 1582, mentions that his son James was then in Italy. This will was not confirmed till the 24th of January 1586-7. (*Register of Testaments*, vol. xvii.)

ⁱ Nec placet ante annos vates puer: omnia justo

Tempore proveniant: ah! ne mihi olentia poma

Mitescant prius, autumnus bicoloribus uvis

Quam redeat, spumetque cadis vindemia plenis.

Ante diem nam lapsa cadent, ramosque relinquent

Maternos, calcabit humi projecta viator.

VIDÆ Poeticorum lib. i, v. 334.

must not therefore hastily conclude that he “was in Italy considered as one of those literary mountebanks who were numerous in that age;” or that his reputation chiefly depends on the romantic flights of Sir Thomas Urquhart, who wrote about seventy years after his death. Joseph Scaliger, who flourished at the same period with himself, who professes to have obtained his information in Italy, and who besides was not too prone to admiration, mentions Crichton as a prodigious genius, and indeed enumerates all the most essential qualifications that are commonly ascribed to him.^k This testimony, which is entirely overlooked by the ingenious biographer of Tasso,^l is certainly of considerable weight and importance. Crichton is likewise extolled in terms of the highest admiration, in a work published so early as the year 1609 by Dr Adam Abernethy, a native of Edinburgh, and a member of the university of Montpellier.^m The subsequent verses, though not conspicuous

^k “J’ay oüy parler d’un Critton Escossois en Italie, qui n’avoit que 21 ans, quand il a esté tué par le commandement du duc de Mantouë; et qui sçavoit 12 langues, avoit leu les peres, poëtes, disputoit de omni scibili, et respondoit en vers. C’estoit ingenium prodigiosum, admiratione magis quam amore dignum. Il estoit un peu fat. Ei judicium non tantum adfuit. Principes solent illa ingenia amare, non vero bene doctos. Manutius præfatione ad Paradoxa, quam dicat Crittonio, meminit illius ingenii.” (*Scaligerana*, p. 58.) Gabriel Naudé speaks of Crichton’s orations being printed; but he probably confounded him with George Crichton, who published various orations in a detached form. “Tel negligera, par exemple, les Oraisons de Jacques Criton, parce qu’elles ne se trouvent qu’imprimées séparément, qui aura dans sa bibliothèque celles de Raymond, Gallutius, Nigronius, Bencius, Perpinian, et de beaucoup d’autres auteurs, non pas qu’elles soient meilleures ou plus disertes et esloquentes que celles de ce docte Escossois, mais parce qu’elles se trouvent reserrées et contenuës dans de certains volumes.” (*Advis pour dresser une Bibliothèque*, p. 76, edit. Paris, 1644, 8vo.) His *Appulsus* appears to have been printed in 4to in the year 1580. (Renouard, *Annales de l’Imprimerie des Aldes*, supp. p. 39.)

^l See Dr Black’s *Life of Tasso*, vol. ii, p. 413. Edinb. 1810, 2 vols. 4to.

^m Adam Abernethy took the degree of A. M. at Edinburgh on the 7th of August 1594. He afterwards took that of M. D. probably in some foreign university. He is described as “*Monspeliensis academici moderator*.”

for their original merit, possess some value as an historical document. They are introduced by this inscription. "D. M. popularis sui Jacobi Chrichtoni a Cluny, juvenis incomparabilis, tam acumine, judicio, memoriaque omnium literarum oblivisci nescia, quam equestri, gladiatoria, omniumque armorum exercitatione, quo ad majorem stuporem totius Italiae, ita et ejusdem mœrorem, indigne trucidati. A G. G. D. M. D. S."

O fœlix animi juvenis Chrichtone vigore
 Ingenii volitante supra, qui vectus in astra
 Humanam sortem, et mortalis culmen honoris!
 Seu placuit Musas colere, aut glomeramine campum
 Tundere cornipedis, pictisve ardescere in armis.^a
 Grandia sublimis nuper miracula mentis
 Monstrasti attonito, et rapuisti protinus orbi.
 Tuque licet princeps, peperit quem Mantua clara,
 Gonzaga infœlix, tua quam temeraria dextra!
 Heu decus Aonidum fixisti vulnere fœdo,
 Doctoremque tuæ, formatoremque juventæ,^o
 Quo nimium, nimium infœlix Chrichtonus obivit,
 Ætheris invisas auras, lucemque relinquens!^p
 Nunc facinus pueri deplora ætate senili.
 Illum Antenoridæ flerunt, Phaetontias unda
 Deflevit miserum, flevit Venetusque senatus,
 Matronæ Adriacæ, simul Italidesque puellæ.
 Flevit olorifero peramœnus Mincius amne.
 Illum omnes Athesisque deæ, et Benacides omnes

^a Cui studia aut pernicis equi compescere cursum,
 Aut galeam induere, et pictis splendere in armis.
 FRACASTORII Syphilis, lib. i, v. 388.

^o Doctoresque rudis formatoresque juventæ.
 BUCHANANI Silva iv, v. 207.

^p Quo tandem infelix fato, post tempore parvo
 Ætheris invisas auras, lucemque reliquit.
 FRACASTORII Syphilis, lib. i, v. 407.

These two verses I quote from the third edition of Fracastorii *Opera Omnia*. Venet. 1584, 4to. They do not occur in Peters's elegant edition of the *Syphilis*. Lond. 1720, 4to.

Flevere. ^a At doctæ ante alias flevere sorores.
 Quin popularis adhuc gemitum Fortha abdit in alveo,
 Fortha Caledoniis fœcundans arva colonis.
 Ergo flos juvenum, Scotiæ spes, Palladis ingens,
 Ereptumque decus Musarum e dulcibus ulnis ; ^r
 Te quamvis sileant alii Chrichtone poëtæ,
 Teque tuamque necem nunquam mea Musa silebit.
 Flebilibusque modis semper tua fata queretur,
 Sæpe iterans luctus, et singultantia verba. ^s

These verses serve to establish the disputed fact, that Crichton was killed by his own pupil, a prince of the house of Mantua. Manutius had only ventured to hint that he died a violent death. Abernethy has added an epigram in the same strain of commendation.

Si videas, quæ mira, diu, mirabere non plus ;
 Sin semel, in totos mira loquere dies.
 Chrichtonum hinc superi voluere ostendere mundo
 Tantum, non mundo hunc hi voluere dare.

Borrichius mentions the hexameters of Crichton with some degree of approbation. “ Jacobo Crittonio Scoto non ignotum fuisse Pegaseium melos docent heroica, quibus suum in urbem Venetam appulsum designat. Plus tamen vivi-di caloris cultusque in epicis Georgii Crittonii.” ^t—George Crichton, doctor of the canon law, was a professor in the

^a Illum Alpes vicinæ, illum vaga flumina flerunt ;
 Illum omnes Olliche deæ, Eridanique puellæ
 Fleverunt, nemorumque deæ, rurisquæ puellæ ;
 Sebinusque alto gemitum lacus edidit amne.
 FRACASTORIUS, lib. i, v. 409.

^r Spes Latii, spes et studiorum, et Palladis illa
 Occidit ; ereptum Musarum e dulcibus ulnis, &c.
 FRACASTORIUS, lib. i, v. 456.

^s Musa Campestris, &c. authore Adamo Abrenetheo ex Scoto-Britannia, Edinburgeno. Monspelii, 1609, 8vo.—This rare volume was pointed out to me by Sir William Hamilton ; to whose extensive learning and obliging disposition I have frequently been indebted.

^t Borrichii Dissertationes Academicæ de Poëtis, p. 151. Francof. 1683, 4to.

university of Paris, and the author of various works.^u It may not be improper to add that there was another scholar of this surname; Robert Creyghton, D. D. professor of Greek in the university of Cambridge, and afterwards bishop of Bath and Wells. He was a native of Dunkeld.^x

No. XI.—See p. 205.

Notices of Alexander Cunningham.

THIS distinguished scholar was the son of the Rev. John Cunningham, minister of Cumnock in Ayrshire. In the same county his father possessed the small estate of Block. It appears from the public records that he was served heir to his father in the year 1677.^y With respect to the time of his birth, and the place of his education, I have not hitherto been able to obtain any authentic information. It however seems probable that he studied in the university of Edinburgh. We afterwards find him employed as tutor to Lord George Douglas, a younger son of the first duke of Queensberry. In the university library there is a rare book containing the subsequent notice: "This volume presented to the library be Mr Alex^r Cuninghame of Block, preceptor to the Lord George Douglass." Above this no-

^u Niceron, *Memoires des Hommes Illustres*, tom. xxxvii, p. 346.

^x Wood's *Fasti Oxonienses*, vol. i, col. 243, edit. 1721. Godwin de *Præsulibus Angliæ*, a Richardson, p. 392. Cantab. 1743, fol.—See likewise Dr Zouch's notes to Walton's *Lives*, p. 311, 2d edit. York, 1807, 8vo. Creyghton's edition of Syropulus is mentioned by Gibbon, vol. xii, p. 99. He is celebrated by N. Heinsius, *Poemata*, p. 202, and by Dupont, *Musæ Subsecivæ*, p. 340.

^y *Inquisitionum Abbreviatio*, vol. i, Ayr, 612.

tice, but in a different hand, the following inscription occurs: "Serveti Opera,² donata Bibliothecæ Edinburgenæ a Domino D. Georgio Douglassio, filio Illustriss. Ducis de Queensberrie, A. D. 1695." This young nobleman died in the year 1693.^a It appears from Henderson's catalogue that the book was presented by Cunningham, "to preserve the memory of his dear pupil."

It was probably through the influence of this noble family that he was appointed professor of the civil law in the university of Edinburgh. By an act of the Scottish parliament, 1 Sept. 1698, the revenue arising from a tonnage to be levied on certain ships is burdened with a salary to Cunningham: "and further, with the burden of one hundred and fifty pounds sterl. yearly, as the yearly fee and salary granted to Mr Alexander Cunninghame as Professor of the Civil Law, nominat and designed to that profession." This act was to continue in force for the space of five years; and the same provision is renewed in another act, 26 Aug. 1704: "and the superplus (if any be) to the payment of one thousand eight hundred pounds yearly to Mr Alexander Cunninghame, Professor of the Civil Law."^b In the latter act, the sum is specified in Scottish currency. If we estimate the value of money at that period, a salary of one hundred and fifty pounds will appear very ample.

These acts of parliament do not mark his connection with any university: but according to the notions of that age, a professor must be connected with some university or college; for the public was yet unacquainted with such literary

² Christianismi Restitutio, &c. 1553, 8vo.

^a Douglas's Peerage of Scotland, by Wood, vol. ii, p. 380.

^b The professor of the civil law has been erroneously described as an advocate. In the records of the faculty for that period, I find no advocate of the same name. The act of the dean and faculty for the augmentation of Ruddiman's salary as librarian, is subscribed, not by Al. but by Ad. Cunningham.

denominations as “professor of the piano forte,” and “professor in animal medicine.” As no university is specified, it is natural to infer that which belonged to the seat of the legislature. The university records are so extremely meagre that they reflect no light on the subject; but we are again relieved by an occasional notice in a book belonging to the library: ^c “Returned for that which was lost by Mr Alex^r. Cuninghame of Block, Profess. of Law.” This notice seems to afford sufficient evidence of his having been a professor in the university of Edinburgh. But in the records of the town council I find an entry, on the 18th of October 1710, which may perhaps be supposed to render the fact more than doubtful.

“The Councill, with the Extraordinar Deacons, taking to their consideration that the colledge of this citie, from the originall and foundation thereof by King James the Sixth of ever-blessed memory, being erected into ane universitie, of which they as patrons were endued with the priviledge of erecting professors of all the liberall arts and sciences; and particularly considering that throw y^e want of professors of the civil law in this kingdom, the youth who have applied themselves to that studie, have been necessitate to travell and remain abroad a considerable time for their education, to the prejudice of the nation by the necessarie charges occasioned thereby, untill of late some gentlemen having undertaken that profession altho’ only in a private capacity, have given convincing proofs how advantageously that study might be prosecute at home, if countenanced and encouraged by publick authority; and understanding the ability and good qualifications of Mr James Craig, Advocate, doe therefore elect, nominate, and choice the said Mr James Craig to be Professor of the Civill Law in the said university.” ^d

^c Pauli Voet Jurisprudentia Sacra. Amst. 1662, 12mo.

^d Register of the Town Council, vol. xxxix, p. 948.

These contradictory accounts may however be reconciled without much difficulty. The magistrates of Edinburgh, regarding themselves as the proper patrons of the university, have always entertained the greatest jealousy of any interference on the part of the crown; and as Cunningham must have held a regius professorship, they would studiously decline to recognize his appointment. His salary would cease to be paid at the expiration of the second term of five years, that is, in 1709; and it was in the course of the ensuing year that the magistrates established another chair. It may also be proper to observe that this new foundation took place after the death of the second duke of Queensberry, who had acted as her majesty's commissioner at the important crisis of the union.

So early as the year 1590, the lords of session, the town council, and the advocates and clerks to the signet, had raised a fund of L.3000 for the endowment of a professorship of the laws; each of these three parties contributing an equal portion. Adam Newton, an advocate, was first appointed to the office; but having neglected to obtain the approbation of the magistrates, he was dismissed in the year 1594.^e He was succeeded by Sir Hadrian Damman of Bistervelt, who was born in the Danish dominions, but was then the Dutch resident at the court of Scotland. Both these professors taught humanity, without reading any public lectures on law. Damman having resigned his chair, the interest of one third of the sum formerly contributed was in 1597 allotted for a professor of humanity, and the

^e Adam Newton, the son of a baker in Edinburgh, was successively tutor and secretary to Prince Henry. Though a layman, he held the deanry of Durham from 1606 to 1620, when he resigned it. During the latter year he was created a baronet. He translated into Latin King James's book against Vorstius, and the first six books of Father Paul's history of the council of Trent. The two last were translated by Dr Bedell. (Birch's *Life of Prince Henry*, p. 14, 218. Lond. 1760, 8vo.)

interest of the remainder for the maintenance of six bursars or exhibitioners. The first professor of humanity was John Ray, A. M. who superintended the edition of Buchanan's poems published in 1615.^f

Cunningham had equally devoted his attention to the study of philology and the civil law, which at that period were very little cultivated in his native country. Most of the Scottish advocates continued till a later period to be educated at Leyden and Utrecht; and it is highly probable that he had likewise completed his studies in one of those universities. He at length fixed his residence in Holland, where his favourite studies were prosecuted with uncommon ardour and success. The following quotation from a work published in 1707, renders it probable that he was then residing in that country. "Sed et insuper," says Best, "ne quid dubites, idem mihi adseveravit vir doctissimus Cunninghamius, natione Scotus, qui præclara ingenii monumenta, quibus jurisprudentiam arctissime sibi devinxit, non diutius, ut speramus, erudito orbi invidebit, quum id ipsum sæpius percontatus didicisset ab accuratissimo Jacobo Gronovio."^g Best apparently alludes to an edition of the Pandects, which Cunningham long meditated, and for which he made great preparations. From a letter of Cuper, dated on the twelfth of November 1709, it appears that this project had then excited high expectations. He quotes the subsequent passage from a letter which he had lately received from Leibnitz. "Tibi rem (ut opinor) non ingratam nuntio, ut aliquam vicem reddam pro lætis literariæ rei novis, quæ significasti. Nempe cuique auctoris libro sua reddita sunt verba in Pandectas Justiniani relata. Tantum jam reliquum est, ut edantur hæc Redigesta, sed sine aliquo

^f Crawford's Hist. of the University of Edinburgh, p. 34, 40. Edinb. 1808, 8vo.

^g G. Best Ratio Emendandi Leges, p. 17. Ultraj. 1707, 8vo.

cultu, itaque optandum foret emendationes ex Pandectis Florentinis accedere; et cum intellexerim Cunninghamum Scotum doctrina et ingenio valentem multum in constituendo textu laborasse, non video ubi melius emendationes vel collationes suas collocare posset." Cuper subjoins, "Ce q'il dit de Mr Cunningham est vray: je le connois, et j'espere de pouvoir luy parler bientôt, s'il est encore à la Haye, ou je dois aller."^h Cunningham appears to have resided at the Hague during the remainder of his life; but I find no evidence of his having there taught "both the civil and canon laws." He apparently lived in Holland as a private gentleman, supported by the rent of his estate, and probably by an annuity from the noble family with which he had been connected. Long before he published his edition of Horace, he enjoyed a very high reputation as a classical scholar. That edition appeared in 1721. His notes are brief, and relate to the various readings; but he announced his intention of preparing another edition, illustrated with more copious annotations. At the same time he published a separate volume, consisting of animadversions on the notes and emendations of Bentley, whose edition of Horace had been printed ten years before. This volume displays much learning and sagacity, and evinces the author to have studied the art of criticism with uncommon assiduity and success. He has prefixed an address to Dr Bentley, whom he every where treats with much freedom and even severity. Bentley was a man of great vigour of intellect, and of erudition not less accurate than extensive. Of the Greek language he possessed a masterly knowledge; and he had studied the ancient metres with a degree of nicety unknown to former critics. His principal defect seems to have been a want of taste; for when he quits the mechanical part of language, and the mere structure of verse, he cannot be regarded as a

^h Lettres de Gisbert Cuper, p. 233. Amst. 1742, 4to.

safe guide in subjects of polite literature. His conjectural emendations often display singular felicity; but at other times they are chiefly remarkable for their audacity. Many of his rash conjectures on Horace are very successfully exposed by Cunningham. One of the emendations on which he seems to congratulate himself with most complacency, is the substitution of *ter natos* in the subsequent verse of the art of poetry.

Et male *tornatos* incudi reddere versus.

A reading more repugnant to every principle of taste could not easily have been devised; and yet his learned correspondent Grævius speaks of it in the following terms: "Eam qui videt et non probat, is in his litteris cæcior est quavis talpa. Quid enim torno cum incude?"ⁱ Bentley professes to be offended at the incongruity of Horace's metaphor, and asks the same question with Grævius. But he perceives no incongruity in an animal being produced at three births, and being moreover hammered upon an anvil. Cunningham, who was fully aware of this absurdity, has unnecessarily adopted the reading *formatos*, which appears somewhat flat. There is in truth no occasion for any change. When an artist has turned a piece of iron, without being able to give it the shape or polish which he intended, he very naturally brings it back to the anvil, and a second time prepares it for the lathe. The metaphor therefore which occurs in this passage, is at once consistent and expressive.

The vanity and arrogance with which Dr Bentley exercised his critical functions, are likewise exposed by this formidable antagonist. Cunningham appears to have been the friend of Le Clerc,^k and on that account he may have point-

ⁱ Bentleii Epistolæ, p. 137. Lond. 1807, 4to.

^k Bentleii Epistolæ, p. 202.

ed his animadversions with more keenness. The English critic had attacked Le Clerc's edition of the reliques of Menander and Philemon, and had exulted over him with all the insolence of critical superiority.¹ Of this very respectable character it has lately become fashionable to speak in terms of disparagement. It must indeed be admitted that Le Clerc was indifferently qualified for publishing an edition of a Greek poet; but he possessed a very considerable share of ingenuity, and was distinguished by a liberal and speculative turn of mind. To these qualifications he added much learning, more valuable in itself than the knowledge of Greek metres; and his writings, which were very numerous, had no inconsiderable influence on the age in which he lived.

Jani, a recent editor of the odes of Horace, has formed so just an estimate of the critical merits of Bentley and Cunningham, that I shall transcribe his account of their respective editions.

“Sed clarissimam hujus sæculi haud dubie editionem dedit *R. Bentleyus*, maximum profecto ingenium criticum, quod umquam vixit. Is Horatium tam ex codd. MSS. quos plurimos habuit, quam ex suspicione, amplius DCCC locis emendavit. Sed tanta est ejus in hoc conatu audacia, ut, si qua illi semel placuerit lectio, omnes libros aspernetur, argutiisque sæpe tanto viro indignis vulgatam lectionem sine ulla necessitate exagitet. Interea plurimum sane Horatius debet tanto critico, estque hic, etiam ubi errat, ab ingenii doctrinæque magnitudine semper admirabilis ac venerandus. Prodiit prima ejus editio a. 1711. notis ad calcem libri subjectis; emendatior, et cuivis paginæ substra-

¹ “Quod enim,” says Dawes, “in me præstandum recipio, centum ut minimum Clerici errores intactos præteriit, centum insuper ipse erravit. Sed neque erga Clericum viri ingenui officio functus videtur.” (*Miscellanea Critica*, p. 215. Cantab. 1745, 8vo.)

tis notis, repetita Amstel. a. 1713. et 1728. item Lipsiæ 1764. Londini 1765. Recusa etiam est, sed notis in compendium missis, Cantabr. 1713. cura Th. Bentleii.^m Nactus est Bentr. (quod ipsum magnæ utilitati fuit Horatio) adversarios plurimos. Fuit eorum primus anonymus, cujus extat *Aristarchus ampullans in curis Horatianis, auctore Philargyrio Cantabr.* Londin. 1712. 8. Secutus est *Richardi Johnsoni* (ludi magistri Nottinghamiensis) *Aristarchus Antibentleianus*, Nottingham. 1717. 8. Fuit in hoc viro major adversus Bentleium acerbitas, quam doctrina.

“ Omnium doctissime in hoc castigando versatus est *Alexander Cuningamius*, vir summus et doctissimus, unus omnium, qui in Horatium scripserunt, criticorum princeps, ipsique Bentleio, si quid intelligo, antefendus. Is a. 1721 (Hagæ Com.) non solum edidit *Horatium, ex antiquis Codd. et certis observationibus* (quas inprimis ex codice Blandinio antiquissimo Cruquii et Pierio Valeriano sibi informasset) *emendatum*, cum variis lectt. sed subiecit etiam huic editioni *Animadversiones in Bentleii notas et observationes*, doctissimas illas, et unde eximios fructus capere possit critices studiosus. In Londinensi ejusdem anni edit. accedunt *observationes criticae* textui subjectæ. Amplius CCCC locis emendatiorem esse suam recensionem Bentleiana affirmat Cuningamius, superbior justo, si verum dicendum, et in Bentleium acerbior. Tantum est illius adversus hunc odium, ut, si lectionem Bentleianam amplectatur, eam numquam Bentleio debere videri velit, sed semper studiose alios quosvis fontes indaget. Quos ubi non

^m Thomas Bentley, LL. D. senior fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge, and rector of Nailston in Leicestershire, died on the fourth of March 1786, at the age of eighty-two. Among other works, he published an edition of Callimachus (Lond. 1741, 8vo.) which has lately been ascribed to his uncle. Not to mention the internal evidence, it was soon after its appearance advertised with the editor's name, in a list of publications subjoined to Dr Tunstall's *Epistola ad Conyers Middleton*. Cantab. 1741, 8vo.

reperit, quamvis ei placere appareat Benteleianam, aliam adoptare mavult; ita non potest invidiam vincere. Emendationes autem ejus fatendum est omnium doctissimas esse ac ingeniosissimas, sæpe, si ad leges artis criticæ excutiantur, pro unice veris lectionibus habendas. Sæpius tamen non minor in iis, quam in Benteleianis, audacia est, sed illa melior profecto aliorum indocta modestia."ⁿ

Klotzius remarks that Sanadon has pilfered much from both Bentley and Cunningham.^o Jani's estimate of the latter differs very widely from that of Wakefield; who styles him "ille criticus illaudabilis,"^p and repeatedly honours him with some of the peculiar graces of his elocution. Of his own character as a critic, I shall here produce the opinion of Herman: "In quo viro quum quantum ingenii, tantum effrenatæ temeritatis, Græcæ autem linguæ haud accuratior, quam Latinae, cognitio sit, debet ille aliquam sane, sed non eam auctoritatem habere, quam apud nostrates, nimis æquos exterorum judices, consequutus est."^q

The reputation of Cunningham was now completely established; and he seems to have been intimately connected with most of the eminent scholars and civilians who then flourished in Holland. In France he had several learned correspondents; and from the following passage of his animadversions, it may be inferred that he was acquainted with Addison: "Cum autem hoc argumentum, quod tuam emendationem penitus evertit, cum Josepho Addison excellentissimi ingenii viro communicarem, ei quoque idem in mentem venisse animadverti: neque sua laude fraudandus

ⁿ Jani de Horatii Editionibus, p. xxxviii.—See likewise his preface to the second volume. Gebauer has remarked of Cunningham, "sobriæ critices fuisse studiosissimum." (*Narratio de Henrico Brenkmanno*, p. 10. Göttingæ, 1764, 4to.)

^o Klotzii Vindiciæ Q. Horatii Flacci, p. 206. Bremæ, 1764, 8vo.

^p Wakefield ad Lucretium, lib. v, v. 205.

^q Hermanni præf. in Euripidis Hecubam, p. iv. Lipsiæ, 1800, 8vo.

est vir egregius Carolus Stanhope, is enim *versus male ternatos incudi reddere* eadem ratione adductus ferri non posse observaverat.^r Dukerus and various other critics mention him in friendly terms.^s When Otto published his great collection of tracts on the civil law, he professed to have been chiefly indebted to Bynkershoek, Cunningham, and Brenkman, for their advice and assistance. “Post virum illum primum, alios quoque recolere juvat, qui re et iudicio suo hoc opus instruxerunt. Hos inter haud postremum locum obtinent Alexander Cuninghamus, et Henricus Brenkmannus, viri clarissimi, et optima fide de republica literaria pariter ac jurisprudentia merentes, qui repetitis deliberationibus ad dilectum librorum institutis, adfuerunt, dignoscere cauti quid solidum crepet.”^t

Cunningham appears to have died in the year 1730. The auction of his library commenced on the twentieth of November, and he may be supposed to have died some months before.^u His collection of books was very curious and valuable, particularly in the departments of philology and jurisprudence. The catalogue describes it as a most

^r Cuningamii Animadversiones, p. 214.

^s Dukeri præf. in *Florum*. Lugd. Bat. 1722, 8vo.

^t *Thesaurus Juris Romani*, præf. Lugd. Bat. 1725, seq. 5 tom. fol.—

Cunningham is said to have assisted in revising a French translation (Haye, 1725, 2 tom. 4to.) of the first volume of Bishop Burnet's *History of his own Time*. “Nous avons appris que M. Cunningham, connu par son édition d'Horace en Hollande, et M. Johnson, seigneur Ecossois, ont revû cet ouvrage posthume de M. Burnet, et en ont retranché un grand nombre d'endroits injurieux à des personnes respectables. Ce qu'ils ont laissé fait assez voir l'indulgence de ces reviseurs.” (*Journal des Sçavans*, pour l'année 1726, p. 669.)

^u *Bibliotheca Cuningamia*, continens Selectissimos, Rarissimosque omni in Lingua Libros. Hos omnes multo iudicio, vigilantia ac labore collegit Celeberrimus ac Eruditissimus Vir D. Alexander Cuningamius, Jurisconsultus et Polyhistor eximius. Lugd. Bat. 1730, 8vo. Pp. 130.—The notice on the back of the title-page contains a curious prohibition: “verum ne quis palliatus aut toga Japonica indutus accedat, rogamus.”

splendid library, and mentions that the greater part of the books were in gilt vellum or calf.

Alexander Cunningham, the author of the *History of Great Britain*, who has often been identified with this learned critic and civilian, died in London on the fifteenth of May 1737. His will is registered at Doctors Commons. It is certainly a curious circumstance, that at so barren a period of its literary annals, Scotland should have produced two learned writers of the same name. From the subsequent notice it may be inferred that the historian had likewise cultivated the study of criticism. "I had a visit," says Dr Lister, "from Mr Cunningham, tutor to my Lord Lorne, a very learned and curious man in books. I askt him (knowing him to have been lately at Rome) very particularly about the papers of Monsieur d'Azout. He told me, that he saw him not above half a year before he died, and was very intimately acquainted with him, and saw him for a twelvemonth very often. That he told him, that he had about 80 difficult passages in Vitruvius, which he had commented and explained; and the correction of a great number of *errata* in the text. Also that upon Julius Frontinus (though that was a much less book) he had much more to say, than he had upon Vitruvius." ^x About the same period there was a third scholar of the name of Alexander Cunningham, professor of humanity in the university of Edinburgh. His testament was confirmed by the commissary court on the 26th of March 1697. ^y

The real and personal estate of the critic and civilian ap-

^x Lister's Journey to Paris in the year 1698, p. 99, 2d edit. Lond. 1699, 8vo.—One of the Cunninghams is mentioned in a letter from Colonel Cordrington to Dr Charlett, written in June 1702. (*Letters written by Eminent Persons*, vol. i, p. 133. Lond. 1813, 3 vols 8vo.)

^y There is a Latin poem by the professor of humanity, subjoined to a work entitled *Grammatica Latina. Authore Patricio Dykes, Perthensi*. Edinb. 1679, 8vo.

pears to have descended to his nephew the Rev. George Logan, A. M. afterwards one of the ministers of Edinburgh. A letter from Sir John Pringle to Forbes of Culloden, contains some information relative to his papers.

“ I wrott to Mr Logan of Dunbar, as I told you I would do, both in your name and mine, about his uncle Mr Cunningham's papers ; and I have since had an evening's conversation with him ; the sum of which was, that his uncle has not left one single scrape of any thing ready for the press, or even in any tolerable order. His notes on Horace are written on the margin of six volumes ; whereof three are the text of Horace, as he published it ; and the other three are his animadversions on Bentley. The use of all these, I am promised again next week : the Lord have mercy on the patients till I have done with them ! His notes on Phædrus are likewise only on the margins of two editions of Phædrus ; but he thinks them fuller than the others, and is talking of giving them to Mr Ruddiman, if he will be at pains to putt them in order and publish them. He has marginal notes upon several other authors ; as Virgil, Statius, Quintillian, Cicero ; any of which he offers to send me after I have done with Horace. His notes on the Corpus are larger than any of the rest, and not writt on the margin, as the rest. His copy of the Corpus is interleaved with clean paper ; so that there is a leaf of written notes, for every printed leaf. He told me, the Advocat's Library has applyed to him to have it ; but he has given the curators no answer as yet, nor did he seem determined when he spoke to me.

“ What will surprise you most is, that he has left nothing of his scheme of the Christian religion. Mr Logan told me, he had inquired at him about it when he was in his perfect senses ; but that he declared to him that he had never putt it in writing, and that he would dictate it to him any day, for he had it all in his head, and that it

could be contain'd in four or five sheets of paper; however, every day that Logan pressed him to do it, he found always some reason for shifting it, till he was incapable of doing any thing." This letter is dated at Edinburgh on the thirtieth of January 1731; and on the second of February, he writes thus: "I have gott two volumes of Horace, with Cunningham's marginal notes; but the hand is so bad, and the lines so closs on one another, that I have difficulty to make sense of them, tho' I perceive no *sigla*, or secret marks, among them. However, I design to give true pains, and you will see probably the fruit of my labours when you return." ^z

Cunningham's edition of Virgil was printed at Edinburgh in 1743 in small octavo. The preface was written by John Clerk, M.D. ^a An edition of Phædrus, with the text as revised by Cunningham, was published by Dr Clerk ^b in 1757. It contains a preface by the editor, but no annotations. It is thus described by Schwabe, a late editor of Phædrus: "Splendida et characterum nitore commendanda editio! Variæ lectiones et conjecturæ Cuninghami, antea in Germania minus notæ, a me insertæ sunt parti iii. Phædri, p. 134. sqq. (Hal. 1779-1781.) ubi vide. Sunt tamen non paucae, quæ displicere possint, vel justo audaciores, vel non necessariae." ^c

In the Advocates' Library are copies of Vinnius's first edition of the Institutes, ^d and Best's *Ratio Emendandi Leges*, with manuscript notes ascribed to Cunningham. These books appear to have been presented in 1763 by David

^z Culloden Papers, p. 120-1. Lond. 1815, 4to.

^a Ruddimanni Bibliotheca Romana, p. 10. Edinb. 1757, 8vo.

^b Catalogue of the Library of the learned Dr John Clerk, Physician in Edinburgh, and of Dr David Clerk, his son, p. 72. Edinb. 1768, 8vo.

^c Notitia Litteraria de Phædro, p. 104, prefixed to Schwabe's second edition. Brunsvigæ, 1806, 2 tom. 8vo.

^d Lugd. Bat. 1646, 12mo.

Clerk, M.D. the son of the learned physician lately mentioned. The greater part of the notes were apparently written by some other person. One of these books enclosed a Latin poem, in the hand-writing of Cunningham, and exhibiting such erasements and corrections as an author may be supposed to make in his own composition. This poem I shall insert, together with the various readings.

Dum propriis urbem Neptunus ponere in undis
 Ardet, aquas Venetis jussit abire locis.
 Æternas Batavus dum condere cogitat urbes,
 Neptunum propriis cogit abire locis.
 Dedecus hoc patiar? non, non, deus inquit, et urbes
 Structoresque imo contumulabo solo.
 Jamque parat bellum instructus magno agmine aquarum,
 Ingentesque^e animos Æolus adflat aquis.
 Belga gigantæo nova mœnia construit austi,
 Debellatque deos ætheris atque maris.
 Tum pacem deus ipse orat, juratque futuras
 Felices Batavis per sua regna vias.
 Per montes ducti duros, per mœnia fontes
 In domibus certant currere, Roma, tuis:
 Damnosus hos ductus redimi reficique labore,
 Fluminis ut tibi sit copia, forte doles.
 Hæc urbes Batavæ molimina cara recusant,
 Quas gratis almæ copia nutrit aquæ.
 Blande emendicans populi suffragia magnis
 Romanus ludis, nobilis exit inops.
 At Batavus frugi virtutibus ambit honores,
 Nec domina accisis imminet hasta bonis.
 Quin hyeme est illis per flumina, per mare docto
 Ære pedem armatis carpere ludus iter:
 Hunc pueri atque senes, hunc exercentque puellæ,
 Ocius et tua quam, ^f Parthe, sagitta, volant.
 Acrior at juvenis rapit æquora, continuo stat,
 Refrenans, agitans brachia, crura, latus.
 Hic labor actori jucundum atque utile præstat:
 Spectantem casus spesque metusque tenet.

^e Immanesque.

^f His tua nec citius.—This reading requires the further change of *volat*.

Vidisses, Roma, hæc spectacula, forte putasses
 Non hæc magnificis cedere parva tuis.
 Latas, Roma, vias tibi muniit undique saxum :
 Quid, saxum ? hic omnes sternit et unda vias :
 Alta hic se mirans secat agros puppis et urbes,
 Ac stupet ad nitidas anchora jacta domos.
 Arbore velifera ratis hic amat ire sub ipsis
 Pontibus, immensus quos numerare labor.
 Hic quoque celsæ ædes pelago fundantur in imo,
 Nec domus in mediis ulla vacillat aquis.
 Atque modis flagrant^g miris commercia, credas
 Hic nasci quicquid mundus^h uterque parit.
 Te, pax, Belga colit : sed, Gallo bella minante,
 Militibus terras, classibus implet aquas.
 Dum fingis caussasⁱ ex bellis bella serendis,
 Innocuas gentes, martia Roma, domas,
 Imperiumque tuum non ullo fine coerces :
 Artior est Latio terra Batava solo.
 Rex maris ecce stupet, rate quod se crebrius urguet
 Amstelis urbs quam orbis, Roma superba, tuus.
 Hanc deus aspectans propius, sic triste^k profatur :
 Sedibus est Venetis cultior illa meis :
 Arteque, ait, Batavi qua talem ponere scistis,
 Qualem cœlicolæ non posuere dei.

These verses in celebration of the Dutch bear an allusion to the famous epigram of Sannazarius, for which he received so large a sum from the republic of Venice.

Viderat Hadriacis Venetam Neptunus in undis
 Stare urbem, et toto ponere jura mari :
 Nunc mihi Tarpeias, quantumvis, Juppiter, arces
 Objice, et illa tui mœnia Martis, ait.
 Si pelago Tibrim præfers, urbem adspice utramque :
 Illam homines dices, hanc posuisse deos.^l

Dr Pitcairne has written an epigram on the Dutch,

^g Fervent.

^h Quævis orbis.

ⁱ So this word is spelt in the MS. See Dausquii *Orthographia Latini Sermonis*, vol. ii, p. 78.

^k The adverb *triste*, though it may be found in dictionaries, seems to be of very doubtful authority.

^l Sannazarii *Epigrammata*, lib. i, 35.

which it may be curious to compare with both these poems. “Sannazarii in urbem Venetam hexasticho,” says Toland, “inventionis tantum gloria cedere videtur hocce Pitcarnii carmen; cæteroquin excellentius, ut mea fert sententia, et multo excelsius.”^m

Tellurem fecere dii, sua littora Belgæ;

Immensæque fuit molis uterque labos.

Dî vacuo sparsas glomerarunt æthere terras,

Nil ubi quod cœptis possit obesse fuit.

Ast Belgis maria, et cœli, natura que rerum

Obstitit: obstantes hi domuere deos.ⁿ

I am indebted to the politeness of Sir William Hamilton for a transcript of fourteen letters from Cunningham to J. P. d'Orville, and of several others connected with his history.^o The originals are preserved in the Bodleian Library at Oxford. In this collection are several letters addressed to D'Orville by John Cunningham, who was a friend, and probably a relation of the critic. A. Cunningham's letters are partly written in English, partly in French. I shall subjoin a few as a specimen.

SIR, *Hague, December 15, 1724.*

My good friend Dr Mitchell is well versed in the

^m Tollandi Gallus Aretalogus, p. 107.

ⁿ Pitcarnii Selecta Poemata, p. 3. Edinb. 1727, 12mo.

^o George Waddel, one of Cunningham's learned friends, is likewise mentioned in D'Orville's papers. The following is an extract of a letter from Oudin, dated at Dijon on the 30th of July 1726: “Le correspondant de Mr Waddell m'a écrit de Bezançon: il ne scait on est à present Mr Waddell; qui a eu le déplaisir de voir mourir Mr Racoff, seigneur qu'il conduisoit à Rome.” He is the author of a little volume entitled “Georgii Waddeli Animadversiones Criticæ in Loca quædam Virgilii, Horatii, Ovidii, et Lucani; et super illis Emendandis Conjecturæ.” Edinburgi, 1734, 8vo. Pp. 159. There is likewise an anonymous tract ascribed to Waddel: “Remarks on Mr Innes's Critical Essay on the Ancient Inhabitants of the Northern Parts of Britain, or Scotland.” Edinburgh, 1733, 4to. Pp. 32.

belles lettres, and well known to Prof. Burman. He will do you all the services he possibly can : and my cousin Mr Cuningham, who has a plantation in St Christophers in the West Indies, will procure you the acquaintance of Brigadier Hunter, one of my truest friends. You will profit much in his conversation ; for he is a good *literator*, and a very good poet both in Latin and in English ; and I know he will be ready to do you all sort of good offices. Now I am extremely sorry to hear of the bad estate of your health : and, with my affectionate humble service to your fellow traveller, I am, with much passion and esteem,

Sir,

your most humble

and most obedient servant.

ALEX. CUNINGHAM.^P

SIR,

Hague, June 7, 1726.

The very day you parted for Paris, I finally resolved to publish my conjectures and corrections in Phædri Fabb. and therefore the same day, which was a Saturday, and the following Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday, were only employed in considering all the places which seemed to me to be vitious, and in distinguishing the incurable ones from the curable. On the Thursday I went to Leyden ; and on the Fryday I shewed my conjectures and corrections, fairly written, to Mr Gronovius ; and afterwards being with Prof. Burmannus, I was upon the point of shewing them to him, when his surgeon came to dress his legg, and so I took leave of the prof. not having then the opportunity of communicating them to him. The Saturday I returned to the Hague, and the Monday following I had resolved to send the conjectures to my printer. But Mr Gosse having sent

^P This letter is followed by a postscript of nearly the same length, respecting D'Orville's French servant.

me a copy of the *Epistola Critica*,^a which he received a week sooner than it was expected, I altered my mind, since I could not pretend that my conjectures were printed before I had seen the *Epistola Critica*. The author of it quotes me, pag. 37: “ob hæc pluribus eum castigavit acutissimus et infinitæ diligentiae vir, Alex. Cuninghamius, in doctissimis Animadversionibus, quas dedit ad Bentleium,”^r etc. and immediately followeth his correction of the 317 v. of Hor. Serm. lib. ii, 3,^s which is,

Quantane? num tantum, sufflans se, magna fuit? tum
Major dimidio.

His reason is, that “*num fuisset* dici nequit, sed *num fuit*;

^a Written by Dr Hare, afterwards bishop of Chichester. This epistle relates to Bentley's notes and emendations on Phædrus. Of this great critic he had formerly expressed the highest admiration, and had even commended him with enthusiasm in an anonymous tract, entitled “The Clergyman's Thanks to Phileleutherus, for his Remarks on the late Discourse of Free-Thinking. In a Letter to Dr Bentley.” Lond. 1713, 8vo. Pp. 48. He published his edition of Terence in 1724; and when Bentley's followed in 1726, the editor never mentioned the name of his former friend, but frequently took occasion to expose his errors. Hare endeavoured to avenge himself by the publication of his *Epistola Critica*; which it is amusing enough to compare with his former letter of thanks.

^r Hare's Works, vol. ii, p. 328.

^s This disputed passage is very successfully illustrated by Waddel; who shews that there is no occasion for any change in the text. He retains *fuisset*, and, with Dr Hare, is of opinion that *major dimidio* is a part of the narrative: “cum mater se eo usque inflasset, ut jam dimidio major esset, quam quum se primo inflare cœpisset.” He mentions his having communicated this explanation to Dr Snape, and to the masters of Eton school, four years before the publication of the critical epistle. (*Animadversiones Criticæ*, p. 66.) Any sober explanation seems preferable to the bold conjectures of Bentley and Cunningham.

I avail myself of this opportunity of defending a reading, which the learned Dr Hunter seems very judiciously to have admitted into his late edition of Horace, printed at Cupar in 1813, 2 vols 8vo.

Indeque decerptam fronti præponere olivam.

Lib. i, od. vii, v. 7.

which reason I have confuted, and at the same time I shewed that *num fuit* after *rogare*, *sc. cæpit*, in præter. perfecto indicativi modi, is not Latin, but *num esset*, as Phædrus expresses it, or *num fuisset*, as Horatius does. Then he says, “cum ex pulli silentio mentem ejus satis intelligeret, se iterum sufflans, et jam *major dimidio* facta iterum interrogat *num tantum?*” which explanation contains two great mistakes, as I shall shew in my observations on Phædr. I shall only at present take notice of the auth. of the Epist. his saying in one place of his Epist. “*tanta est affinitas temporum imperfecti et plusquamperfecti subjunctivi modi, ut alterum pro altero poni soleat.*” I did not doubt, as you can remember, but that the author of the Epist. had found some of my corrections, and especially such as the rule of the construction suggests. I shall only add at present the last 4 lines of the Epist. Crit. “*Prelo parantur Animadversiones in Terentium Benteleianum, quibus præstantissimi scriptoris textus repurgatur ab innumeris corruptelis, quibus viri doctissimi critica temeritas eum commaculavit.*”

Pray, Sir, let me know if you have seen the Epist. Crit. for if it is not to be had in Paris, I shall write out some pas-

It has, as I understand from a mutual friend, been objected by the respectable head of a public school in England, that the adverb *inde*, with the enclitic *que*, “is not to be found in the whole compass of the Latin classics.” This objection seems to have been somewhat hastily advanced; for *indeque* occurs twice in a single act of the *Aulularia* of Plautus.

Indeque observabo, aurum ubi abstrudat senex.

Act. iv, sc. vi, v. 13.

Indeque aspectabam ubi aurum abstrudebat senex.

Act. iv, sc. viii, v. 7.

The same phrase is likewise to be found in prose writers. “*Flamen Dialis dictus, quod filo assidue veletur. indeque appellatur flamen, quasi filamen.*” (Pompeius Festus *De Verborum Significatione*, p. lxii, edit. Scaligeri.)—It may be proper to add, that before the publication of Jones’s edition, and long before that of Schrader’s *Emendationes*, the reading *indeque* had been adopted by Dr Wade in his edition of the odes of Horace. Lond. 1731, 4to.

sages, which it's likely you would be glad to be apprysed of. Now I beg your pardon for not sending you the note of the Italian books. It shall be delivered to your father some time next week, who will take care to direct it so that it may come to your hands. I need not put you in mind of what I mentioned to you respecting P. Sanadon; and pray present my most humble respects to the very worthy and very learned president Bohier. So wishing you a very happy voyage, I remain, with a very great affection and esteem,

Sir,

your most obliged

and most obedient servant.

ALEX. CUNINGHAM.

SIR,

^t *Hague, July 17, 1726.*

I need not advise you to buy all the classicks, and good modern Lat. and Ital. poets, and histories Lat. Ital. as likewise all the editions of the classicks of the Alduses, if cheap and fair, but especially Horat. Virgil, and some other poets printed by old Aldus; all the old editions of classicks before the 1480, if cheap; for you kno, I suppose, that the prices of the old edd. and of all the Alduses, Juntas, Torrentinos, are much lower than they were 3 years ago. I cannot at present send you so full a *notitia* of curious books best to be had in Italy, for I find that I have left in London, with other papers and books, the catalogue I had made of them: so at present I have set down such as my weak memory has retained, and such as I found in a paper I have here. I shall add no more, but my most earnest wishes that you may continue in perfect health, and all your good designs be attended with success: and I am, with very much affection and esteem, Sir, yours entirely.

A. C.

^t This letter is not printed entire.

Sir, I had almost forgotten to tell you that the British envoy is or will be with the king of Sardinia, where his Majesty drinks the waters; and therefore I have not desired the envoy here to give me a letter of recommendation in your favour to the British envoy at that court. I long to hear from you what the learned president has communicated to you upon Horace; and I desire [you], if you have any time, to look into 2 or 3 MSS. of Horace, which are said to be very ancient, in the king of Sard. his library, and to take chiefly notice of the order of the words in the Sermones, Epist. and A. Poet. You will do well to buy a copy of the *Pandectæ Florent.* if you can have them for 4 Rom. crowns.

Sir, such as I have marked with a cross, you can safely exceed the prices marked. I desire that you would be so kind as to see if in the Ambrosian Library, or any other library in Milan, there is a manuscript without Accursius's glosses in 2 voll. fol. The 2d vol. begins with the 1st tit. of the 36 book, *Ad senatusconsultum Trebellianum*; and if you find it, I earnestly entreat you would see if in the 37 book, the title 9th *De ventre in possessionem mittendo*, is postponed to the title *De conjungendis cum emancipato liberis ejus*. The books I have sent this note of are saleable books, and such if you have a mind to retain a copy for your own use, you will do well to buy more than one copy of them. Those that you purchase at Genua, Turin, Milan, it is best to send from Genua; and those that you purchase in Piacenza, Parma, Modena, Bologna, Lucca, Piza, Ligorno, Fiorenze, to send them to [from] Ligorno. Nor do you forget to find out the shops of old books in every town you pass thro, and to find one of the booksellers who uses to get books out of private libraries, and out of the libraries of the cloysters. I have writ this in great haste, nevertheless I hope you shall find it legible: and I am again and again, Sir, yours entirely.

ALEX. CUNINGHAME.

I shall close this article with a list of Cuningham's publications.

1. Alexandri Cuningamii Animadversiones in Richardi Bentleii Notas et Emendationes ad Q. Horatium Flaccum.

Laudis amore tumes, sunt certa piacula, quæ te

Ter pure lecto poterunt recreare libello.

Horat. Epist.

Hagæ Comitum, apud Thomam Jonsonium, 1721, 8vo.

2. Q. Horatii Flacci Poemata. Ex antiquis Codd. & certis Observationibus emendavit, variasque Scriptorum & Impressorum lectiones adjecit Alexander Cuningamius. Hagæ Comitum, apud Thomam Jonsonium, 1721, 8vo.

3. P. Virgilii Maronis Bucolica, Georgica, et Æneis. Ex recensione Alexandri Cuningamii Scoti, cujus emendationes subjiciuntur. Edinburgi, apud G. Hamilton & J. Balfour, 1743, 8vo.

4. Phædri Augusti Liberti Fabularum Æsopiarum libri quinque. Ex recensione Alexandri Cuningamii, Scoti. Accedunt Publii Syri, et Aliorum Veterum Sententiæ. Edinburgi, apud G. Hamilton & J. Balfour, Academiæ Typographos, 1757, 8vo.

No. XII.—See p. 113.

An Explanation of some Passages in the Latin Poems of Dr Pitcairne.

(From a MS. in my possession.)

P. 8.—Ad Robertum Lindesium.

Robert Lindsay, grand-child, or great-grand-child, to Sir David Lindsay of the Mount, Lyon King at Arms, &c.

being an intimate condisciple with Arch^d Pitcairne, they bargained, anno 1671, that whoever died first, should give account of his condition if possible. It happened that Lindsay died about the end of 1675, when Arch^d Pitcairne was at Paris; and the very night of his death, A. P. dreamed that he was at Edinburgh, when Lindsay attacked him thus. Archie, said he, perhaps ye heard I'm dead? No, Robin. Ay, but they bury my body in the Grey Friars. I'm alive tho' in a place whereof the pleasures cannot be exprest in Scotch, Greek, or Latin. I have come with a well-sailing small ship to carry you thither. Robin, I'll go with you: but wait till I go to Fife and East Lothian, and take leave of my parents. Archie, I have but the allowance of one tide. Farewell: I'll come for you at another time. Since which time, A. Pitcairne never slept a night without dreaming that Lindsay told him he was alive. And having a dangerous sickness anno 1694, he was told by Robin that he was yet delayed for a time; and that it was properly his task to carry him off, but he was discharged to tell him when.

P. 15.—Ad Marcum Lermontium.

Mark Lermont, Advocate, supped with me on a 29th May, when our forces could not go to the fields, when Charleroy was taken, &c. and the secret peace was made. You know that the French king's device was a sun.

P. 16.—Ad Greppam.

Mrs Henderson, by a nickname called Greppa, kept the best wine in town. When our wine was at 32 pence the Scots pint, she suddenly sold hers at 20 pence. This was obliging; but she knew that new French wine would be suddenly home, which the other vintners knew not, but kept up their price. We did ourselves the pleasure to drink in

Greppa's ill wine in the end of the year at the old Scots price, whereby she sold all her wine; whereas the other vintners were forced to give theirs by hogsheads, at 20 shillings a piece, to be turned to aquavitæ. A little time thereafter Greppa died, was put in St Giles', our high church, and so is supposed to be married to St Ægidius; which is an honour next to her who is married to the chief of such sort of saints.

P. 17.—Ad Gualterum Danistonum.

Explained by what is said in the former. For Watty Danistone died a few days before Greppa, and so could not be at her marriage with Ægidius. *Nota*, That Ægidius's wooden statue was, in a procession by the rabble, drowned in the Nore Loch, with a stone about his neck. This is "Limosoque iterum," &c.

P. 18.—"Annam dum sitiens vocaret Hugo."

Hugh Cunninghame, a profest, and a true Presbyterian, but who eternally intruded himself into honest men's company: and in faith I could not be free of him, for he spoke as we did. This Hugh drank always in Greppa's, and ruined Mark Lermont, my easy friend. Our present Greppa is Ann Stanfield, a worthy woman, and whose wine ye drank lately, then servant to old Greppa. Hugh always called for her.

The above explications appear to have been communicated by Dr Pitcairne to some friend. They were copied from a manuscript of Dr Andrew St Clair's.

No. XIII.—See p. 312.

*Davidis Doig, LL. D. ad Georgium Buchananum,
ob Columnam ipsi mox erigendam, Apostrophe.^u*

EN Buchanane ! pii, longo post tempore, cives
 Ingenio statuunt hæc monumenta tue.
 Scotia te natum, te Gallia jactat alumnum ;
 Te canit Europe, qua plaga cunque patet.
 Nil opus est saxo, nil indice : læta sonabunt
 Carmine Levinium sæcula cuncta decus.
 Seu decoras Latio divina poemata cultu,
 Seu recinis nugas, ludicra, festa, sales ;
 Grandia seu tragico devolvīs verba cothurno,
 Seu reseras varii claustra viasque poli ;
 Æmula seu captas Patavī præconia linguæ,
 Fœdera dum patriæ, bella virosque refers ;
 Eloquio, gravitate, sono, vi, lumine, vërbis
 Æquiparas veteres, exsuperasque novos.
 Quod Graii potuere simul, quod Romula virtus,
 Tu solus numeris, arte, lepore potes.
 Sin aliqua titubas patriæ labefactus amore,
 Aut nimium vera pro pietate pius,
 Ipsa notam lecti Libertas plorat alumni ;
 Ipsa tegit lauri Calliopea comis.
 Sæpe nitor veri spissis latet obrutus umbris,
 Nec semper Lynceus cuncta videnda videt.

^u These verses were politely communicated by the Right Rev. Bishop Gleig, through my learned friend Dr Barclay.

No. XIV.

A List of Publications relating to Buchanan.

ADAM BLACKWOOD. *Adversus Georgii Buchanani Dialogum, de Jure Regni apud Scotos, pro Regibus Apologia.* Pictavis, 1581, 4to. Pp. 341.

NINIAN WINZET, D.D. *Velitatio in Georgium Buchanani circa Dialogum quem scripsit de Jure Regni apud Scotos.* Printed with Winzet's *Flagellum Sectariorum.* Ingolstad. 1582, 4to.

WILLIAM BARCLAY, LL. D. *De Regno et Regali Potestate adversus Buchanani, Brutum, Boucherium, et reliquos Monarchomachos, libri sex.* Paris. 1600, 4to. Pp. 542.

GEORGE EGLISHAM, M.D. *Duellum Poeticum, contententibus Georgio Eglisemmio, medico regio, et Georgio Buchanano, regio præceptore, pro Dignitate Paraphraseos Psalmi centesimi quarti. Adjectis Prophylacticis adversus Andreae Melvini Cavillum in Aram Regiam, aliisque Epigrammatis.* Lond. 1618, 4to.

ARTHUR JOHNSTON, M.D. *Consilium Collegii Medici Parisiensis de Mania G. Eglishemii.* Paris. 1619, 8vo. *Onopordus Furens.* Paris. 1620, 8vo.

WILLIAM BARCLAY, M.D. *Judicium de Certamine G. Eglisemmii cum G. Buchanano.* Lond. 1620, 8vo. Pp. 54.

SIR GEORGE MACKENZIE. *Jus Regium:* or, the Just and Solid Foundations of Monarchy in general, and more especially of the Monarchy of Scotland; maintain'd against Buchanan, Naphthali, Dolman, Milton, &c. Edinb. 1684, 8vo. Pp. 200.

SIR ROBERT SIBBALD, M.D. *Commentarius in Vitam*

Georgii Buchanani, ab Ipsomet scriptam. Edinb. 1702, Svo. Pp. 84.

THOMAS CRAWFORD, A.M. Notes and Observations on Mr George Buchanan's History of Scotland. Edinb. 1708, Svo. Pp. 187.

JOHN LOVE. Buchanan's and Johnston's Paraphrase of the Psalms compared. Edinb. 1740, Svo. See above, p. 115.

A Vindication of Mr George Buchanan. Edinb. 1749, Svo. Pp. 93.

WILLIAM LAUDER, A.M. Calumny Display'd: or, Pseudo-Philo-Buchananus couch'd of a Cataract. Edinb. 1740, 4to. Pp. 36. See above, p. 115.

WILLIAM BENSON, Esq. A Prefatory Discourse to a New Edition of the Psalms of David, translated into Latin verse by Dr Arthur Johnston: to which is added a Supplement, containing a Comparison betwixt Johnston and Buchanan. Lond. 1741, Svo. Pp. 119.

JAMES MAN, A.M. A Censure and Examination of Mr Thomas Ruddiman's Philological Notes on the Works of the great Buchanan. Aberdeen, 1753, 12mo. Pp. 574.

THOMAS RUDDIMAN, A.M. A Vindication of Mr George Buchanan's Paraphrase of the book of Psalms, from the Objections rais'd against it by William Benson, Esq. Auditor in Exchequer. Edinb. 1745, Svo. Pp. 390.


Animadversions on a late Pamphlet, intituled, A Vindication of Mr George Buchanan. Edinb. 1749, Svo. Pp. 110.

Anticrisis: or, a Discussion of a scurrilous and malicious Libel, published by one Mr James Man of Aberdeen. Edinb. 1754, Svo. Pp. 226.

Audi alteram partem: or, a Further Vindication of Mr Tho. Ruddiman's Edition of the great Buchanan's Works. Edinb. 1756, Svo. Pp. 62.

GEORGE CHALMERS, Esq. The Life of Thomas Ruddiman, A.M. to which are subjoined New Anecdotes of Buchanan. Lond. 1794, 8vo. Pp. 467.

ROBERT MACFARLAN, A.M. George Buchanan's Dialogue concerning the Rights of the Crown of Scotland translated into English: with two Dissertations prefixed; one Archeological inquiring into the pretended identity of the Getes and Scythians, of the Getes and Goths, and of the Goths and Scots; and the other Historical vindicating the character of Buchanan as an historian, and containing some specimens of his poetry in English verse. Lond. 1799, 8vo. Pp. 205.



420 APPENDIX, NO. XIV.
George Buchanan, Esq. The Life of Thomas Ruddi-
man, A.M. to which are appended New Anecdotes of
Buchanan. London, 1787.
Robert Mackenzie, A.M. George Buchanan's His-
tory concerning the Rights of the Crown of Scotland
translated into English, with two Dissertations pre-
ceding: one Aetiological, importing into the translated lan-
guage.

ADDITIONS.

GESNER relates the following anecdote of Buchanan, without mentioning from what source he derived it. "Quum illi aliquando alterutra, nescio utrum sua regina, an Elisabetha, objecisset ejus paupertatem, 'Buchanane,' dicens, 'Pauper ubique jacet:' ille non cunctatus illico explevit versiculum ita: 'Si pauper ubique jaceret, In thalamis hac nocte tuis regina jacerem.'" (*Primæ Lineæ Isagoges in Eruditionem Universalem*, tom. ii, p. 181.) In the same page, this learned writer imputes to Buchanan what belongs to Sir James Melvil, and to Milton what belongs to Waller.

Brucker informs us that Buchanan's dialogue *De Jure Regni* was translated into Dutch: "mox Anglico quoque sermone, et tum Belgico comparuit." (*Historia Critica Philosophiæ*, tom. iv, par. ii, p. 796.)

The subsequent notice I found written on the cover of a book, in a hand which appears to have been formed in the earlier part of last century. "Scheriffhall near Dalkeith, said to be the place of Buchanan's residence, where he wrote his history: this room is pointed out to the visitors of the place."

INDEX.

A

Abernethy, Dr Adam, 399.
Adamson, John, 309, 310, 311.
Adamson, Patrick, 157, 173, 174, 387.
Æschylus, 32.
Aikin, 273.
D'Almada, Manuel, 86.
Alvarez, 88.
Amatus Lusitanus, 87.
Antonius, Nicolaus, 78.
Apollinarius, 109.
Aratus, 245.
Arbuthnot, Alexander, 174, 379.
Ascham, 227.
Atterbury, 119.
Augustinus, Antonius, 85, 253.
Augustus, 272.
Auratus, 209, 217.
Ausonius, 40.

B

Bacon, Lord, 232.
Balduinus, 217.
Bale, 23.
Balfour, Robert, 204, 276.
Balzac, 33.
Barbarus, Hermolaus, 279.
Barbosa, 77.
Barclay, William, LL.D. 256.
Barclay, William, M.D. 110, 114.
Bargagli, Scipione, 36.
Baudius, 51.
Bedell, 118, 404.
Bellenden, John, 290.
Bembus, 138, 301.
Bencius, 64.
Benson, William, 115.
Bentley, Dr Richard, 35, 406, 419.
Bentley, Dr Thomas, 409.
Berkeley, 259.
Betuleius, 34.
Beza, 137, 184, 279.
Blacklock, 75, 137.

B

Blackwood, 256, 275.
Bochart, 259.
Bodin, 60.
Bonadus, 41.
Bonefonius, 139.
Boscovich, 245.
Bourbon, Nicolas, 53, 118.
Boyce, 267, 289.
Brahe, Tycho, 200.
Brinon, 36.
Brutus, Joannes Michael, 62.
Buchanan, David, 314.
Budæus, 12, 59.
Bulæus, 10.
Bulkeley, Dr Edward, 229.

C

Cabedius, Antonius, 79.
Cabedius Michael, 79.
Cælius Rhodiginus, 46.
Caiadus, Hermicus, 86.
Calvin, 191, 194, 202, 235.
Camden, 305.
Cameron, John, 204.
Canterus, 209.
Cardan, 50, 121, 272.
Carmichael, James, 176.
Casaubon, Isaac, 107, 306.
Casimir, 135.
Castalio, Joseph, 202.
Castalio, Sebastian, 191.
Castellanus, 13.
Castellanus of Louvain, 87.
Castelvetro, 106.
Cheke, 229, 230, 231.
Chrestien, 35, 208, 210.
Chytræus, Nathan, 105.
Claudian, 246.
Clerc, Jean le, 407.
Clerk, Dr John, 414.
Clusius, 217.
Cockburn, Alexander, 239.

C

Cockburn, Patrick, 100.
 Cœlius, Georgius, 86.
 Cooke, Sir Anthony, 230.
 Corasius, 193.
 Correa, 87.
 Craig, Sir Thomas, 174, 256.
 Crawford, Thomas, 428.
 Creighton, Dr Robert, 401.
 Crichton, Dr George, 400.
 Crichton, James, 240, 397.
 Croi, Jean de, 33.
 Crucius, 87.
 Cujacius, 52, 59, 83, 215.
 Cunningham, Alexander, 205, 401.
 Cuper, Gisbert, 405.

D

Daniel, Peter, 126, 214.
 Dempster, 119, 204.
 Dickinson, Adam, 106.
 Digne, Nicolas, le, 36.
 Doig, Dr David, 426.
 Dolscius, 109.
 Donellus, 219.
 Dousa, 214, 224, 233.
 Dryden, 286.
 Dunbar, William, 15.
 Duport, 109, 196.

E

Eglisham, 110, 113.
 Ennius, 35.
 Erasmus, 35, 48, 197, 220, 255.
 Euripides, 32, 35.

F

Faber Stapulensis, 67.
 Fabricius, J. A. 70.
 Ferrerius, 72, 289.
 Finlater, Alexander, 282.
 Flaminius, 102, 104.
 Forerius, 87.
 Fortescue, Sir John, 267.
 Fracastorius, 399.
 Fruterius, 212.

G

Garentæus, 37, 69.
 Gatherer, Bishop, 256.
 Geddes, Dr Alexander, 256.
 Gelida, 53, 67, 276.
 Germanicus, 245.
 Gesner, Conrad, 72.
 Gifanius, 206, 209.
 Govea, Ant. 67, 81, 188.
 Grant, Dr Edward, 228, 229.
 Graverol, Jean, 189.
 Gravina, 83.
 Grimoald, Nicholas, 30.
 Groslet, Jerome, 279.
 Grotius, 35, 197, 258.
 Gruchius, 37, 69, 129.
 Gualtherus, 199.
 Gyraldus, 45.

H

Haddon, 86, 229.
 Hamilton, Archibald, 74, 236, 377.
 Hare, 419.
 Hay, John, 197.
 Heinsius, D. 31, 32, 44, 51.
 Henryson, Dr Edward, 83, 204, 389.
 Hessuss, Eobanus, 102, 104.
 Hoadley, 268.
 Hobbes, 266, 270.
 Hope, Sir Thomas, 117.
 L'Hospital, 39, 95, 128, 223.
 Hotman, 253.
 Huet, 52, 272.
 Hume, Alexander, 282.
 Humphrey, Dr Laurence, 230.
 Hunter, Dr John, 419.
 Hunter, Robert, 105.

I

Innes, Thomas, 10.
 Irving, Dr Christopher, 9.

J

Jack, Thomas, 237.
 James VI. 157.
 Jamotius, 106.

J

Jewel, 229.
 Johnson, 228, 286.
 Johnston, Dr Arthur, 114, 116.
 Josephus, 272.
 Junius, Hadrianus, 105.

K

Kennedy, Quintin, 123.
 King, Adam, 244.
 Knox, John, 235, 295.

L

Laing, Dr James, 22.
 Laing, Malcolm, 293.
 Lambinus, 62, 207.
 Lancelot, 130.
 Languet, 217, 255.
 Lascaris, Janus, 134.
 Lauder, 110, 115.
 Lee, Dr John, 373.
 Leech, John, 114.
 Leibnitz, 405.
 Lesley, 148, 293, 295.
 Lhuyd, 288.
 Libanius, 272.
 Linacre, 14.
 Lipsius, 108.
 Little, Ninian, 261.
 Locke, 268.
 Logan, George, 413.
 Lotichius, 12, 239.
 Love, 105, 115.
 Lubinus, 44.
 Lurbe, Gabriel de, 40.
 Lycophron, 209.

M

M'Crie, Dr Thomas, 237.
 Macfarlan, 429.
 Mackenzie, Sir George, 257.
 Mackintosh, Sir James, 269.
 Macrinus, Salmonius, 102, 104.
 Macropedius, 34.
 Mair, 8, 267, 271, 373.
 Maitland, Lord, 170.
 Maitland, Sir Richard, 170.
 Maitland, Thomas, 170, 250.

M

Man, James, 282.
 Manilius, 245.
 Mare, Philbert de la, 222.
 Margaret, Q. of Navarre, 139.
 Mariana, 254.
 Mary, Q. of Scotland, 95, 143.
 Maussac, 49.
 Melanchthon, 192, 218.
 Melvin, Andrew, 173, 236, 379.
 Melvin, James, 303.
 Menage, 131, 132.
 Mesmes, Henry de, 128.
 Metellus, Joannes, 253.
 Milton, 35, 140, 262, 266, 268.
 Molesworth, Lord, 254, 263.
 Mondoré, 128.
 Monnoye, 131, 132.
 Montagne, 37.
 Montanus, B. A. 87.
 Monteith, Robert, 124.
 Moralis, Ignatius, 87.
 More, Sir Thomas, 267.
 Mornay, 183, 222.
 Muretus, 14, 37, 61, 293.

N

Nancelius, 81, 90.
 Nebrissensis, 77.
 Newton, Sir Adam, 404.
 Nicole, 130.
 Nonius, Petrus, 88.

O

Oppian, 60.
 D'Orville, J. P. 417.
 Osorius, 85, 253.
 Otterburn, Sir Adam, 18.
 Otto, 411.

P

Panormita, 138.
 Passerat, 129.
 Patin, Guy, 124.
 Peck, Francis, 36.
 Perionius, 81.
 Perrin, François, 36.
 Petavius, 109.
 Philelphus, 14.

P

Pimenta, Manuel, 87.
 Pincier, 243.
 Pintus, Hector, 87.
 Pitcairne, 113, 416, 423.
 Pithœus, Petrus, 212.
 Plato, 194.
 Plautus, 32, 216.
 Pollux, 200.
 Pontanus, 138.
 Portus, Æmilius, 109.
 Portus, Franciscus, 106.
 Powell, Dr David, 288.
 Pringle, Sir John, 413.
 Procopius, 61.
 Provand, George, 123.
 Pyrrhus, Didacus, 86.

R

Ramsay, William, 70, 376.
 Ramus, 60, 81, 100.
 Randolph, Sir Thomas, 233.
 Reid, John, 282.
 Reinesius, 78.
 Resendius, 78.
 Reuchlin, 298.
 Rogers, Daniel, 224, 232, 252.
 Ronsard, 67.
 Rousseau, J. J. 272.
 Ruddiman, 115.
 Ruhnkenius, 65.
 Rutgersius, 44.
 Rutherford, John, 70, 142, 376, 379,
 388.

S

St Gelais, 89.
 Ste. Aldegonde, 224, 225.
 Salmasius, 33, 259.
 Sambucus, 217.
 Sanadon, 410.
 Sannazarius, 416.
 Scaliger, Josephus Justus, 50, 65,
 139.
 Scaliger, Julius Cæsar, 41.
 Schonæus, 30.
 Scioppius, 43.
 Scrimger, 185, 204.

S

Secundus, 139.
 Serranus, 194.
 Servetus, 191.
 Sidney, Algernon, 268.
 Sidney, Sir Philip, 223.
 Silvius, Jacobus, 132.
 Simson, Andrew, 142, 176.
 Skene, Sir John, 378.
 Smeton, 236, 379.
 Smith, Sir Thomas, 152, 229.
 Sophocles, 32.
 Spinula, 102.
 Spotswood, 291.
 Statius, Achilles, 87.
 Stephanus, Carolus, 55.
 Stephanus, Henricus, 56, 102, 133,
 390.
 Sephanus, Robertus, 55.
 Stewart, Sir Lewis, 257.
 Stuart, Dr Gilbert, 54, 149.
 Sturmius, 226.
 Sylvius, Michael, 86.

T

Talæus, Audomarus, 60.
 Talpin, Jean, 72.
 Tevius, 42, 69, 83, 188.
 Textor, Jo. Ravisius, 139.
 Thomson, 260.
 Thuanus, 306.
 Turnebus, 53, 57, 188.
 Turner, Sir James, 257.
 Twyne, Thomas, 288.

U

Urban VIII. 118.
 Usher, 259.
 Utenhovius, 125.

V

Varro, 86.
 Vasconcellus, 79.
 Vatablus, 110.
 Vinetus, 40, 69, 273.
 Vitalis Blesensis, 217.

W

Waddel, Andrew, 106.
Waddel, George, 417, 419.
Walker, Joseph Cooper, 36.
Wauchope, Robert, 10.
Wemyss, Sir John, 257.
Wilson, Florence, 204.
Wilson, Dr Thomas, 152, 252,

W


Winram, John, 177, 385.
Winzet, 256.

Y


Yule, Alexander, 105.

FINIS.

Edinburgh:
Printed by A. BALFOUR.



DIRECTIONS FOR PLACING THE PLATES.



The portrait of Buchanan to front the title-page.

The facsimiles to front p. 1.

Buchanan's skull to front p. 310.



Irving, David,

Memoirs of the Life and Writings of George Buchanan

Edinburgh 1817

Biogr. 152 h

urn:nbn:de:bvb:12-bsb10062060-0